PERFORMANCE SCORECARD 2015 (Annex A)

NATIONAL POWER CORPORATION

		Com	ponent			line Data plicable)	Target	
	OI	ojective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015
	SO 1	Provided Efficient Ope	eration of Generation	Assets in	Missionary	Areas		
DER	SM 1	Quality 1: Percentage of Readiness of Existing Plants (Equivalent Availability Factor)	∑[Dependable Cap x (Period Hours - Outage Hours)] ÷ ∑[Rated Cap x (Period Hours - Deactivated Shutdown Hours)]	4%	Actual over target	64.52%	66.32%	66.280%
CUSTOMER/STAKEHOLDER	SM 2	Quality 2: Percentage of Unexpected Power Interruption (Forced Outage Rate)	∑(Forced Outage Hours) ÷ ∑(Operating Hours + Forced Outage Hours)	4%	Actual over target	0.284%	0.197%	0.274%
3	SM 3	Quality 3: Plant Operation Efficiency (Net Heat Rate)	[∑(Diesel Fuel Consumption x Ave Diesel Fuel Heating Value) + ∑(Bunker Fuel Consumption x Ave Bunker Fuel Heating Value)] ÷ ∑(Net Generation)	4%	Actual over target	10,813	10,628	10,937



NPC | 2 of 7 Performance Scorecard 2015 (Annex A)

	Com	ponent	Basel (if ap	Target			
Ot	ojective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015
SM 4	Quality 4: Plant Maintenance Efficiency	∑Planned Maintenance Days ÷ ∑Actual Maintenance Days	2%	Actual over target	N/A	N/A	100%
SO 2	Increased Power Gene	erations in Missionary	Areas Pu	he Approved Budget			
SM 5a	Quality 1a: Completed Capacity Additions – Lease	∑(Nameplate Ratings of Leased Generation Capacity)	5%	Actual over target	51.9	48.20	29.90
SM 5b	Quantity 1b: Completed Capacity Additions – Commissioned ¹	Actual Completed Capacity Addition	5%	Actual over target	6.00	2.40	27.40
SM 6	Quantity 2: Transmission Line	Actual Completed Transmission Lines	5%	Actual over target	N/A	3.81	123.11
SM 7	Quantity 3: Substation Facilities	Actual Completed Substations	0%	Actual over target	N/A	0	0

NPC | 3 of 7 Performance Scorecard 2015 (Annex A)

	Com	ponent		line Data plicable)	Target		
Ot	ojective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015
SO 3	Contributed to Efficie	nt Operation of Genera	ation Asse	ts in the M	ain Grids		
SM 8	Quality 5: Percentage of Readiness of Existing Power Plants (Availability Factor) ²	∑(Available Hours) ÷ ∑(Period Hours)	3%	Actual over Target	92.92%	92.01%	89.50%
SM 9	Quality 6: Unexpected Power Interruption (Forced Outage Rate) ²	Actual Forced Outage Hour	4%	Actual over target	22.04	0.014	24
SM 10	Quality 7: Plant Operation Efficiency (Net Heat Rate) ²	∑(Bunker Fuel Consumption x Ave Bunker Fuel Heating Value) ÷ ∑(Net Generation)	2%	Actual over target	9,290	9,212.47	9,220
SM 11	Quality 8: Plant Maintenance Efficiency	∑Planned Maintenance Days ÷ ∑Actual Maintenance Days	2%	Actual over target	N/A	N/A	100%



NPC | 4 of 7 Performance Scorecard 2015 (Annex A)

	Com	ponent			eline Data oplicable)	Target	
Ob	ojective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015
SO 4	To Contribute to Susta	ainable Hydro and Geo	othermal I	Plant Opera	ations and Support C	limate Change Mitigation	
SM 12	Quantity 4: Reforestation of Open Areas	Actual Areas Reforested	5%	Actual over target	498	1,045	670
SM 13	Timeliness 1: Eco- Tourism Development for NPC-Managed Watersheds	Based on ManCom/President's approval	2.5%	All or nothing	1 – Report (Eco- Tourism Concept Framework for Angat Watershed Reservation)	Business Plan for Caliraya/Implementation of Eco-Tourism Program for Angat	Plan Development: San Roque, Upper Agno River Implementation/Execution: Caliraya-Lumot Operation: Angat
SO 5	Ensure the integrity a Areas Downstream	nd Safety of Dams and	d Mitigate	the Effects	s of Flooding Through	n the Conduct of IEC with	the LGUs at the Target
SM 14	Quantity 5: Number of Dam Integrity Inspections	Actual Dam Inspections	2%	Actual over target	10	15	10
SM 15a	Quantity 6: Percentage of target IECLHU at Angat, Ambuklao-Binga, San Roque, and Caliraya- Lumot dams ³	(No. of actual IEC/LGU sessions) + (Target No. of IEC/LGU sessions)	2.5%	Actual over target	N/A	Conduct of IEC @ Angat, Ambuklao-Binga, San Roque, Caliraya- Lumot	100%



NPC | 5 of 7 Performance Scorecard 2015 (Annex A)

		Comp	oonent		ine Data plicable)	Target		
	Ob	jective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015
	SM 15b	Quality 9: IEC Post- Evaluation Feedback for dams	∑Participants' Ratings ÷ No. of Participants	1%	Actual over target	N/A	N/A	3.50
	SO 6	Ensure Customer/Stak	eholder Satisfaction					
	SM 16	Quality 10: Customer/Stakeholder Satisfaction	∑Respondents' Ratings ÷ No. of Respondents	2%	All or nothing	N/A	N/A	Satisfactory level
		Sub-total		55%				
	SO 7	Exercise Fiscal Pruder	nce to Optimize Use o	of Resource	es			4
į	SM 17	Quality 1: Fixed Cost Efficiency in the Missionary Areas	∑[PS + MOOE] ÷ ∑(Gross Generation)	3%	Actual over target	4.620	3.49	4.25
	SM 18	Quality 2: Fixed Cost Efficiency in the Main Grids: ² Hydro Plants (Agus-Pulangi) Power Barges (PB 101, 102 and 104) ⁴	Σ[PS + MOOE] ÷ Σ(Energy Sales)	6%	Actual over target	N/A N/A	0.21 8.45	0.23 10.12



NPC | 6 of 7 Performance Scorecard 2015 (Annex A)

	Com	ponent			ine Data olicable)	Target	
Ot	ojective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015
SM 19	Quality 3: OMA Budget Utilization Efficiency ^{5 & 6}	∑(Miscellaneous OMA Budget) ÷ ∑(Energy Sales)	4%	Actual over target	N/A	0.07	0.08
SO 8	Ensure adequate fund	sources for sustaina	bility and	improve corp	orate liquidity		
SM 20a	Quality 4a: Collection Efficiency with BASULTA Customers	Total Collections + Total Accounts Receivable	5%	Actual over target	49.16%	49.41%	49.65%
SM 20b	Quality 4b: Collection Efficiency without BASULTA Customers	Total Collections (excluding BASULTA) ÷ Total Accounts Receivable (excluding BASULTA)	5%	Actual over target	96.52%	96.52%	96.52%
SM 21	Financial 2: EBITDAS Margin with UCME ⁷	EBITDAS ÷ (Total Revenue/Income)	18%	Actual over target	9%	6%	8%
SO 9	Secure the Unregister	ed Lots Under OMA in	Support	of the Dispos	sal of Assets		
SM 22	Quantity 1: Filed Applications for Titling/Issuance of Decrees/Titles of Unregistered Lots under OMA	No. of Lots with Filed Applications for Titling/Issuances of Decrees/Titles	1%	Actual over target	N/A	N/A	20

	Com	ponent	Base (if ap	Target			
	Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015
so	O Secure the Unregister	red Lots Under Non-O	MA in Sup	port of Opera	ations		
SM	Quantity 2: Filed Applications for Titling/Issuance of Decrees/Titles of Unregistered Lots under Non-OMA	No. of Lots with Filed Applications for Titling/ Issuance of Decrees/Titles	1%	Actual over target	N/A	N/A	20
	Sub-total		43%				
so	1 Ensure Employee Pro	ductivity and Compet	ency				
SM	Quantity 3: No. of officials/staff trained per relevant programs Managerial Supervisory Rank and File	Σ(Actual No. of Personnel Trained x Actual No. of Programs Implemented) ÷ Σ(Target No. of Personnel x Target No. of Programs)	2%	Actual over target	200.00% 106.59% 109.66%	120.47% 90.74% 154.46%	90% 90% 90%
	Sub-total		2%				
+			100%				

¹ The targets were revised because the large capacity gensets' contract schedule will spill-over to another year.

4,332.59

P/kwh

² The targets are as per agreement between NPC and PSALM. Targets shall be validated using the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) Guidebook for Forced Outage Data Recording.

³ Change in targets per agreement with GCG.

⁴ Fixed Cost Efficiency for PB 104 only:

⁵ For OMA Budget Utilization Efficiency

Energy Sales adopted:

GWh

^{5.64}

^{5.93}

^{5.09}

^{5.06} 4,332.59

^{4,785.98} 4,332.59 ⁶ OMA Budget Utilization Efficiency pertains to Total Head Office Support Subject to final agreement with PSALM.

⁷ EBITDA Margin without UCME was removed and the Relative Weight was added to EBITDA with UCME.