TOURISM PROMOTIONS BOARD 2016 PERFORMANCE SCORECARD

			Co	mponent			TPB Subm	ission	GCG Evalu	ation	Supporting	GCG Remarks
	Obj	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
	SO 1	Top of Mind Desti	ination									
CUSTOMER / STAKEHOLDERS	SM 1	International Visitors from the TPB Key markets (12 Key Markets plus Overseas Filipino Markets)	Absolute Number	10%	4.7 million = 10% 4.6 million= 9% 4.5 million= 8% 4.3 million = 5% Below 4.3 million = 0%	5.2 Million	5.175 Million	9.95%	5.175 Million	10.00%	- Total Visitor Arrivals to the Philippines by Country of Residence	Out of the 5.967 million tourist arrivals, 5.175 million or 86.7% came from the key markets and the Overseas Filipino market. The GCG evaluation followed the graduated rating system stated in the approved performance scorecard while the TPB used the (Actual/Target) x Weight, hence the difference in the final rating.
CUSTOMER	SM 2	Number of TPB- assisted domestic and international events held in the Philippines including won bids	Absolute Number	10%	(Actual/ Target) x Weight	418	336	8.04%	328	7.85%	-List of TPB Assisted Domestic and International Events Held in the Philippines including Won Bids - Definition of TPB Events Categories - Terminal Reports	Review of supporting documents shows that TPB included the use of branding of logo request, images request, video request, provision of giveaways, and giveaways as accomplishment. However, these should not be considered as events assisted by TPB. The measure aims to identify the total number of domestic and international events held in the Philippines with the active

TPB | Page **2** of **8** Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (ANNEX A)

		Co	mponent			TPB Subm	nission	GCG Eva	luation	Supporting	GCG Remarks
Obj	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
										Accomplishment Reports per event	assistance or participation of TPB Hence, the approval to use branding logo, images, videos and souvenirs are not counted in the total events assisted since such activities did not represent an active participation on the part of TPB.
SM 3	Return on Marketing Investment (ROMI) of TPB domestic and international marketing and promotions projects	(Benefit - Cost) / Cost	10%	(Actual/ Target) x Weight	125%	1179%	10%	1179%	10%	-Terminal Reports -Accomplishment Reports	The return on marketing investment can be computed by the business generated from clients and publicity mileage it reaches. For 2016, TPB was able to achieve a 1179% ROMI which is a 92% increase from the 2015 actual of 614%.
SM 4	Return on Marketing Investment (ROMI) of TPB marketing communications projects	Media Values / Media Spent	10%	(Actual/ Target) x Weight	130%	96.34% (Dentsu and MTV Music Evolution)	7.41%	96.34%	7.41%	-Computation from Dentsu and MTV Music Evolution	The computation of ROMI is completed by Dentsu and MTV Music Evolution. It is based on the placed ads of the Dentsu multiplied by the foot traffic and viewership of the ads.

TPB | Page 3 of 8
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (ANNEX A)

		Co	mponent			TPB Subm	ission	GCG Evalu	ation	Supporting	GCG Remarks
Obje	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
SO 2	Increase Number	of Events									
SM 5	Implementation of Programmed Events based on the Board- approved Work Program		10%	(Actual/ Target) x Weight	Average 90% achievement of all targets in the planned events	Met 27 out of 29 targets	9.31%	90% accomplishme nt of 27 out of 29 events	9.31%	- Accomplishment report per project events as approved by the Board	Out of 29 approved events, onl 27 events attained at least 90% accomplishment. Projects and events implemente under the International Marketin and Promotions, M.I.C.E. Promotions, Tourism Investmer Promotions and Special Project were at least 90% accomplished
SO 3	Improve Custome	er Satisfactio	on Rating				And the second s				
SM 6	Satisfaction Rating (Third- Party)		10%	Below Satisfactory = 0%	Satisfactory or its equivalent	98% of the respondents are satisfied	10%	98% of the respondents are satisfied	10%	- Customer Survey Report conducted by All- Asian Centre for Enterprise Development (ASCEND) Inc.	The TPB's customer satisfaction survey focuses on thirteen (13 attributes. For 2016, 100 clients of TPB were interviewed to get feedbacks from TPB's services. Survey resustances that 98% of the respondents were satisfied Based on the survey, TPB need to improve on the following aspect of operations: communication service, and booth materials.
T	Sub-Total		60%				54.71%		54.57%		

TPB | Page 4 of 8
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (ANNEX A)

1000			Co	mponent			TPB Sub	mission	GCG Eval	uation	Supporting	GCG Remarks
	Obje	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents	
	SO 4	Efficient Utilization	on of Corpo	rate Opera	ting Funds							
FINANCIAL	SM 7	Utilization of Corporate Operating Funds		10%	(Actual/ Target) x Weight	90%	85%	9.44%	0%	0%	-Budget Utilization Report -Justification of TPB to Reenact the 2015 Budget as Noted by the TPB COA Resident Auditor	Using the 2015 approved COB of TPB amounting to ₽1.35 Billion and the 2016 actual budget utilization of ₽1.49 Billion, TPB gave itself a 9.44% score for this measure. In its justification posted in the website, TPB stated that "[d]espite the timely submission of TPB, through the Finance Department, of its FY 2016 Corporate Operating Budget, the Department of Budget and Management (DBM) has not signed/approved the submission for the said fiscal year. Therefore, the TPB is reenacting its FY 2015 DBM-approved COB." Further, in DBM letter dated 11 January 2017, it is noted that the DBM has requested, twice, for TPB to submit a Board Resolution approving its revised COB. However, TPB was unable to submit such document. Section 3.1 and 6.1 of the DBM Corporate Operating Budget Circular (COB) No. 20, dated 27 April 2005, requires GOCCs/GFIs to strictly comply with EO No. 518 and EO No. 292 in the submission of their

TPB | Page 5 of 8 Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (ANNEX A)

	Co	mponent			TPB Subr	mission	GCG Eval	uation	Supporting	GCG Remarks
Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
										COBs to the DBM before the start of the fiscal year. While it is acceptable to use a
										re-enacted budget to continue the operations of TPB, such however is not acceptable in the
				, 1				-		assessment of TPB's annual performance. As the marketing arm of the government, TPB relies heavily on the subsidy and
										fund transfers from the National Government and other agencies to implement its programs and
										projects. Hence, monitoring its efficient use of public funds is essential in the assessment of its performance. It is noticeable
										from the documents provided that the TPB only submitted its 2016 COB on October 2016.
										The General Appropriations Act FY 2016 was enacted on 29 December 2015. Even before that date, TPB is already aware
										of the approved budget level for 2016, TPB should have submitted its proposed 2016
										COB during the first quarter of 2016, the latest. While TPB argues that the revision of FY
2 7										2017 proposed subsidy hindered them from meeting the deadline, such argument is still not acceptable. TPB is aware

TPB | Page 6 of 8

Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (ANNEX A)

	bjective/Measure	Co	mponent			TPB Subm	nission	GCG Evalu	ation	Supporting	GCG Remarks
Obje	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
											that adjustments for currer year's COB is still possible through the submission of supplemental COB. In view of the foregoing, the TPB receives a score of 0% for this particular measure.
SO 5	Develop Supplem	nental Rever	nue Sourc	es 		I.					
SM 8	Revenues from TPB Business Development Initiatives		10%	(Actual/ Target) x Weight	308,939.31	₽183,987.50	5.96%	₽173,500.00	5.62%	- List of buyers for their Business Development Initiatives - Receipts of the TPB Business Development Initiatives - COA audited Financial Statement	As part of the new busines initiatives of the TPB to improve it revenue, it published a boo entitled "Best of the Best of the Philippines". The book covers th top tourist destination in th country and list of top ratin accommodations, restaurants and activities. The GCG validated score is base on the COA Audited Financia Statement while TPB sell rating/score is based on the unaudited financial statement an purchase receipts. This explain the difference between the GCG and TPB rating.
	Sub-Total		20%				15%		5.62%		

TPB | Page **7** of **8** Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (ANNEX A)

			Co	mponent		I The court	TPB Subm	ission	GCG Evalu	ation	Supporting	000 5
	Obj	ective/Measure	Formula Weight		Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
	SO 6	Develop a Market	ting Plan Al	igned with	National Tourism I	Development Pla	an and DOT Pri	orities				
INTERNAL PROCESS	SM 9	Board Approved Marketing Framework for 2016		10%	All or Nothing	Establish and Implement a Monitoring and Reporting System	Established and Implemented Monitoring and Reporting System for the Agreed 3 Projects	10%	Reports and Evaluation for 3 Projects 1) Malaysia International Dive Expo 2) Incentive Travel and Conventions Meetings Asia 3) Philippines Sales Mission-Korea	10%	- Guidelines/ Process of Monitoring and Evaluation System - Monitoring and Evaluation Report for 3 Agreed Projects: 1)Malaysia International Dive Expo 2) Incentive Travel and Conventions Meetings Asia 3) Philippines Sales Mission- Korea	In 2015, TPB came up with an approved marketing plan which shall be implemented from 2016 to 2018. For 2016, TPB developed a project monitoring and reporting system. The process used for monitoring and reporting involves three (3) steps: identifying objectives and targets; monitoring the status of implementation; and measuring performance in terms of timeliness, effectivity & efficiency. For each step, the corresponding details, responsible person/s, and reference/interface are included.
		Sub-Total		10%				10%		10%		
_	SO 7	Professional Wor	rk Force									
LEARNING & GROWTH	SM 10	Competency Profile of Positions		5%	(Actual/ Target) x Weight	Establish Baseline	Competency Profile and Baseline of All Regular Employees as of 31 Dec 2016	5%	Established Competency Baseline for each 82 Employees Employees met 85% of required competencies	5%	-Individual Competency Profile per Position - Competency Assessment and Competency Baseline Report	In 2015, the TPB developed a competency framework, which includes the desired competencies per position and the competency gaps of the employees. It was able to determine 45 competencies that will be beneficial to the

TPB | Page 8 of 8 Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (ANNEX A)

		Co	mponent		Target	TPB Subm	nission	GCG Evalu	ation	Supporting	GCG Remarks
Obje	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	ht Rating Scale		Actual	Rating	ng Score	Rating	Documents	
											organization in order to achieve goal. In 2016, TPB assessed the level of competencies of 82 employed vis-à-vis the require competencies.
SO 8	Quality Managem	nent System									
SM 11	ISO Certification		5%	All or Nothing	Maintain ISO Certification	Maintained ISO Certification	5%	Maintained ISO Certification	5%	- SOCOTEC Letter Recertifying TPB for ISO 9001:2008	On 21 January 2016, the SOCOTEC issued an IS 9001:2008 recertification to TPE Design, Development, and Provision of Philippine Tourist Marketing and Promotion Services. In its letter dated 14 December 2016, SOCOTEC recertified Temporary for ISO 9001:2008.
	Sub-Total		10%				10%		10%		
	TOTAL		100%				90.10%		80.19%		