

**PHILIPPINE FISHERIES DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (PFDA)**  
**Interim Performance Scorecard**

Indicator	Weight	Targets	Accomplishment		CGO B Validation		Supporting Documents	Remarks
	2013	2013	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
<b>MFO 1: Fish Port and Other Post-Harvest Facilities Operation Services</b>								
<b>Quantity:</b> Number of clients/port-users served	10%	6,500	8,015	10%	8,170	10%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Summary list of clients served</li> <li>Copies of permits and contracts</li> <li>Detailed list of clients/users for each port (accreditation, contracts, vendors, retailers).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"Clients/port-users" should be clearly defined.</li> <li>Per PFDA's supporting documents, total clients served were 8,399. Upon validation, several names were not counted since they were merely repeated.</li> </ul>
<b>Quality:</b> Utilization of port facilities/assets  Landing quays/piers Market Hall Cold Storage Ice Making Plant Processing Areas Commercial/Industrial Areas	35%			33.23%		30.47%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monthly and Quarterly Targets and Accomplishment Reports from each Fish Port</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assessment of utilization is not uniform across all fish ports (i.e. for market hall, measures such as tubs, stalls, bays and in square meters were used). Validation was made through conversion into percentage of utilization, each measure for each port.</li> <li>It is recommended that uniform measures be implemented across all fishports.</li> </ul>
<b>Financial:</b> Net Income	15%	5.23	20.62	15%	20.01	15%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2013 unaudited financial statements</li> <li>General Ledger and breakdown of income</li> <li>Schedule of Forex</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Computed net income (adjusted) based on GCG M.C. 2013-05 (Re-issued) is ₱20,098,321.19</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>60%</b>			<b>58.23%</b>		<b>55.47%</b>		

Indicator	Weight	Targets	Accomplishment		CGO B Validation		Supporting Documents	Remarks
	2013	2013	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
<b>MFO 2: Fishery Post-Harvest Facilities Construction Services</b>								
<b>Quantity 1:</b> Number construction projects	15%			11.67%		11.67%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>List of construction projects</li> <li>Copies of Billings and BAC documents (onsite)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Projects include construction of municipal fish ports and break water as well as the repair and improvement of Iloilo Fish Port Complex.</li> </ul>
1. Started	5%	4	5	5.00%	5	5.00%		
2. Completed	10%	6	4	6.67%	4	6.67%		
<b>Quantity 2:</b> Number of project development studies	15%			15%		15%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>List of surveys</li> <li>Travel reports prepared by the surveying team</li> <li>List and cover page of feasibility studies conducted.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Infra-assessment survey is conducted in clusters (i.e. 10 proposals were surveyed during the 16-28 July 2013 trip/mission).</li> </ul>
1. Infra-assessment Surveys	5%	50	52	5%	53	5%		
2. Feasibility Study	10%	18	23	10%	23	10%		
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>30%</b>			<b>26.67%</b>		<b>26.67%</b>		
<b>General Administration and Support Services (GASS)</b>								
<b>Timeliness 1:</b> Response time to project request/proposal	4%			3.46%		2.19%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>List of letters and other communications</li> <li>List of preliminary appraisal conducted</li> <li>Sampling of communications and appraisals (onsite)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No established/automated database on tracking incoming and outgoing communications.</li> <li>The calculation of the response time included the period of compliance of stakeholders which extended the length of project request/proposal evaluation since the PFDA cannot provide the date of receipt of final documents.</li> <li>For a) there is a total of 86 out of 113 communications which were acted within the 5-</li> </ul>
a) Letters, comments, etc.	2%	5 days	5 days	2%	86 / 113 responded within the five-day period.	1.52%		
b) Preliminary appraisal	2%	15 days	19 days	1.46%	4 / 12 responded within the fifteen-day period	0.67%		

Indicator	Weight	Targets	Accomplishment		CGO B Validation		Supporting Documents	Remarks
	2013	2013	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
								day period thus, 76.11% or 1.52% accomplishment. For b) there is a total of 4 out of 12 communications which were acted within the 15-day period thus, 33.33% or 0.67% accomplishment.
<b>Timeliness 2:</b> Detailed Engineering Studies completed on schedule	6%			5%		6%		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Projects without/awaiting funding were excluded.</li> <li>For a) 6 out of 6 projects were accomplished within the 90-day period.</li> <li>For b) 8 out of 8 projects were accomplished within the 120-day period.</li> </ul>
a) Less than P20 M project cost	3%	90 days	84 days	3%	6 out of 6 projects	3%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>List of Detailed Engineering (DE) of Projects</li> <li>Sampling of DE/plans (onsite)</li> </ul>	
b) More than P20 M project cost	3%	120 days	100 days	2%	8 out of 8 projects	3%		
<i>Sub-total</i>	<b>10.00%</b>			<b>8.46%</b>		<b>8.19%</b>		
<b>TOTAL WEIGHTS</b>	<b>100.00%</b>			<b>93.36%</b>		<b>90.33%</b>		