SOCIAL HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION (SHFC) Validation Result of the 2019 Performance Scorecard

	73		T. 4.05	Componer	nt			SHFC Sut	omission	GCG Val	idation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
		Object	live/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating		
		SO 1	Improve the Quality	of Life of the Ir	formal Se	ettler Families and	d Low Income Fi	lipinos throu	gh the Prov	vision of Hous	sing Financ	e	
												Summary Report on CMP, HDH and Marawi Shelter Projects	Target not met.
	SOCIAL IMPACI		Increase Number of ISFs Provided with	Absolute	35%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	25,000¹	31,903	35%	8,810	0%	Master List of Member Beneficiaries	Inconsistencies were observed between the summary report and the submitted supporting
	CIAL	SIVI	Housing Finance Assistance	Number	3378	Less Than 19,438 = 0%	23,000	31,303	3370	0,010	0 70	Disbursement Vouchers	documents in some of the accounts. The details of the
3	တ်					10,400 = 070						Checks	validation are presented in
												Budget Utilization Request Forms	Appendix 1.
												Copies of Contract	
	ı		Sub-total		35%				35%		0%		
		SO 2	Ensure Customer Sa	tisfaction thro	igh the Pr	ovision of Quality	y Service						
	STAKEHOLDERS	014.0	Percentage of	Number of Stakeholders who gave a Rating of at	<i>E0/</i>	(Actual / Target) x Weight	90%	93,36%	10%	Result not	0%	CSS Report Samples of Accomplished	Target not met. The result was deemed not acceptable based on the following observations:
	STAK	SM 2	Satisfied Customers (Pre-Takeout) ²	least Satisfactory / Total Number of Respondents	<u>5%</u>	If Less Than 80% = 0%	90 /6	93.30 %	10 /6	acceptable	0 76	Survey Forms Certification from UP-NCPAG	a. Survey dates could not be established; b. Survey did not follow the prescribed criteria for choosing respondents;

¹ Based on SHFC's representation that available funds for 2019 will amount to only P5 Billion, consistent with the 2019 National Expenditure Program (NEP) allotment of P800 Million for SHFC and the NEP Special Provision authorizing SHFC to utilize its subsidy released in 2017 and prior years.

² It should be noted that the survey questionnaire transmitted only includes services offered during pre-take out activities. This considered, the measure is split into Percentage of Satisfied Customers (Pre-Takeout Services) and Percentage of Satisfied Customers (Post-Takeout Services) with a 5% weight allotted for each measure. This is consistent with the validations conducted in 2018.

S H F C | Page 2 of 5
Validation Result 2019 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

	Compone	nt			SHFC Su	bmission	GCG Val	idation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating		
Percentage of Satisfied Customers (Post-Takeout)	Formula	<u>5%</u>	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Survey not conducted	Rating 0%	Certification from UP-NCPAG	c. Survey methodology was not specified in the report; and d. Details provided for the data quality controls implemented were insufficient. Per the Certification issued by UP NCPAG, the conduct of the CSS for post-takeout services was overtaken by events, particularly the COVID-19 pandemic. However, it should be noted that the first case of COVID-19 in the country was registered in 2020 and the imposition of community quarantine started in March 2020. The CSS covers services rendered in 2019, the survey should have been conducted in 2019. It should be noted that the 2018 CSS Result was deemed non-compliant due to the belated conduct of the survey in April 2019. Notably, the same
										findings made for th 2018 CSS are observed i the 2019 CSS.
Sub-Total		10%				10%		0%		

S H F C | Page 3 of 5
Validation Result 2019 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

									The same		Total Transfer	e ocorecard (Annex A)
			Compone	nt			SHFC Sub	omission	GCG Val	idation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
	Object	tive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Section .	
	SO 3	Enhance Financial V	iability									
												Target not met. The CER was computed based on
	SM 3	Improve Collection Efficiency Rate	Total Collection (Excluding Advances and Penalties) / Total Billing	10%	84% and Above = 10% 78 to 83% = 5% Lower than 78% = 0%	84%	82.38%	5%	74%	0%	Monthly Collection Efficiency Reports (Consolidated and per Branch) SHFC-Computed Collection Efficiency Rating	total collection amounting to \$\text{P832,747,478}\$ and total billing equivalent to \$\text{P1,125,270,688}\$. The reported and validated accomplishment exclude fully paid accounts, accounts with titles released to NHMFC, and
												accounts with more than 60 months of arrears (under remedial and legal).
FINANCE	SM 4	Increase Net Operating Income (Before Tax and Subsidy)	Revenues - Expenses	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight Below ₱106.38 Million = 0%	₱140 Million	₱224.20 Million	10%	₱224.20 Million	10%	2019 COA Audited Financial Statements	Target exceeded. The net operating income was computed based on revenues amounting to P846.009 Million and expenses equal to P621.805 Million.
	SM 5	Improve Budget Utilization Rate	Total Disburse- ment (net of PS) / Total DBM Approved Corporate Operating Budget (net of PS)	10%	All or Nothing	Not Less Than 90% But Not More Than 100% of the DBM- Approved Corporate Operating Budget	99.71% Budget Utilization Rate	10%	99.71%	10%	Internally Generated Budget Utilization Report DBM-Approved 2019 COB 2019 COA Audited Financial Statements	Target met. The BUR was computed based on a utilization of P2,986.169 Million from the total approved budget of P2,994.979 Million. However, the Governance Commission notes the COA Observation³ that the utilization of Loans Outlay exceeded by P50.962

³ Item 14 of the Observations and Recommendations

S H F C | Page 4 of 5
Validation Result 2019 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

												suit 2019 Feriorniand	, ,
	Т			Componer	nt			SHFC Sul	omission	GCG Val	idation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
		Object	ive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating		
													Million vis-à-vis the DBM-approved COB. SHFC explained that the acquired projects were already accounted for in the proposed COB of \$\mathbb{P}\$13.455 Billion but the DBM only approved by \$\mathbb{P}\$2.509 Billion. However, COA emphasized that SHFC should only incur expenditures up to the extent of the DBM-approved budget.
				Sub-Total	30%				25%		20%		
		SO 4	Integrate and Upgrad	le Support Sys	tems		THE RES						
	INTERNAL PROCESS		Improve Support Systems for	Actual			100%	100% Implemen-	100/	100% Implemen-	400/	Document Acceptance and Release Notices for all implemented systems Samples of	
	ERNA	SM 6	Effective and Efficient Processes	Accomplish- ment	10%	All or Nothing	tion of Phase	tation of the ISSP Phase II	10%	tation of Phase II of the ISSP	10%	System-Generated Reports	Target met.
	Ā				_							Screenshots of the implemented Systems	
			Sub-Total		10%				10%		10%		
F	AR	SO 5	Implement Quality M	anagement Sy	stem			1 5 1	1				

⁴ Includes the development and roll-out of the following systems: (a) Financial Management System (Phase 2); (b) Inventory Management System; (c) Document and Knowledge Management System; (d) SHFC Portal; and (e) Kiosk.

S H F C | Page 5 of 5
Validation Result 2019 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

		Componer	ıt			SHFC Sub	mission	GCG Val	idation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
Obje	ctive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating		
SM 7	Certification	Actual Accomplish- ment	10%	All or Nothing	Attain ISO 9001:2015 Recertification	The SHFC ISO 9001:2015 Certification was issued by SOCOTEC Certification Philippines, Inc. last December 19, 2019.	10%	ISO 9001:2015 Certification attained	10%	ISO 9001:2015 Certification issued by SOCOTEC	Target met.
SM 8	Percentage of Identified Employees with Competency Gaps Addressed	Actual Accomplish- ment	5%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	At Least One (1) Competency Gap Closed for 100% of Employees with Competency Gaps (Based on the 2017 Competency Assessment)	Competency Gap Closed for 134 out of 180 employees	3.72%	Competency Gap Closed for 134 out of 180 employees or 74.44%	3.72%	2017 and 2019 Competency Assessment Report Training Certificates Attendance Sheets	Target not met.
							10 7001		40.700/		
		Sub-Total	15%				13.72%		13.72%		

DETAILS ON THE VALIDATION OF STRATEGIC MEASURE 1: INCREASE NUMBER OF ISFS PROVIDED WITH HOUSING FINANCE ASSISTANCE

Program	As reported by SHFC	As validated by GCG	Remarks
	No. o	No. of ISFs	
CMP	29,718	7,670	
			Based on the summary list provided, there were 114 projects in 2019 for lot acquisition with a total of 22,048 ISF beneficiaries. Of the total projects, the project mobilized by LGU Antipolo Resettlement Housing Project (PEPCOTEK) registered the highest number of beneficiaries of 3,087 ISFs. This considered, the PEPCOTEK was identified by the CGO-A as "sample" to determine the accuracy of the report.
Lot Acquisition	22,048	validated	In the submitted List of Final Beneficiary¹ for the PEPCOTEK, signed by its President and Mobilizer, only 1,158 were listed or identified as beneficiaries. CGO-A requested for justification and additional supporting documents, however, SHFC failed to comply with the request.
			Considering the inaccurate report based on the validation of sample transaction, the reported accomplishment cannot be accepted.
Site Development	4,537	4,537	Acceptable. Consists of 9 projects.
House Construction	3,133	3,133	Acceptable. Consists of 9 projects.
Loan Assistance	1		
НОН	1,140	1,140	
Lot Acquisition	640	640	Acceptable. Total beneficiaries for 2 projects.
Site Development and Building Construction	395	395	Acceptable, Total beneficiaries for 2 projects.
Refinancing	105	105	Acceptable. For 1 project.
Marawi Special Project (MSP)	1,045	Cannot be validated	
Lot Acquisition	936	Cannot be validated	Based on the summary list submitted this project covers properties of Paisal Rodi (801 ISFs) and Lacsaman Ampuan (135 ISFs) for a total of 936 ISFs beneficiaries which also covers ISFs from waterways/danger areas. As supplementary document, SHFC submitted the

¹ Pages 34-60 of submission per letter dated 25 August 2020 attachment filename: SM 1_Lot Acquisition.

Program	As reported by SHFC	As validated by GCG	Remarks
	No. of ISFs	f ISFs	
			batch lists in excel format listing the names of the beneficiaries.
			lists submitted, the batch list for
			this project does not contain any other information such as the lot
		_	allocation per beneficiary ² . SHFC
		= :	were not signed ³ . Moreover, in its
			submitted copy of Disbursement Vouchers (DVs) ⁴ , the number of
			homepartners / Internally
			Displaced Persons indicated is
			ies. The said figures
			inconsistent with the reported
			Ε
			supporting documents were
			provided to support or explain the
			ed in the DVs.
			This project covers the site
			development and construction in
			As provided in the summary
			report, the beneficiaries identified
			are from Agus Malangas HOAI
			(37 ISFs), Saduc Riverside HUAI
			or (24 ISFs).
Site Development and		Cannot he	As supplementary document, SHEC submitted the batch lists in
Building Construction	109	validated	excel format listing the names of
1			the beneficiaries. However,
			unlike the other batch lists
			submitted, the batch list for this
			project does not contain any other information such as the lot
			allocation per beneficiary. SHFC
			submitted the list of beneficiaries
			for Agus Malangas and Saduc
			Also as list was unsigned.
			Also, no list was submitted for the members from the formal sector.
TOTAL	31,903	8,810	

² Pages 574-703 of submission letter dated 30 July 2020 attachment filename: SM 1.

³ Submission per email dated 11 November 2020, file name: MSP – Agus Malangas, Saduc Riverside, and HOAs.

⁴ Submission per email dated 11 November 2020, file name: DV_Rodi and DV_Ampuan