PHILIPPINE HEALTH INSURANCE CORPORATION

| | | | Component | | | Baselir | ne Data | | 「arget | | |
|-------------------|------|---|---|--------|---|---------|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | Objective/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating System | 2016 | 2017' | 2018 | 2019 | | |
| | SO 1 | Total Client Experience | | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 1 | Percentage of Satisfied Customers | Number of respondents who rated PhilHealth service with at least Satisfactory / Total number of respondents | 10% | (Actual/Target) x Weight Below 80% = 0% | 78.5% | Awaiting results Proposed proxy measure: 93.71% Contact Center ng Bayan (CCB) resolution rate | 85% | ≥ 90% | | |
| STN | SO 2 | 2 Responsive Benefits | | | | | | | | | |
| SATISFIED CLIENTS | SM 2 | Percent of Eligible Members Availed of the No Balance Billing | Total Number of Surveyed NBB Claims / Total Number of Surveyed NBB- Eligible Claims | 10% | (Actual/Target) x Weight | 63.38 | Awaiting results Proposed proxy measure: 72.03% of indigent with zero OOP (NBB Exit Survey) | No data | ≥ 90% | | |
| | SM 3 | Improvement of Provider- Payment Mechanism | Actual Accomplishment | 10% | All or Nothing | No data | No data | 1. Validation of the costing tool; 2. Standardization of forms for collection of cost data; 3. Pilot testing of the forms for | Conduct capacity building of accredited health care providers per area; Conduct capacity building of PhilHealth technical staff on data analysis of the cost data; and | | |

¹ As reported by PhilHealth.

| | | Component | | | | Baseline Data | | | Target | | |
|------------------|------|---|---|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|--|---|--|--|
| | | Objective/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating System | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | | |
| | | | | | | | | collection of cost data; and 4. Pilot training of providers in PhilHealth Regional Office (PRO) III on the costing methodology and tools. | 3. Management ExeComm-approved policy on the institutionalization of the regular submission of cost data, as part of the Performance Commitment, by all accredited health care providers. | | |
| | | Sub-total | | 30% | | | | | | | |
| | SO 3 | Revenue Generated | | | | | | | | | |
| QNI | SM 4 | Improve Collection Efficiency Rate | Actual collection / Potential collection | 10% | (Actual/Target) x Weight | 75.58% | 77% | ≥95% (formal and informal economies, and overseas Filipinos) | ≥95% (formal and informal economies, and overseas Filipinos) | | |
| 马马 | SO 4 | Optimized Asset | | | | | | | | | |
| SUSTAINABLE FUND | SM 5 | Increase in Benefit Expense to Premium Income Ratio | Benefit Expense / Premium Income | 10% | [100%-(Actual- Target)/Target] | No data | No data | 1.01:1.00 | 1:1 | | |
| | | Sub-total | | 20% | | | | | | | |

| | Component | | | | | Baseline | e Data | Target | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|--|--|--------|----------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | Objective/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating System | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | | |
| | SO 5 | Boost Innovation in Research, Policy, and Process | | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 6 | Implement Quality Management System | Actual Accomplishment | 10% | All or Nothing | ISO Certification awarded to 17 out of 20 PROs 17 out of the minimum of 20 LHIOs (1 per PRO) were certified | Certificate of Readiness for transition to ISO 9001:2015 | ISO 9001:2015 Certificate covering all sites all processes | Maintain ISO 9001:2015 certification covering all sites all processes | | |
| SSES | SO 6 | Ensure Operational Effectiveness and Efficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT PROCESSES | SM 7 | Potential Fraud Incidence Rate | Third-party rating | 5% | All or Nothing | No data | No data | No data | Implementation and establishment of baseline | | |
| EXCELL | SM 8 | Increase in the Percentage of Cases Disposed | Number of Cases disposed (resolved or filed with charges) / Total number of cases | 15% | All or Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | Establish Baseline | | |

| | | | | Baseline Data | | Target | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|--|--|---------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | (| Objective/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating System | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | | |
| | SO 7 | 7 Strengthen Customer and Partner Relations | | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 9 | Percentage of Good Claims Processed Within Applicable Time | Number of good claims processed within Applicable Processing Time ³ / Total number of claims | 10% | (Actual / Target) x Weight 0% = If less than 90% | N/A | N/A | 100% | 100% | | |
| | | Sub-total | | 40% | | | | | | | |
| | SO 8 | Ensure Organizational Alig | nment and Workforce | Engageme | ent | | | | | | |
| STRONG FOUNDATION | SM 10 | Percentage of Employees Meeting Required Competencies | Actual Accomplishment | 10% | All or Nothing | Baseline established for the 35 competencies | 99.98% scored at least intermediate in the AKAPP Survey | 80% of employees scored at least intermediate proficiency for all core and cross- BPA competencies | Development of Position Profile ⁴ and Competency-Based Job Description ⁵ Establishment of Baseline ⁶ | | |
| L | | Sub-total | | 10% | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | Total | | 100% | | | | | | | |

³ Applicable processing time subject to compliance with Republic Act No. 7875, as amended by R.A. No. 10606 or Republic Act No. 11032 otherwise known as Ease of Doing Business and Efficient Government Service Delivery (EODB) Act of 2018, whichever is applicable. PhilHealth to seek clarification with ARTA Authority.

⁴ A set of profiles for all positions identifying the (1) competencies required for each position title, and the (2) behavioral indicators associated with these required competencies. The behavioral indicators must be in accordance with the competency levels required for the position title under the Competency Matrix.

⁵ A set of all job descriptions of all position titles identifying the (1) tasks and sub-tasks associated with each position title, (2) the competencies required for the position title, (3) the competency levels for these required competencies, and (4) the behavioral indicators associated with the competency levels

⁶ Involves the implementation of set of methodologies used in evaluating whether an incumbent or candidate to a position possesses (1) the competencies required by the position, (2) the actual competency levels of the incumbent or candidate, and (3) the gaps between the actual competencies and competency levels of the incumbent or candidate, and the required competencies and competency levels of the position