## Annex A

2

# PHILIPPINE RECLAMATION AUTHORITY (PRA) Performance Scorecard Evaluation

|               |          | Component   |               |            | Rating Scale     | Target  | Submission  |        | GCG Validati                   | ion    | Supporting<br>Documents  | GCG Remarks   |
|---------------|----------|---|---------------|------------|------------------|---|---|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--|---|
| an<br>Roberts | Objectiv | ve / Measure  | Formula       | Wt.        |                  | 2017  | Actual  | Rating | Score                          | Rating |  |   |
|               | SO 1     | Increase Number   | r of Environr | nentally S | ound Buildable A | reas for Developme  | ent   |        |                                |        |  |   |
| SOCIAL IMPACT | SM 1     | Exploration and<br>Geo-Scientific<br>Survey and<br>Investigation of<br>Prospective<br>Marine Sand<br>Quarry Areas | N/A           | 5.0%       | All or Nothing   | Hire consultant   | Publication and<br>bidding process<br>started. Opening of<br>bids was scheduled<br>on Aug 29, 2017 but<br>no one signified to<br>join the bidding. PRA<br>BAC declared a<br>failure of bidding per<br>Resolution No.Cons.<br>17-030 dated Sept<br>15, 2017. In lieu of<br>rebidding, the new<br>strategic direction is<br>to increase the<br>number of quarry<br>areas from 3 to 4, and<br>eventually to 5. The<br>GM instructed the<br>RRO to write to MGB<br>and NAMRIA, which<br>the RRO complied<br>with. | 5.00%  | Failed bidding                 | 0.00%  | <ul> <li>Secretary's<br/>Certificate on<br/>Board<br/>Resolution No.<br/>4778 s. 2017<br/>approving the<br/>Bidding<br/>Documents and<br/>conduct of<br/>public bidding</li> <li>Copy of<br/>Eligibility<br/>Documents</li> <li>Copy of Bidding<br/>Documents</li> </ul> | Target not met. The PRA-BAC<br>declared a failure of bidding in a<br>Resolution No. Cons. 17-030<br>dated 15 September 2017 due to<br>absence of bidders. |
|               | SM 2     | Reclamation of<br>40-hectare<br>Baseco<br>Reclamation<br>Project  | N/A           | 5.0%       | All or Nothing   | Conduct of public<br>bidding for the<br>conduct of<br>Feasibility Study | 3rd submission of the<br>revised bid<br>documents to BAC<br>(Internal<br>Memorandum dated<br>Nov 22, 2017) due to<br>revisions and/or<br>comments as results<br>of BAC review   | 8.75%  | Review of bidding<br>documents | 0.00%  | <ul> <li>Draft TOR</li> <li>Copy of BAC<br/>comments<br/>through Internal<br/>Memo dated 29<br/>Sept</li> </ul>  | Target not met. No bidding was conducted due to review and revision of Bidding Documents.   |

## P R A | 2 of 8 Validated Performance Scorecard 2017 (Annex A)

|        | Component   |              |            | Rating Scale  | Target   | Submission  |        | GCG Validat  | ion    | Supporting<br>Documents   | GCG Remarks |
|--------|---|--------------|------------|---|--|---|--------|--|--------|---|-------------|
| Object | tive / Measure  | Formula      | Wt.        |   | 2017   | Actual  | Rating | Score  | Rating |   |             |
| SO 2   | Integrate a Comp  | orehensive C | Coastal De | fense/Protection  | Strategy into Develo   | opmental Plans  |        |  |        |   |             |
| SM 3   | Coastal Defense<br>Strategy for<br>Tacloban and<br>Palo | N/A          | 10.0%      | 10% =<br>Issuance of<br>first 6 months'<br>report to<br>Global<br>Resilience<br>Partnership<br>5% = Signed<br>MOA | Initial<br>implementation of<br>Coastal Defense<br>Strategy per<br>agreements with<br>funder and LGU | <ol> <li>Funding secured<br/>through PRA's<br/>participation in<br/>the One Resilient<br/>Team: Tacloban<br/>project. Global<br/>Resilience<br/>Partnership<br/>(GRP) awarded<br/>the Water<br/>Windows<br/>Challenge<br/>winners, which<br/>includes the One<br/>Resilient Team:<br/>Tacloban.</li> <li>Conducted<br/>mangrove and<br/>beach forest<br/>rehabilitation<br/>training for local<br/>communities in<br/>partnership with<br/>LGU.</li> <li>Nursery<br/>establishments<br/>for the 2 pilot<br/>sites.</li> <li>Downloaded<br/>funds amounting<br/>to Php 2.748<br/>Million to<br/>Tacloban City for<br/>project<br/>implementation.</li> <li>Submitted the first<br/>6 months report<br/>to GRP through</li> </ol> | 10.00% | Initial<br>implementation of<br>Coastal Defense<br>Strategy per<br>agreements with<br>funder and LGU | 10.00% | <ul> <li>Copy of Grant<br/>Agreement<br/>(KPMG East<br/>Africa Limited -<br/>ONE<br/>Architecture &amp;<br/>Urbanism, Inc.)<br/>13 Jan 2017</li> <li>Board<br/>Resolution No.<br/>4731 approving<br/>the participation<br/>of PRA in "One<br/>Resilient Team:<br/>Tacloban"<br/>Project</li> <li>Copy of Sub-<br/>Grant<br/>Agreement<br/>(ONE<br/>Architecture &amp;<br/>Urbanism-PRA)<br/>01 Aug 2017</li> <li>Copy of Memo<br/>of Agreement<br/>(PRA-LGU<br/>Tacloban City)<br/>10 Aug 2017</li> <li>Copy of PRA<br/>letter to<br/>Tacloban City<br/>re transfer of<br/>fund dated 27<br/>Dec 2017</li> <li>Copy of PRA<br/>inputs to 1st six</li> </ul> | Acceptable. |

2

P R A | 3 of 8 Validated Performance Scorecard 2017 (Annex A)

|           |          | Component   |                    |           | Rating Scale  | Target  | Submission   |        | GCG Validat                      | on     | Supporting<br>Documents  | GCG Remarks   |
|-----------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------|---|---|--|--------|----------------------------------|--------|--|---|
|           | Objectiv | e / Measure   | Formula            | Wt.       |   | 2017  | Actual   | Rating | Score                            | Rating |  |   |
|           |          |   |                    |           |   |   | One Architecture<br>on Sept 2017.  |        |                                  |        | months report<br>(Feb-Jul 2017)<br>submitted on 31<br>Aug 2017 via e-<br>mail Woodson  |   |
|           |          |   | Sub-total          | 20.0%     | and a transform   |   |  | 23.75% |                                  | 10.00% |  |   |
|           | SO 3     | Increase Revenue  | e and Improv       | ve Cash F | low   |   |  |        |                                  |        |  |   |
|           |          |   | N/A                | 5.0%      | 5% = Final<br>disposition of<br>Bacolod<br>properties<br>2.5% = Board<br>approved TOR | Final disposition<br>of Bacolod<br>properties | TOR approved by the<br>Board on Oct 18,<br>2017. First bidding<br>conducted on Dec 14,<br>2017. Failure of<br>bidding declared as<br>no bidders showed<br>up.  | 2.50%  | Failed bidding                   | 2.50%  | <ul> <li>Board approved<br/>TOR and<br/>contracts</li> <li>Draft TOR</li> <li>Draft Contract<br/>to Sell</li> </ul>  | It was able to come up with the<br>Board-approved TOR, Contract<br>of Lease, and Budgetary<br>Appropriations, but there was<br>failure of bidding due to absence<br>of bidders.   |
| FINANCIAL | SM 4     | Disposition of<br>Properties to<br>Minimize<br>Expenses | N/A                | 5.0%      | 5% = Lease of<br>Esplanade<br>2.5% = Board<br>approved new<br>lease of terms          | Lease of<br>Esplanade                         | Board approved new<br>terms of lease of<br>Esplanade under<br>Board Resolution No.<br>4766 (Negotiated the<br>new terms with<br>Salem; Salem not<br>amenable to the new<br>terms and filed a case<br>at the Pasay City<br>(RTC). | 2.50%  | No renewal of<br>lease agreement | 2.50%  | <ul> <li>Secretary's<br/>Certificate of<br/>Board Reso<br/>4766 s 2017<br/>approving the<br/>terms for<br/>renewal of<br/>lease of a<br/>portion of<br/>Esplanade 21<br/>Jun 2017</li> <li>Copy of new<br/>lease terms</li> <li>PRA letter to<br/>SIC re Notice to<br/>Vacate dated<br/>20 Nov 2017</li> </ul> | It was able to come up with<br>Board-approved new terms of<br>lease for the Salem Investment<br>Corporation (SIC); however,<br>there was no renewal of the lease<br>considering that the parties failed<br>to arrive at an agreed lease rate.<br>SIC was not amenable to the new<br>rate that was based on the latest<br>appraisal of property. |
|           | SM 5     | Net Income After<br>Tax (NIAT)                          | Absolute<br>amount | 20.0%     | 20% = Above<br>₽2 Billion   | ₽2 Billion                                    | ₽ 1.5 Billion  | 10.00% | ₽ 1.5 Billion                    | 10.00% | <ul> <li>2017 COA Audit<br/>Report</li> <li>2017 Unaudited<br/>Income</li> </ul>   | Submission and Rating by PRA is acceptable.   |

P R A | 4 of 8 Validated Performance Scorecard 2017 (Annex A)

|         | Component                                       |              |           | Rating Scale  | Target   | Submission  |        | GCG Validati   | ion    | Supporting<br>Documents  | GCG Remarks  |
|---------|---|--------------|-----------|---|--|---|--------|--|--------|--|--|
| Objecti | ve / Measure                                    | Formula      | Wt.       |   | 2017   | Actual  | Rating | Score  | Rating |  |  |
|         |   |              |           | 15% = P1.6<br>Billion to P2<br>Billion<br>10% = P1.0<br>Billion to P1.5<br>Billion<br>5% = P600<br>Million to P1.0<br>Billion<br>0% = less than<br>P600 Million |  |   |        |  |        | Statement and<br>Financial<br>Position   | While PRA transitioned to<br>Accrual Basis from Cash Basis in<br>terms of revenue recognition in<br>2017, effectively showing in its<br>2017 COA Audit Report that<br>PRA's NIAT dropped to P366.68<br>Million, the Governance<br>Commission deems that is<br>practical to use the unaudited<br>figure of P1.533 Billion, but for<br>purposes of scorecard evaluation<br>and grading only.<br>With the change in accounting<br>policy, there is a considerable<br>challenge in the grading system,<br>in such a way that the target is<br>already not comparable with the<br>audited figure due to differences<br>in underlying assumptions.<br>For clarity, this will be adopted<br>only in 2017 to foster consistency<br>in the assessment. Moreover,<br>this shall in no way undermine<br>the COA-audited figure that was<br>based on the new accounting<br>policy. |
| SO 4    | Increase Inventor                               | ry of Market | able Land | Areas   |  |   |        |  |        |  |  |
| SM 6    | Disposition of<br>Unlawfully<br>Reclaimed Areas | N/A          | 10.0%     | 10% =<br>Endorsement<br>to DENR of 3<br>board<br>approved<br>applications<br>5% = Board<br>approval of 3<br>applications  | 3 Board approved<br>applications<br>endorsed to<br>DENR for<br>recommendation<br>for the issuance of<br>Presidential<br>Proclamation | <ul> <li>A. 2 CSW and Board<br/>Approved (BR No.<br/>4803 s. 2017) under<br/>AO 2005-1:</li> <li>1. Mati City<br/>Reclamation; and,</li> <li>2. Agusto A. Aguilar,<br/>Catbalogan Samar.</li> <li>B. 3 completed CSW<br/>for endorsement for</li> </ul> | 10.00% | 3 Board approved<br>applications<br>endorsed to<br>DENR for<br>recommendation<br>for the issuance of<br>Presidential<br>Proclamation | 10.00% | Secretary<br>Certificate of<br>Board<br>Resolution No.<br>4803, s. 2017<br>approving<br>Special<br>Registrations of:<br>(1) Engr. Aguilar<br>in Catbalogan | Acceptable.  |

## P R A | 5 of 8 Validated Performance Scorecard 2017 (Annex A)

|              |          | Component                             |             |                    | Rating Scale   | Target  | Submission  |        | GCG Validati  | on     | Supporting<br>Documents   | GCG Remarks   |
|--------------|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--|---|---|--------|---|--------|---|---|
|              | Objectiv | e / Measure                           | Formula     | Wt.                |  | 2017  | Actual<br>issuance of<br>Presidential<br>Proclamation to<br>DENR:<br>1. Mati City; 2.<br>Aguilar; and, 3. Soil<br>Tech Agricultural<br>Products (SAPC).<br>C. 1 CSW for Board<br>approval for forfeiture<br>(BR No. 4802 s.<br>2017): | Rating | Score   | Rating | City; and, (2)<br>Mati City.<br>• Letters to<br>DENR for<br>endorsement<br>for issuance of<br>PP related to:<br>(1) Mati City (28<br>Dec 2017); (2)<br>Engr. Aguilar<br>(27 Dec 2017);<br>and, (3) SAPC<br>(27 Dec 2017).   |   |
|              |          |                                       | Sub-total   | 40.0%              |  |   | SAPC Reclamation in Fernando, La Union.   | 25.00% |   | 25.00% |   |   |
| STAKEHOLDERS | SO 5     | Stakeholder<br>Satisfaction<br>Survey | of Stakehol | ders, Add<br>10.0% | All or Nothing<br>0% = less than<br>75% of<br>respondents<br>gave rating of<br>at least Very<br>Satisfactory | F Affected Communi<br>Establish Baseline<br>on the percentage<br>of respondents<br>who rated PRA<br>service with at<br>least Very<br>Satisfactory | ties and Gain Social Ac<br>Hired the<br>Development<br>Academy of the<br>Philippines (DAP to<br>design the survey<br>MOA dated of 29 Dec<br>2017)   | 5.00%  | of Development Pro<br>Hired the<br>Development<br>Academy of the<br>Philippines | o.00%  | <ul> <li>MOA btween<br/>PRA and DAP<br/>on conduct of<br/>survey<br/>(notarization<br/>date 29 Dec<br/>2017)</li> <li>DAP letter to<br/>PRA on the<br/>proposed<br/>survey dated 15<br/>Sept 2017</li> <li>Secretary's<br/>Certificate of<br/>Board Reso<br/>4804 s. 2017<br/>approving the<br/>provision of<br/>technical<br/>assistance in</li> </ul> | Target not met. PRA was able to<br>hire the DAP to design its<br>stakeholder survey, but neither<br>was it able to conduct the survey<br>during the year, nor establish the<br>baseline of respondents who<br>rated PRA with at least Very<br>Satisfactory. |

1-

## P R A | 6 of 8 Validated Performance Scorecard 2017 (Annex A)

|          | Component   |              |           | Rating Scale      | Target   | Submission  |        | GCG Validat                   | on     | Supporting<br>Documents   | GCG Remarks     |
|----------|---|--------------|-----------|-------------------|--|---|--------|-------------------------------|--------|---|-----------------|
| Objectiv | e / Measure   | Formula      | Wt.       |                   | 2017   | Actual  | Rating | Score                         | Rating |   |                 |
|          |   |              |           |                   |  |   |        |                               |        | the formulation<br>of customer<br>satisfaction<br>survey  |                 |
| SO 6     | Implement Clear   | and Efficien | t Reclama | tion Guidelines a | nd Public Estates D  | evelopment and Manag  | jement | The States                    |        | A CONTRACTOR  |                 |
| SM 8     | Programmatic,<br>Environmental<br>Assessment,<br>Planning, and<br>Engineering<br>Studies<br>Pertaining to the<br>Manila Bay | N/A          | 5.0%      | All or Nothing    | Engagement of a<br>consultant to<br>develop Decision<br>Support System | Bidding process<br>started but declared a<br>failure of bidding. Re-<br>bidding of the project<br>started on 24 Aug<br>2017 but failed to<br>engage a consultant<br>brought about by<br>certain deficiencies of<br>bidders in terms of<br>qualification<br>requirements per<br>TOR. | 4.00%  | Failed negotiated procurement | 0.00%  | <ul> <li>Secretary's<br/>Certificate of<br/>Board Reso<br/>4784 s. 2017<br/>approval of<br/>terms and<br/>conditions for<br/>conduct of<br/>negotiated<br/>procurement (9<br/>Aug 2017)</li> <li>Secretary's<br/>Certificate of<br/>Board Reso<br/>4784 s. 2017<br/>approval of<br/>amendment of<br/>terms and<br/>conditions for<br/>conduct of<br/>negotiated<br/>procurement<br/>(16 Aug 2017)</li> <li>Request for<br/>Expression of<br/>Interest (22<br/>Sept 2017)</li> <li>BAC Reso<br/>Cons. 18-001 s.<br/>2018 declaring<br/>failure of</li> </ul> | Target not met. |

## P R A | 7 of 8 Validated Performance Scorecard 2017 (Annex A)

|              |          | Component  |              |            | Rating Scale     | Target   | Submission   |        | GCG Validati  | on     | Supporting<br>Documents  | GCG Remarks   |
|--------------|----------|--|--------------|------------|------------------|--|--|--------|---|--------|--|---|
|              | Objectiv | e / Measure  | Formula      | Wt.        |                  | 2017   | Actual   | Rating | Score   | Rating |  |   |
|              |          |  |              |            |                  |  |  |        |   |        | negotiated<br>procurement  |   |
|              | SM 9     | Formulation of<br>New<br>Reclamation<br>Guidelines | N/A          | 5.0%       | All or Nothing   | Issuance of the<br>Administrative<br>Order on<br>reclamation<br>guidelines | Draft Administrative<br>Order for Competitive<br>Challenge was<br>submitted to and<br>reviewed by<br>MANCOM                              | 4.00%  | Draft<br>Administrative<br>Order on the<br>Conduct of<br><i>Competitive</i><br><i>Challenge</i> | 0.00%  | <ul> <li>Draft AO</li> <li>Minutes of<br/>Mancom<br/>Meetings dated<br/>04 Dec 2017<br/>and 19 Dec<br/>2017</li> </ul>       | Target not met.   |
|              |          | Formulation of                                     | N/A          | 5.0%       | All or Nothing   | lssuance of policy<br>on appraisal of<br>properties                        | Board approved<br>policy on appraisal of<br>properties   | 2.50%  | Board approved<br>policy on<br>appraisal of<br>properties                                       | 5.00%  | Secretary<br>Certificate of<br>Board Reso<br>4772 s 2017<br>dated 13 Jul<br>2017   | Acceptable.   |
|              | SM 10    | policies for land<br>development                   | N/A          | 5.0%       | All or Nothing   | lssuance of policy<br>on new security<br>plan                              | Board approved new security plan   | 2.50%  | Board approved<br>new security plan   | 5.00%  | <ul> <li>Secretary<br/>Certificate of<br/>Board Reso<br/>4785 s 2017<br/>dated 9 Aug<br/>2017</li> <li>Revised</li> </ul>    | Acceptable.   |
|              |          |  |              |            |                  |  |  |        |   |        | Security Plan  |   |
|              |          |  | Sub-total    | 30.0%      |                  |  |  | 18.00% |   | 10.00% |  |   |
| z            | SO 7     | Strengthen Cultu                                   | re of Contin | ouos Traiı | ning and Innovat | ion in the Organizati  | on   |        |   |        |  |   |
| ORGANIZATION | SM 11    | Implement<br>Competency<br>Framework               | N/A          | 5.0%       | All or Nothing   | Establish<br>Competency<br>Baseline of the<br>Organization                 | Competency Profiling<br>was made as an<br>output to Workshops<br>on<br>Position/Competency<br>Profiling. Output was<br>validated by AGMs | 5.00%  | Position Profiling  | 0.00%  | <ul> <li>Competency<br/>Modeling and<br/>Position</li> <li>Profiling report<br/>by DAP</li> <li>Position Profiles</li> </ul> | Target not met. Despite doing<br>the competency profiling, PRA<br>was still unable to establish its<br>competency baseline. |

## P R A | 8 of 8 Validated Performance Scorecard 2017 (Annex A)

|          | Component         |           |        | Rating Scale   | Target   | Submission   |        | GCG Validat                           | ion    | Supporting<br>Documents  | GCG Remarks     |
|----------|-------------------|-----------|--------|----------------|--|--|--------|---------------------------------------|--------|--|-----------------|
| Objectiv | ve / Measure      | Formula   | Wt.    |                | 2017   | Actual   | Rating | Score                                 | Rating |  |                 |
|          |                   |           |        |                |  | last 18 Dec 2017.<br>Awaiting DAP<br>submission of<br>finalized output.  |        |                                       |        |  |                 |
| SO 8     | Implement a QMS   | 5         | Sec.   |                | AL STALL   |  |        |                                       | 1.4    |  |                 |
| SM 12    | ISO Certification | N/A       | 5.0%   | All or Nothing | DAP-issued<br>Readiness for<br>Certification of<br>ISO 9001:2015 | Workshop on QMS<br>Documentation held<br>on 16 to 17 Nov<br>2017.<br>Training Course on<br>Auditing QMS held on<br>27 to 29 Nov 2017<br>and 5 Dec 2017<br>Approved QMS<br>Manual | 5.00%  | Management-<br>approved QMS<br>Manual | 0.00%  | <ul> <li>Secretary<br/>Certificate of<br/>Board Reso<br/>4750 s. 2017<br/>approving the<br/>award of<br/>contract to DAP<br/>for the<br/>consultancy<br/>service of<br/>development of<br/>QMS (23 Mar<br/>2017)</li> <li>Signed MOA<br/>between PRA<br/>and DAP<br/>(notarization<br/>date 24 Aug<br/>2017)</li> <li>Attendance<br/>sheets for<br/>training course<br/>on workshop on<br/>QMS<br/>documentation<br/>and auditing<br/>QMS</li> </ul> | Target not met. |
| <br>     |                   | Sub-total | 10.0%  |                |  |  | 10.0%  |                                       | 0.00%  |  |                 |
|          |                   | TOTAL     | 100.0% |                |  |  | 76.75% |                                       | 45.00% |  |                 |