

LOCAL WATER UTILITIES ADMINISTRATION (LWUA)
Validated 2020 Performance Scorecard

| Component | | Target | | GOCC Submission | | GCG Validation | | Supporting Documents | Remarks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|-----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------------|---------|---|--------|----------------------|-----|--------|---|---------|----|---------|-----|-----------|------|---------|---|---------|----|---------|-----|---------|------|---------|----|---------|---|---------|----|---------|-----|--------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------------|------------------|
| Objective/Measure | Formula | Wt. | 2020 | Actual | Rating | Score | Rating | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SO 1 Expand Coverage and Reliable Water Service at Affordable Rates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SOCIAL IMPACT | SM 1 | Proportion of HHs in operational WD areas with access to level III safe water supply to total number of HH increased | Households with water connections over total households in service areas | 0% | 39.15% | 38.69% | 0% | 44.16% | 0% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summary of Service Connections by region <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Region</th> <th>Number of HHs served</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>CAR</td><td>76,833</td></tr> <tr><td>I</td><td>298,762</td></tr> <tr><td>II</td><td>132,442</td></tr> <tr><td>III</td><td>1,229,696</td></tr> <tr><td>IV-A</td><td>976,350</td></tr> <tr><td>V</td><td>292,065</td></tr> <tr><td>VI</td><td>347,763</td></tr> <tr><td>VII</td><td>352,349</td></tr> <tr><td>VIII</td><td>139,656</td></tr> <tr><td>IX</td><td>134,781</td></tr> <tr><td>X</td><td>269,249</td></tr> <tr><td>XI</td><td>470,079</td></tr> <tr><td>XII</td><td>84,510</td></tr> <tr><td>CARAGA</td><td>166,063</td></tr> <tr><td>BARMM</td><td>67,949</td></tr> <tr><td>Total</td><td>5,038,547</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The actual number of households served per area is as follows:</p> | Region | Number of HHs served | CAR | 76,833 | I | 298,762 | II | 132,442 | III | 1,229,696 | IV-A | 976,350 | V | 292,065 | VI | 347,763 | VII | 352,349 | VIII | 139,656 | IX | 134,781 | X | 269,249 | XI | 470,079 | XII | 84,510 | CARAGA | 166,063 | BARMM | 67,949 | Total | 5,038,547 |
| | Region | Number of HHs served | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CAR | 76,833 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I | 298,762 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| II | 132,442 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| III | 1,229,696 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IV-A | 976,350 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| V | 292,065 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VI | 347,763 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VII | 352,349 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIII | 139,656 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IX | 134,781 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| X | 269,249 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XI | 470,079 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XII | 84,510 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CARAGA | 166,063 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BARMM | 67,949 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 5,038,547 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SM 2 | Number of non-operational WDs to be operationalized | Absolute Number | 0% | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | The project implementation was suspended for the six (6) non-operational WDs due to the pandemic, and thus was recalibrated to zero target with zero weight; effectively excluding the same for 2020. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Component | | Target | | GOCC Submission | | GCG Validation | | Supporting Documents | Remarks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|---|--|--|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--|-------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|--------------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | Objective/Measure | Formula | Wt. | 2020 | Actual | Rating | Score | Rating | | | | | | |
| SOCIAL IMPACT | SO 2 Provide Adequate Sanitation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 3 | Percent of water-served population within the Manila Bay Area offered with sanitation services (i.e., desludging) | No. of water served population provided access to basic sanitation/Total water-served population | 10% | 30% | 27% (161,037/ 595,370) | 9.00% | 27.05% (161,037/ 595,370) | 9.02% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> WDs with sanitation services <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Water District</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Water-Served Population offered with Sanitation Services</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Total Water-Served Population</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Baliwag</td> <td style="text-align: center;">32,825</td> <td style="text-align: center;">133,156</td> </tr> <tr> <td>San Jose Del Monte</td> <td style="text-align: center;">128,212</td> <td style="text-align: center;">462,214</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">161,037</td> <td style="text-align: center;">595,370</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Water District | Water-Served Population offered with Sanitation Services | Total Water-Served Population | Baliwag | 32,825 | 133,156 | San Jose Del Monte | 128,212 | 462,214 | TOTAL | 161,037 | 595,370 | |
| | Water District | Water-Served Population offered with Sanitation Services | Total Water-Served Population | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Baliwag | 32,825 | 133,156 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | San Jose Del Monte | 128,212 | 462,214 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL | 161,037 | 595,370 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | | 10% | | | 9.00% | | 9.02% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CUSTOMERS/STAKEHOLDERS | SO 3 Ensure Reliable and Economically Viable Water Districts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | SM 4 | Percentage of Operational WDs with at least 90% collection efficiency | Number of WDs with at least 90% collection efficiency/ Total operational WDs | 5% | 41.5% | 56.23% (298/530 WDs) | 5% | 56.31% (299/531 WDs) | 5% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly Accomplishment Report of WDs Summary Report <p>The breakdown of the accomplishment is as follows:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Area</th> <th style="text-align: center;">No. of WDs</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">1</td><td style="text-align: center;">106</td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">2</td><td style="text-align: center;">55</td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">3</td><td style="text-align: center;">72</td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">4</td><td style="text-align: center;">66</td></tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">TOTAL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">299</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Area | No. of WDs | 1 | 106 | 2 | 55 | 3 | 72 | 4 | 66 | TOTAL | 299 |
| | | Area | No. of WDs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 106 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 55 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 72 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 66 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 299 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SM 5 | Percentage of operational WDs compliant with PNSDW (bacteriological test, physical, chemical test) | PNSDW compliant operational WD/ Total operational WD with access to laboratories | 10% | 43.39% | 53.54% (257/480) | 10% | 52.88% (257/486) | 10% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly Accomplishment Report of WDs Summary Report <p>Breakdown is as follows:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Area</th> <th style="text-align: center;">No. of Complaints WDs</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">1</td><td style="text-align: center;">86</td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">2</td><td style="text-align: center;">71</td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">3</td><td style="text-align: center;">39</td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">4</td><td style="text-align: center;">61</td></tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">TOTAL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">257</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Area | No. of Complaints WDs | 1 | 86 | 2 | 71 | 3 | 39 | 4 | 61 | TOTAL | 257 | | |
| Area | No. of Complaints WDs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 86 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 71 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 39 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 61 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 257 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Component | | | Target | GOCC Submission | | GCG Validation | | Supporting Documents | Remarks | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | Objective/Measure | Formula | Wt. | 2020 | Actual | Rating | Score | Rating | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FINANCE | SO 4 Institutionalize Good Governance in Water Districts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 6 | Percentage of Satisfied Customers | Number of respondents which gave at least a satisfactory rating/ Total number of respondents | 5% | 90% | Approval by the Management of Non-Implementation of 2020 CSS | 0% | Survey not conducted | 0% | - | The Management of LWUA approved the non-implementation of the CSS and decided to rechannel its ₱2 Million budget for the CSS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | | | 20% | | | 15% | | 15% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FINANCE | SO 5 Ensure the Financial Viability and Sustainability of LWUA Operations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 7 | Recovery of non-performing loans through Cash Collection | Actual Amount | 3% | ₱150 M | ₱254 M | 3% | ₱254.598 M | 3% | • Non-Performing Loan Collection Report | The breakdown for cash collection is as follows: <table border="1"> <tr><td>Principal</td><td>₱125,445,509.58</td></tr> <tr><td>Interest</td><td>129,152,392.25</td></tr> <tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>254,597,901.83</td></tr> </table> | Principal | ₱125,445,509.58 | Interest | 129,152,392.25 | TOTAL | 254,597,901.83 | | | | | |
| | Principal | ₱125,445,509.58 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest | 129,152,392.25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 254,597,901.83 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SM 8 | Collection Efficiency Ratio (Performing Loan) | Total Collections (PLs) / Total Billings (PLs) | 12% | 80% | 99.15% (807.937 M / 814.832 M) | 12% | 96.57% (786.913 M / 814.832 M) | 12% | • Billing and Collection Schedule | The breakdown for billings and collections are as follows: <u>Billing</u> <table border="1"> <tr><td>Principal</td><td>₱540,559,492.38</td></tr> <tr><td>Interest</td><td>274,272,196.75</td></tr> <tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>814,831,689.13</td></tr> </table> <u>Collection</u> <table border="1"> <tr><td>Principal</td><td>₱522,472,119.60</td></tr> <tr><td>Interest</td><td>264,440,884.36</td></tr> <tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>786,913,003.96</td></tr> </table> <p>Total overpayment of ₱21,023,827.78 was excluded and would be accounted during the year it will fall due.</p> | Principal | ₱540,559,492.38 | Interest | 274,272,196.75 | TOTAL | 814,831,689.13 | Principal | ₱522,472,119.60 | Interest | 264,440,884.36 | TOTAL | 786,913,003.96 |
| Principal | ₱540,559,492.38 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest | 274,272,196.75 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 814,831,689.13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Principal | ₱522,472,119.60 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest | 264,440,884.36 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 786,913,003.96 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Component | | Target | | | GOCC Submission | | GCG Validation | | Supporting Documents | Remarks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|--------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|---------|-------------|------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------------|------------|--------|------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | Objective/Measure | Formula | Wt. | 2020 | Actual | Rating | Score | | | Rating | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FINANCE | SM 9 | Maintain a Positive Net Income | Absolute Income | 4% | Break-even | ₱12.2 M | 4% | ₱12.2 M | 4% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LWUA Statement of Financial Performance for 2020 <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Particulars (in ₱)</th> <th>2020</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Revenue</td> <td>521,861,494</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other Gains</td> <td>72,567,334</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PS Cost</td> <td>260,459,030</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MOOE</td> <td>67,593,642</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Financial Expense</td> <td>88,734,045</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Non-cash Expense</td> <td>68,341,338</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Losses</td> <td>70,029,454</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Income Tax Expense</td> <td>27,029,392</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Net Income</td> <td>12,238,820</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Particulars (in ₱) | 2020 | Revenue | 521,861,494 | Other Gains | 72,567,334 | PS Cost | 260,459,030 | MOOE | 67,593,642 | Financial Expense | 88,734,045 | Non-cash Expense | 68,341,338 | Losses | 70,029,454 | Income Tax Expense | 27,029,392 | Net Income | 12,238,820 |
| | Particulars (in ₱) | 2020 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | 521,861,494 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Gains | 72,567,334 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PS Cost | 260,459,030 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MOOE | 67,593,642 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Financial Expense | 88,734,045 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-cash Expense | 68,341,338 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Losses | 70,029,454 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income Tax Expense | 27,029,392 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Income | 12,238,820 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SM 10 | Percentage of payment of LWUA's loan payable – current portion | Payment/ Loans Payable | 5% | 100% (385M/ 385M) | 100% (368M/ 368M) | 5% | 100% (368M/ 368M) | 5% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Schedule of Payments – loans payable <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Principal</td> <td>₱360,423,712.70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Interest</td> <td>7,247,929.05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>367,671,641.75</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The variance of ₱17.320 Million represents foreign exchange difference between the date of recording and the date of settlement.</p> | Principal | ₱360,423,712.70 | Interest | 7,247,929.05 | TOTAL | 367,671,641.75 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Principal | ₱360,423,712.70 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest | 7,247,929.05 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 367,671,641.75 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 24% | | | 24% | | 24% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| INTERNAL PROCESS | SO 6 | Ensure Competent Delivery of Financial, Technical, and Institutional Development Assistance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 11 | Percentage of PBB Application reviewed and endorsed to DBM within the prescribed timeline | Number of PBB applications reviewed and endorsed to DBM within the prescribed timeline / Total applicants | 5% | 100% | 100% (109/109) | 5% | 35.78% (39/109) | 1.79% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of LWDs applied for FY 2019 PBB <p>LWUA was able to review 109 applications of WDs. However, only 39 applications or 35.78% were endorsed to DBM within five (5) working days.</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Component | | Target | GOCC Submission | | GCG Validation | | Supporting Documents | Remarks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--|--|---|---------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Objective/Measure | Formula | Wt. | 2020 | Actual | Rating | Score | | | Rating | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| INTERNAL PROCESS | Percentage of projects (with NCA) completed during the year | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 12 | a. Started during the year (single-year projects) | Projects (with NCA) completed / Projects with NCA | 3% | 100% | 100% (33/30) | 3% | 83.33% (25/30) | 2.5% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certificates of project completion (COC) <table border="1"> <tr> <td>With COC (2019)</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>With COC (2020)</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No COC</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>33</td> </tr> </table> | With COC (2019) | 3 | With COC (2020) | 25 | No COC | 5 | TOTAL | 33 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | With COC (2019) | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| With COC (2020) | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No COC | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 33 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | b. Carry-over projects from previous year (multi-year projects) | 3% | 100% | 100% (10/10) | 3% | 50% (5/10) | 1.5% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certificates of project completion (COC) <table border="1"> <tr> <td>With COC (2020)</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>With COC (2021)</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No COC</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </table> | With COC (2020) | 5 | With COC (2021) | 1 | No COC | 4 | TOTAL | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| With COC (2020) | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| With COC (2021) | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No COC | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SM 13 | Percentage of sanitation feasibility studies completed | Percentage of sanitation FS completed | 10% | 100% (20 WDs) | Final report for 20 WDs completed | 10% | 100% (20 WDs) | 10% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Final Reports from 3rd Party Consultant <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Bagac, Bataan</td> <td>San Miguel, Bulacan</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Morong, Bataan</td> <td>San Rafael, Bulacan</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Angat, Bulacan</td> <td>Carmona, Cavite</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bustos, Bulacan</td> <td>General Emilio Aguinaldo, Cavite</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dona Remedios Trinidad, Bulacan</td> <td>General Mariano Alvarez, Cavite</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Guiguinto, Bulacan</td> <td>Maragondon, Cavite</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Norzagaray, Bulacan</td> <td>Mendez, Cavite</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Plaridel, Bulacan</td> <td>Silang, Cavite</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pulilan, Bulacan</td> <td>Tanza, Cavite</td> </tr> <tr> <td>San Ildefonso, Bulacan</td> <td>Trece Martinez, Cavite</td> </tr> </table> | Bagac, Bataan | San Miguel, Bulacan | Morong, Bataan | San Rafael, Bulacan | Angat, Bulacan | Carmona, Cavite | Bustos, Bulacan | General Emilio Aguinaldo, Cavite | Dona Remedios Trinidad, Bulacan | General Mariano Alvarez, Cavite | Guiguinto, Bulacan | Maragondon, Cavite | Norzagaray, Bulacan | Mendez, Cavite | Plaridel, Bulacan | Silang, Cavite | Pulilan, Bulacan | Tanza, Cavite | San Ildefonso, Bulacan | Trece Martinez, Cavite |
| Bagac, Bataan | San Miguel, Bulacan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Morong, Bataan | San Rafael, Bulacan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Angat, Bulacan | Carmona, Cavite | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bustos, Bulacan | General Emilio Aguinaldo, Cavite | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dona Remedios Trinidad, Bulacan | General Mariano Alvarez, Cavite | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guiguinto, Bulacan | Maragondon, Cavite | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Norzagaray, Bulacan | Mendez, Cavite | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plaridel, Bulacan | Silang, Cavite | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pulilan, Bulacan | Tanza, Cavite | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| San Ildefonso, Bulacan | Trece Martinez, Cavite | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| INTERNAL PROCESS | Component | | Target | GOCC Submission | | GCG Validation | | Supporting Documents | Remarks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--------|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|--------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|------------------------|
| | Objective/Measure | Formula | Wt. | 2020 | Actual | Rating | Score | | | Rating | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SM 14 | GAA Funds Utilization Rate | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | a. Carry-over | Total Funds Disbursed/ Total Funds Available and Obligated at the beginning of the year | 6% | 100% | 95.89% (457.12M/ 476.72M) | 5.75% | 95.89% (457.12M/ 476.72M) | 5.75% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Fund Utilization Schedule <table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">Breakdown of the accomplishment is as follows:</td></tr> <tr><td>2008 NG Subsidy</td><td>₱23,454,435.00</td></tr> <tr><td>2009 NG Subsidy</td><td>3,965,712.95</td></tr> <tr><td>2012 NG Subsidy</td><td>29,373,716.72</td></tr> <tr><td>2014 NG Subsidy</td><td>63,048,315.73</td></tr> <tr><td>2015 NG Subsidy</td><td>413,252.24</td></tr> <tr><td>2016 NG Subsidy</td><td>30,885,452.44</td></tr> <tr><td>2017 NG Subsidy</td><td>51,499,595.39</td></tr> <tr><td>2018 NG Subsidy</td><td>9,825,203.32</td></tr> <tr><td>2013 NHA Yolanda</td><td>4,278,708.75</td></tr> <tr><td>2015 NHA Yolanda 5.1</td><td>42,499,342.93</td></tr> <tr><td>2016 NHA Yolanda</td><td>47,596,838.56</td></tr> <tr><td>2016 NHA 991M</td><td>40,950,484.72</td></tr> <tr><td>GAA 2016</td><td>3,450,986.76</td></tr> <tr><td>GAA 2017</td><td>105,791,380.34</td></tr> <tr><td>Unknown funding</td><td>82,352.36</td></tr> <tr><td>Total</td><td>₱457,115,778.21</td></tr> </table> | Breakdown of the accomplishment is as follows: | | 2008 NG Subsidy | ₱23,454,435.00 | 2009 NG Subsidy | 3,965,712.95 | 2012 NG Subsidy | 29,373,716.72 | 2014 NG Subsidy | 63,048,315.73 | 2015 NG Subsidy | 413,252.24 | 2016 NG Subsidy | 30,885,452.44 | 2017 NG Subsidy | 51,499,595.39 | 2018 NG Subsidy | 9,825,203.32 | 2013 NHA Yolanda | 4,278,708.75 | 2015 NHA Yolanda 5.1 | 42,499,342.93 | 2016 NHA Yolanda | 47,596,838.56 | 2016 NHA 991M | 40,950,484.72 | GAA 2016 | 3,450,986.76 | GAA 2017 | 105,791,380.34 | Unknown funding | 82,352.36 | Total | ₱457,115,778.21 |
| | Breakdown of the accomplishment is as follows: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 NG Subsidy | ₱23,454,435.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2009 NG Subsidy | 3,965,712.95 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 NG Subsidy | 29,373,716.72 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2014 NG Subsidy | 63,048,315.73 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2015 NG Subsidy | 413,252.24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 NG Subsidy | 30,885,452.44 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2017 NG Subsidy | 51,499,595.39 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018 NG Subsidy | 9,825,203.32 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 NHA Yolanda | 4,278,708.75 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2015 NHA Yolanda 5.1 | 42,499,342.93 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 NHA Yolanda | 47,596,838.56 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 NHA 991M | 40,950,484.72 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GAA 2016 | 3,450,986.76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GAA 2017 | 105,791,380.34 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unknown funding | 82,352.36 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | ₱457,115,778.21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| b. Current | Cash Disbursed/ Total Cash Program | 0% | 0% | - | - | - | - | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certification from the Administrator <p>LWUA did not implement projects under the GAA 2020 to utilize the funds in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. It also provided the Certification from the Administrator dated 04 May 2020, which states that the Programs/Activities/Plans under FY 2019 and FY 2020 amounting to ₱325 Million and ₱345 Million, respectively, will no longer be implemented. Said programs will be included in FY 2021 and FY 2022.</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 27% | | | | 26.75% | | 21.54% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Component | | Target | | GOCC Submission | | GCG Validation | | Supporting Documents | Remarks | |
|------------------------|--|-----------|------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------|---|------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | Actual | Rating | Score | Rating | | | |
| Objective/Measure | Formula | Wt. | 2020 | Actual | Rating | Score | Rating | | | |
| SO 7 | Develop a Competent and Efficient Workforce of Dedicated Civil Servants | | | | | | | | | |
| SM 15 | ISO 9001:2015 Certification | Milestone | 5% | Passed Surveillance Audit | Passed 2 nd Surveillance Audit | 5% | Passed 2 nd Surveillance Audit | 5% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attestation Certificate | LWUA had undergone the surveillance audit on 21 December 2020 and were recommended for continued certification. |
| SM 16 | Percentage of employees with required competencies met | Milestone | 5% | Board-Approved Competency Model | Pending CSC's approval on Policy for Online Learning | 0% | No Competency Model | 0% | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Memorandum to the Administrator on MOA re Design and Conduct of the CBHRS Email Communication with CSC-CSI | LWUA opted to engage a third party to develop a Competency-Based Human Resource System instead of developing it internally. The MOA with CSC-Civil Service Institute has not been finalized during the year. |
| Sub-total | | | 10% | | | 5% | | 5% | | |
| TOTAL | | | 91% | | | 79.75% | | 74.56% | | |
| VALIDATED TOTAL | | | 91% | | | | | 74.56% / 91% = 81.93% | | |

LEARNING AND GROWTH