## PHILIPPINE CHARITY SWEEPSTAKES OFFICE (PCSO)

## 2016 Performance Scorecard Evaluation

|                       | Performance Measure |   |             |                                  |  | PCSO Subr                 | nission   | GCG Valid  | dation | Supporting   |  |  |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---|-------------|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------|--|--------|--|--|--|
|                       | Objective / Measure |   | Weight      | Rating<br>Scale                  | Targets  | s Actual Rating           |           | Score  | Rating | Documents  | GCG Remarks  |  |
|                       | SO 1                | Responsive a  | nd Efficien | t Fund Prov                      | ision for Health   | and Charitable            | e Program | s of the Govern  | ment   | <b>建设在公司的</b>  |  |  |
| STAKEHOLDERS/CUTOMERS | SM 1                | Settlement of arrears over three years arising from mandatory contributions | 15.00%      | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight | Payment of Current Mandatory Contributions and Payment of Arrears over Three Years  1/3 of the Reconciled Figure | Paid a Total<br>Amount of | 15.00%    | P1.001 Billion in Mandatory contributions, P327 Million of which are verifiable  P1.450 Billion for the Settlement of Arrears, P1.214 Billion of which are verifiable  No Reconciled Figures | 6.84%  | •2016 Summary of Mandatory Contributions     •GCG Letter on the Financial Roadmap for Payables of PCSO     •GCG MFP on the Financial Roadmap for the PCSO     •2016 COA Annual Audit Report     •Certifications from concerned agencies re PCSO's settlement of Current and Arrears on Mandatory Contributions | Rating revised. Since there are three targets for the measure, each target is given a 5% weight.  (a) Payment of Current Mandatory Contributions Verifiable data amounts to ₱327,335,271.32 as current mandatory contributions settled out of ₱554,866,232.98 reported payment made. Although PCSO presented that it was also able to settle its mandatory contribution to the Philippine Red Cross, the National Council on Disability Affairs and various LGUs, no receipts / certifications were submitted.  (b) Payment of Arrears Over Three Years. — Out of total arrears amounting to ₱1,559,000,000, verifiable data sourced from the certification provided by the Bureau of Treasury amounts to ₱1,213,743,670 for Education Programs and the National Shelter Program out of the reported ₱1,450,492,704.57. Disbursement to the LGUs cannot be objectively verified since no receipts / certifications from the concerned agencies were provided by the PCSO.  (c) Submission of Reconciled Figures. — Reconciled figures from concerned agencies were not submitted. Summary of disbursement submitted remain unverifiable. |  |
|                       | SM 2                | Increasing charity fund   | 12.50%      | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight | ₽11.1 Billion  | ₽11.63<br>Billion         | 15.00%    | ₽11.63<br>Billion  | 12.50% | 2016 Financial     Statements (as prepared by the Accounting and   | The reported charity fund of P11,631,876,787.45 based on the submitted supporting document was compared to the audited report of the Commission on Audit (COA). It was found that there was no   |  |

P C S O | Page 2 of 10 Request for reconsideration of the 2016 Validated Performance Scorecard(Annex B)

| Performance Measure |        |                 |         | PCSO Sub | PCSO Submission GCG Valida |       |        | Supporting  | CCC Parrada  |
|---------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|----------|----------------------------|-------|--------|---|--|
| Objective / Measure | Weight | Rating<br>Scale | Targets | Actual   | Rating                     | Score | Rating | Documents   | GCG Remarks  |
|                     |        |                 |         |          |                            |       |        | Budget Department)  •2016 COA Annual Audit Report | discrepancy in the values reported by the PCSO and the audited figure of the COA.  The PCSO has requested to increase the weight allocated to the measure. Per representation the request to increase the allocated weight from 12.50% to 15.00% is anchored on the fact that PCSO was able to exceed the target fund allocation for the implementation of charity program under the PCSO flagship program – Individual Medical Assistance Program (IMAP). The IMAP serves individuals requiring financial assistance for hospitalization, chemotherapy and dialysis. Per assessment, financial assistance granted under the program has more than quadrupled to P5.2 Billion in 2014 from P1.2 Billion in 2009. The expansion of the IMAP raised concerns over the liquidity and sustainability of PCSO.  Per the Governance Commission's financial assessment of PCSO, a financial roadmap for PCSO was recommended to the President. The same roadmap was also transmitted to the PCSO in a letter dated 17 September 2015. In the said communication, the Governance Commission recommended that the PCSO Governing Board should provide a clear budget cap or limit for the charity program. The provision of clear budget cap or limit for the charity program is to ensure that PCSO will be able to settle its liabilities and address its liquidity and sustainability issues. Thus, the justification to increase the weight due to increased allocation to IMAP is not a valid justification. The increase in IMAP allocation in itself should not be considered as an accomplishment as it goes against the purpose and objective of the measure which is to instill effective financial management in the organization. Thus, the request to increase the allocated weight is denied. |

P C S O | Page 3 of 10 Request for reconsideration of the 2016 Validated Performance Scorecard(Annex B)

|          | Perfo   | rmance Me   | easure                           |   | PCSO Subn  | nission | GCG Valid  | dation | Supporting  |  |
|----------|---|-------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---------|--|--------|---|--|
| Objectiv | re / Measure  | Weight      | Rating<br>Scale                  | Targets   | Actual   | Rating  | Score  | Rating | Documents   | GCG Remarks  |
| SM 3     | Increase in branches network in order to increase delivery presence | 10.00%      | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight | +6 Branches<br>(54<br>Branches)                                       | <ol> <li>Baler,<br/>Aurora</li> <li>Kalinga</li> <li>Romblon</li> <li>North<br/>Cotabato</li> <li>Zambo-<br/>anga<br/>Sibugay</li> <li>Southern<br/>Leyte</li> </ol> | 10.00%  | PCSO Opened Branches in Baler, Kalinga, Romblon, North Cotabato, Southern Leyte, Zamboanga Sibugay | 10.00% | Summary of     News Clippings     on the Opening     of PCSO     Branches      PCSO 2016     Annual Report  | With the opening of the six additional PCSO branches in Baler, Kalinga, Romblon, North Cotabato, Southern Leyte, Zamboanga Sibugay, the PCSO has a total of 56 branch offices nationwide.  |
| SM 4     | Providing access to charity services for the poorest provinces      | 5.00%       | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight | +4 Provinces<br>from the<br>NAPC-<br>Verified<br>Poorest<br>Provinces | 4 Provinces Identified (Lanao del Sur, Maguindanao, Sarangani, and Sulu)  Defined Scope of Charity Services thru the Approval of the I-HOPE Program                  | 5.00%   | 4 Provinces<br>Identified  | 5.00%  | Secretary's Certification on Board Resolution No. 279, s. 2016 Identifying the Four Poorest Provinces (Lanao del Sur, Maguindanao, Sarangani, Sulu) Secretary's Certification on Board Resolution No. S-0078, s. 2016 Approving the I-HOPE for 2017  Program Plan of I-HOPE Program | Research conducted by the Governance Commission shows that through the I-HOPE Program, the provinces of Lanao del Sur, Maguindanao, Sarangani, and Sulu, the PCSO was able to allot \$\mathbb{P}\$50 Million worth of medical equipment for each province. |
| SO 2     | Efficient and I   | Decentraliz | ed Charity S                     | Services and Co   | ustomer Care   |         |  |        |   | <b>国的</b>  |
| SM 5     | Raising AFP and PNP hospitals to DOH                                | 5.00%       | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight | 4 Philippine<br>Army  | Letters<br>Seeking<br>Clearance<br>from DOH  | 2.00%   | Letter<br>Seeking<br>Clearance<br>from DOH   | 5.00%  | PCSO Letter to<br>DOH Seeking<br>Evaluation and<br>Clearance of   | During a meeting with the PCSO on 20 December 2016, the Governance Commission requested the PCSO to submit the changes in the concept paper and implementation plan, specifically the  |

P C S O | Page 4 of 10 Request for reconsideration of the 2016 Validated Performance Scorecard(Annex B)

|      | Performance Measure                                   |        |                                  |  | PCSO Subr   | mission | GCG Valid   | lation | Supporting   |  |
|------|---|--------|----------------------------------|--|---|---------|---|--------|--|--|
| Obje | ective / Measure                                      | Weight | Rating<br>Scale                  | Targets  | Actual  | Rating  | Score   | Rating | Documents  | GCG Remarks  |
|      | standard on equipment                                 |        |                                  | 3 Philippine Air Force 1 AFP Medical Center  Implementation of DOH- Approved Equipment |   |         | Project Concept Paper for the PCSO AFP/PNP Health Facilities Capability- Building Project |        | Medical Equipment  Secretary's Certification on Board Resolution No. 189, s. 1016 Acknowledging the Need to Review Medical Equipment Requirements  PCSO Memorandum for the Chairman with the Subject "Amendment in the Performance Agreement Targets for CY 2016 – PCSO AFP/PNP Health Facilities Capability- Building Project"  Project Concept Paper for the PCSO AFP/PNP Health Facilities Capability- Building Project | distribution of the equipment for the AFP/PNP hospitals. The PCSO was able to transmit documentation that the PCSO had a series of meetings with its counterparts from the AFP and PNP to discuss the medical equipment requirements of the hospitals. In PCSO's letter dated 28 December 2018, it was able to transmit the Project Concept Paper for the PCSO AFP/PNP Health Facilities Capability-Building Project, which presents that the prioritization of the medical facilities to be assisted, as well as the type of medical equipment to be donated is based on the submission of the AFP and PNP Medical Treatment Facilities / Units (MFTUs). PCSO shall then refer to the DOH for their technical evaluation of the submitted requests of the AFP and PNP MFTUs. With the DOH's Standard Technical Specification (STS) for Hospital Equipment, these MFTUs resubmitted their updated requests which provided information on the type of equipment identified as "priority".  Given that PCSO's achievement of its target is lodged to a priority-driven measure and that the prioritization of the medical facilities to be assisted in in consideration of the DOH's Technical Evaluation, the Governance Commission hereby accepts the new submissions of the PCSO and hereby grants the full rating of 5% for the measure. |
| SI   | PCSO At Source Ang Processing (ASAP)  (Rationalized / | 5.00%  | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight | 2 Hospitals in<br>Mindanao<br>2 in Visayas   | Batangas<br>Medical<br>Center<br>Bulacan<br>Medical<br>Center | 4.38%   | Batangas<br>Medical<br>Center<br>Bulacan<br>Medical<br>Center                             | 4.38%  | • Secretary's Certification on Board Resolution No. 422, s. 2015 Approving the Pilot Testing of  | With the inclusion of the seven new partner hospitals, there is a total of 29 hospitals nationwide with PCSO Help Desks.  The agreed upon target for 2016 is the establishment of PCSO Help Desks in two hospitals each in Mindanao, Visayas, Southern Luzon, and Northern Luzon. Lacking one hospital   |

P C S O | Page 5 of 10 Request for reconsideration of the 2016 Validated Performance Scorecard(Annex B)

|    |         | Perfor   | rmance Me | asure            |  | PCSO Subn  | nission | GCG Valid  | lation | Supporting  |  |
|----|---------|--|-----------|------------------|--|--|---------|--|--------|---|--|
| OI | bjectiv | e / Measure  | Weight    | Rating<br>Scale  | Targets  | Actual   | Rating  | Score  | Rating | Documents   | GCG Remarks  |
|    |         | Decentralize<br>d Medical<br>Assistance<br>for Indigent<br>Patients) |           |                  | 2 in Southern<br>Luzon  2 in Northern<br>Luzon                   | Davao Regional and Medical Center Mandaue City Hospital Brokenshire Integrated Health Ministries Inc. Castro Maternity Hospital and Medical Center De La Salle University Center |         | Davao Regional and Medical Center Mandaue City Hospital Brokenshire Integrated Health Ministries Inc. Castro Maternity Hospital and Medical Center De La Salle University Center |        | ASAP Service Delivery System Signed Memoranda of Agreement with Batangas Medical Center, Bulacan Medical Center, Davao Regional and Medical Center, Mandaue City Hospital, Brokenshire Integrated Health Ministries Inc., Castro Maternity Hospital and Medical Center, and De La Salle University Center | in the Visayas area, the PCSO is awarded a weight of 4.38%.  |
|    | SM 7    | Customer<br>satisfaction<br>survey (3 <sup>rd</sup><br>party)        | 5.00%     | Below<br>75% = 0 | +5% of 2015<br>Rating but Not<br>Below<br>Satisfactory<br>Rating | MOA<br>between<br>PCSO and<br>DAP  | 1.00%   | Signed<br>Memorandum<br>of Agreement<br>between<br>PCSO & DAP  | 3.00%  | Signed     Memorandum of     Agreement     between PCSO     and DAP   | Similar to 2015, PCSO engaged the services of the Development Academy of the Philippines (DAP) to determine the satisfaction level of indigents seeking financial assistance for hospitalization needs in 2016.  The PCSO requested for the decrease the weight allocation of the measure and change the target to signed Memorandum of Agreement (MOA). To acknowledge the efforts of the PCSO, the rating scale of the measure shall be revised to give due consideration to the achievement of milestone activity. The revised rating scale is as follows:  • 1% - Board Approval of the Procurement of Third Party Provider;  • 3% - Signed MOA with Third Party Provider to Execute Survey; |

P C S O | Page 6 of 10 Request for reconsideration of the 2016 Validated Performance Scorecard(Annex B)

|           | Perfor |  | rmance Measure |                                  |  | PCSO Submission   |            | GCG Valid                               | dation  | Supporting  |  |
|-----------|--------|--|----------------|----------------------------------|--|---|------------|---|---------|---|--|
| C         |        |  | Weight         | Rating<br>Scale                  | Targets  | Actual  | Rating     | Score                                   | Rating  | Documents   | GCG Remarks  |
|           |        |  |                |                                  |  |   |            |   |         |   | • 5% - +5% of 2015 Rating but Not Below Satisfactory.  |
|           |        |  |                |                                  |  |   |            |   |         |   | Since PCSO was able to sign a MOA with DAP, the PCSO is awarded a weight of 3%.  |
|           | Su     | b-total Weight   | 57.50%         |                                  |  |   | 52.38%     |   | 46.72%  |   |  |
|           | SO 3   | Sustained Rev  | venue Gro      | wth from Ga                      | ming Activities  |   | 2.6        |   |         |   |  |
| FINANCIAL | SM 8   | Gross sales  | 12.50%         | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight | ₽37 Billion  | ₽39.56<br>Billion   | 15.00%     | ₽39.56<br>Billion                       | 12.50%  | 2016 Financial     Statements (as     prepared by the     Accounting and     Budget     Department)     2016 COA     Annual Audit     Report  | For 2016, the PCSO was able to raise P39,564,206,760 in gross receipts, which is a 5.77% increase from the 2015 performance.  The PCSO has requested to increase the weight of the measure from the agreed upon 12.50% to 15.00% to take into account revenue generation reforms initiated by the PCSO. While the efforts made by the PCSO to increase its sales is commendable, it does not warrant a subsequent increase in the weight allocation. Moreover, the proposal of the PCSO is denied as changing the weight allocation by basis of the likelihood a GOCC may or may not achieve its target undermines the integrity of the performance framework. |
| E         | SO 4   | Research and   | Developm       | ent of New                       | Products and E   | nhancement of   | Existing F | Products and C                          | hannels |   |  |
|           | SM 9   | Development<br>of marketing<br>plan for<br>existing<br>products and<br>new<br>channels | 5.00%          | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight | Board-<br>Approved<br>Marketing<br>Plan<br>Conducted by<br>the Third Party | Board-<br>Approved<br>Marketing<br>Plan<br>Conducted<br>by the Third<br>Party | 5.00%      | Board-<br>Approved<br>Marketing<br>Plan | 5.00%   | Secretary's     Certificate on     Board Resolution     No. D-0083, s.     2016      2017 PCSO     Marketing Plan     prepared by the     Product and     Standard     Development     Department | Rating revised. The Submitted additional documents reflecting Board action and decision to hire a third party to conduct a study and not to develop the marketing plan is accepted as an exercise of sound business judgement and discretion of the Board.  Request for reconsideration is ACCEPTED.   |

P C S O | Page 7 of 10 Request for reconsideration of the 2016 Validated Performance Scorecard(Annex B)

|                  |          | Perfor   | mance Me | asure  |  | PCSO Subr                             | nission    | GCG Valid  | lation | Supporting  |   |
|------------------|----------|--|----------|--|--|---------------------------------------|------------|--|--------|---|---|
| C                | bjectiv  | e / Measure  | Weight   | Rating<br>Scale                                      | Targets  | Actual                                | Rating     | Score  | Rating | Documents   | GCG Remarks   |
|                  |          |  |          |  |  |                                       |            |  |        | under the<br>Gaming Sector  |   |
|                  |          |  |          |  |  |                                       |            |  |        | •Terms of<br>Reference (TOR)<br>on the Hiring of<br>the services of a<br>Research<br>Company  |   |
|                  |          |  |          |  |  |                                       |            |  |        | BAC Resolution     No. 022, s. 2015   |   |
|                  |          |  |          |  |  |                                       |            |  |        | Secretary's     Certificate on     Board Resolution     No. 126-A, s.     2015                |   |
|                  |          |  |          |  |  |                                       | Š. 1 - 7 7 |  |        | Minutes of the<br>Meeting of the 4 <sup>th</sup><br>Regular Board<br>Meeting CY 2015          |   |
|                  |          |  |          |  |  |                                       |            |  |        | Secretary's     Certificate on     Board Resolution     No. 077, s. 2015                      |   |
|                  | 700-2007 | b-total Weight   | 17.50%   |  |  |                                       | 20.00%     |  | 17.50% |   |   |
| 40               | SO 5     | Process Autor  | mation   |  |  |                                       |            |  |        |   |   |
| INTERNAL PROCESS | SM<br>10 | Processing<br>time of<br>service of<br>requests for<br>ambulance | 5.00%    | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight<br>Below<br>75% = 0 | 90% of<br>Requests<br>Processed<br>within 30<br>Calendar<br>Days | 411<br>Ambulance<br>Units<br>Released | 5.00%      | A43 Ambulance Requests Approved, 143 of which were from 4 <sup>th</sup> , 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> class municipalities | 5.00%  | 2016 Inventory of<br>Released<br>Ambulance     Sample<br>documents of<br>Ambulance<br>Request | Initially, the Governance Commission gave a 0% rating for the measure given the lack of documentary requirements from the PCSO. In its appeal letter, the PCSO provided a summary of the ambulance requests received and acted upon within 2016 which also presents that all requests were processed within 30 calendar days. To conduct due diligence and to further validate PCSO's submission, the Governance Commission requested for documentation showing the validity of the date of receipt of complete documents and |

P C S O | Page 8 of 10 Request for reconsideration of the 2016 Validated Performance Scorecard(Annex B)

|   |          | Perfor  | mance Me | easure   |   | PCSO Subr   | nission | GCG Valid  | dation | Supporting  |   |
|---|----------|---|----------|--|---|---|---------|--|--------|---|---|
| C | bjectiv  | e / Measure   | Weight   | Rating<br>Scale                                      | Targets   | Actual  | Rating  | Score  | Rating | Documents   | GCG Remarks   |
|   |          |   |          |  | All Valid<br>Request of<br>4 <sup>th</sup> , 5 <sup>th</sup> , and<br>6 <sup>th</sup> Class<br>Municipalities<br>are Serviced |   |         |  |        |   | date of approval reflected in the presented summary during the on-site validation conducted last 11 September 2019. Since the PCSO was able to substantiate its actual accomplishment, the Governance Commission hereby grants PCSO's appeal for SM 10 and awards the 5% full weight for this measure.  |
|   | SM<br>11 | Processed automated   | 5.00%    | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight                     | CAS – Full<br>Roll Out in<br>Head Office<br>and Specific<br>Module for<br>Branch<br>Offices                                   | No CAS<br>Module<br>Rolled Out in<br>2016                                 | 1.00%   | No CAS<br>Module<br>Rolled Out in<br>2016  | -      | PCSO 3rd Quarter Accomplishment Report Sample of CSIS Module Letter from Third Party Requesting for Extension to Complete the CAS               | Per the representation of the PCSO, the third-party service provider failed to deliver the expected outputs on time. As such, the CAS has not been rolled out in 2016. Thus, the PCSO requested to decrease the weight allocated to the measure and revise the target to "Test Run of CSIS in 4th Quarter of 2016". The submission of the PCSO shows that the third party provider requested for an extension of the CAS Project from 30 September 2016 to 30 September 2017. Given that the nonattainment of the target was beyond the control of the PCSO, the Governance Commission shall disregard the weight allocation of the measure in the total weight. Therefore, the 2016 Performance Scorecard has a total weight of 95%. |
|   | SM<br>12 | Shorter processing time for the payment of guarantee letters issued | 5.00%    | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight<br>Below<br>75% = 0 | 90% of<br>Requests are<br>Processed<br>within 45<br>Days  | 165,351 out of the 223,778 Cases Processed within the Specified Timeframe | 1.00%   | Accomplishment cannot be objectively verified due to inconsistent data submitted | 0.00%  | Summary of IMAP Requests processed Manually and through the Computerized Accounting System (CAS)     Database of IMAP Requests (Manual and CAS) | Having no documentary submissions supporting its claim that 165,351 out of the 223,778 of the cases were processed within the specified timeframe, the PCSO was initially given a rating of zero in the measure.  In its appeal letter, the PCSO provided additional documentary evidences on the processed disbursement vouchers for 2016, which includes requests processed manually and through the CAS. However, upon the validation of the Governance Commission, the PCSO included in its computation the requests received in prior years and those with no data on the receipt date of the request. Additional documents were then requested from the PCSO, which the Governance Commission found that out of the 226,194     |

P C S O | Page 9 of 10 Request for reconsideration of the 2016 Validated Performance Scorecard(Annex B)

|                     | Perfo    |  | rmance Me | easure  |  | PCSO Subr   | nission | GCG Valid                                     | dation | Supporting  |  |
|---------------------|----------|--|-----------|---|--|---|---------|---|--------|---|--|
| O                   | bjectiv  | e / Measure                                      | Weight    | Rating<br>Scale   | Targets                                      | Actual  | Rating  | Score   | Rating | Documents   | GCG Remarks  |
|                     |          |  |           |   |  |   |         |   |        |   | requests, only 112,257 were verifiable. Out of the 112,257, 55,375 were processed within the prescribed period of 45 days.  Based on the rating scale under the 2016 Performance Scorecard, wherein a minimum acceptable accomplishment of 75% was determined, the 0% score is hereby maintained since the submissions of the PCSO does not reach the minimum requirement to be considered as accomplishment. It shall also be noted that majority of the transactions remain unverifiable and that the submissions are noticeably inconsistent, hence the validity and accuracy of the reported accomplishment cannot be properly and objectively determined.   |
|                     | Su       | b-total Weight                                   | 15.00%    |   |  |   | 7.00%   |   | 5.00%  |   |  |
|                     | SO 6     | Equipped and                                     | Empower   | ed Professio  | nal Workforce                                |   |         |   |        |   |  |
| LEARNING AND GROWTH | SM<br>13 | Improve the competency level of the organization | 5.00%     | 3% = Board- Approved Competency Framework / Model 5% = Establishment of the Baseline Competency Level | Establish<br>Baseline<br>Competency<br>Level | Board-<br>Approved<br>PCSO<br>Competency<br>Framework | 5.00%   | Board-<br>Approved<br>Competency<br>Framework | 0.00%  | Secretary's Certification of Board Resolution D-0069, s. 2016 Approving the Competency Framework of PCSO Copy of Competency Framework | Per the agreement of the Governance Commission and the PCSO during a meeting last 20 December 2016, the graduated rating scale was introduced to give credit to activities undertaken but short of implementation of the framework. While the PCSO Board of Directors approved the competency framework of the organization, the supporting documents show that only the competency catalogue was completed in 2016. In its appeal letter of the PCSO and subsequent submission last 28 December 2018, the same supporting documents were submitted to the Governance Commission.  While the Governance Commission acknowledges the completion of the Competency Catalogue, Competency Framework, and Competency Tables of the Organization, the PCSO has failed to submit the Competency Matrix, Position Profiles, and Competency-Based Job Descriptions. Without the submission of such outputs, the submitted Board- |

P C S O | Page 10 of 10 Request for reconsideration of the 2016 Validated Performance Scorecard(Annex B)

| Performance Measure |                   |        | PCSO Subr                        | nission  | GCG Valid   | dation                    | Supporting   | CCC Remarks |   |  |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------|----------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--|-------------|---|--|
| Objective / Measure |                   | Weight | Rating<br>Scale                  | Targets  | Actual  | Rating                    | Score  | Rating      | Documents   | GCG Remarks  |
|                     |                   |        |                                  |  |   |                           |  |             |   | approved Competency Framework could not be considered as an accomplishment for 2016,   |
| SM 14               | ISO certification | 5.00%  | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight | ISO<br>Certification<br>Charity and<br>Branch<br>Processes | Maintenance<br>of ISO<br>9001:2008<br>Certification | 10.00%                    | No ISO<br>Certification<br>for<br>Charity and<br>Branch<br>Processes | 0.00%       | • Secretary's Certification of Board Resolution 171, s. 2016 and Board Resolution D-0046, s. 2016 Approving the Deferring of ISO Certification for Charity and Branch Processes | The PCSO's latest submission showed that the ISO Certification for Branch Offices was deferred due to the non-submission of the required documents and non-participation of any of the Branch Sector officers or representatives in the conduct of review and revision of the PCSO's Quality Manual amid regular reminders of the PCSO Quality Management System (QMS) Committee. Considering that these factors are within PCSO's control, the Governance Commission's previous evaluation to retain the measure is maintained. While the Governance Commission acknowledges the maintenance of PCSO's ISO 9001:2008 Certification on the Prize Claims Processes, the 2016 target, as indicated in PCSO's scorecard, of obtaining "ISO Certification for Charity and Branch Processes" was not accomplished. As such, the Governance Commission maintains that the 0% awarded to the measure shall be RETAINED. |
| Su                  | ıb-total Weight   | 10.00% |                                  |  |   | 15.00%                    |  | 0.00%       |   |  |
| TOTAL               |                   | 100%   |                                  |  |   | <b>94.38%</b> out of 100% |  |             | 2% out of 100%<br>86% out of 95%  |  |