CY 2017 PERFORMANCE SCORECARD (ANNEX B)

SOCIAL SECURITY SYSTEM

			Component				Baseline Dat	a	Tarç	get
	Obje	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
	SO 1	Viability of Socia	Security Institutions Sus	tained						
SIAL	S M 1	Amount of Contribution Collections	Total Contribution collection = Contribution collection [Employed + Self- employed + Voluntary paying members + OFWs]	10%	Actual/Target x Weight	₽103 Billion	₽120.65 Billion	₽132.6 Billion	₽142.7 Billion	₽182.82 Billion¹
FINANCIAL	SM 2	Net Income	Net Income = Revenues - Expenditures	10%	Actual/Target x Weight	₽38.4 Billion	₽42.38 Billion	P 40.69 Billion	₽41.5 Billion	₽32.77 Billion ²
	SO 2	Effectively Manag	ge the Fund							
	SM 3	Return on Investments	$\left[1 + \begin{bmatrix} I_1 + I_2 + + I_m \\ A_0 + A_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A_1 + A_2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + + \begin{bmatrix} A_{m-1} + A_m \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}\right]^{12\cdot 1}$	10%	5% and above: 10% Less than 5%: 0%	9.2%	8.7%	6.89%	5.0%	5.0%

Includes the effects of implementation of contribution rate increase and maximum salary credit ceiling increase
 Includes the effects of implementation of contribution rate increase, MSC ceiling increase, 1985-1989 pension adjustment and benefit increases

	Component						Baseline Dat	a	Target	
	Obje	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
	SM 4	Percent of Operating Expenses to Charter Limit	Operating expenses / (12% of contribution collection+3% of investment and other income)	5%	65% and below: 10% Above 65%: 0%	57%	52.30%	52.70%	≤ 70%	65.00%
	6 bros smi 1977	Sub-total		35%		20 Maria Cara Cara Manda Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Ca				
	SO 3	Improve Customer	Satisfaction							
	SM 5	Improve Net Satisfaction Rating	Number of respondents who gave a satisfactory rating / Total number or respondents	5%	90% and above: 5% Less than 90%: 0%	N/A	N/A	Failure in procurement	Satisfactory	90% of the total respondents gave an overall satisfactory rating and for each type of service availed
STAKEHOLDERS	SM 6	Branch Visit Satisfaction Rating	Sum of percentage to total of extremely happy and happy less percentage to total of sad and extremely sad for all branches / Total number of branches surveyed	5%	Implemented to at least 165 full service branches and at least an average of happy rating: 10% Implemented to less 165 full service branches and/or with a result of average of below happy rating: 0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pilot implementation to 3 branches by September with an average of "Happy" rating	Implement to 165 full service branches with an average of "Happy" rating

- UT 0 1			Component				Baseline Dat	ta	Ta	arget
	Obj	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
	SO 4	Develop Effective	Communication with Sta	keholders				Park the second		
	SM 7	Awareness Level on the Value of SSS Membership	Actual Accomplishment	5%	85% and above: 5% Less than 85%: 0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	80%	85%
		Sub-total		15%						
	SO 5	Improve Compliance of Employers and Members								
PROCESS	SM 8	Percent of the Number of Referred Delinquent Employer Accounts Filed in Court/PO/SSC, Collected or Settled	Number of delinquent ER accounts referred filed, collected or settled / Total number of delinquent ER accounts referred to Legal as of December 2017	5%	(Actual/Target) x Weight	N/A	N/A	94.31%	90%	90%
INTERNAL	SM 9	Filing of Referred Delinquent Cases within Processing Time	Total number of days to process from receipt of complete documents from the Branch Operations Sector and Large Accounts Division to date of Filing to Court/PO/SSC / Total number of cases filed	5%	Actual/Target x Weight	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Average Processing Time of 30 working days for all cases filed

		Component				Baseline Dat	a	Tarç	get
Obje	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
SM 10	Percent of Paying Members over Labor Force	SSS paying members / (Employed persons - Workers in Govt./Govt. Corp.)	5%	38.50% and above: 10% Below 38.50%: 0%	33%	33.70%	36.5%	35.00%	38.50%
SO 6	Achieve ARTA-coi	mpliant Processing Time	for Benefi				I		
SM 11	Reduce Processing Time	Processing Time: From receipt of complete documents to benefit/loan approval (or denial)	10%	Actual/Target x Weight	N/A	N/A	N/A	Retirement: 18 Death:28 Disability: 23 Sickness: 12 Maternity: 11 Funeral: 5 Loans granting: 3.5	Average processing time for all transactions (working days) Retirement: 18 Death: 33 Disability: 17 Sickness: 10 Maternity: 10 Funeral: 5 Loans granting: 2
SO 7	Achieve Online/Pa	perless Transactions				(N. 1.1.1			
SM 12	By 2020, all Processes and Filing shall be Paperless	Actual Accomplishment	5%	Implemented the 2 targeted applications: 5% Implemented less than 2 of the targeted applications: 0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pilot selected processes - Online Amendment of Membership Data and Online Benefit Calculator	Implement the following: - Online submission of Retirement Benefit Claim Application - Online request for Membership Record

		Component				Baseline Data		Tarç	get
Obje	ctive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
SO 8	Adopt a Service Qu	uality Framework in ISO-	Certified F	Processes					T
SM 13	ISO Certification on SSS Processes	Number of ISO-certified processes	5%	Certification for all 5 targeted processes: 5% Certification less than the 5 targeted processes: 0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	ISO 9001:2008 Certification for DDR System and Manualization of Loans Process	ISO Certification of Sickness, Maternity, Funera Benefits, Salary Loans and Contribution Collection Processes in Diliman Branch
SO 9	Provide a Conduci	ve Member-Centric Envir	onment						
SM 14	Establishment of Physical Examination (PE) Centers	Number of PE Centers established (cumulative)	5%	All or Nothing	55 (cumulative)	65 (cumulative)	75 (cumulative)	10 PE Centers	90 PE Centers
SM 15	Number of Branches Created/Relocated (cumulative)	Absolute Number	5%	(Actual/Target) x Weight	11	44	62	15 new/relocated branches	94 (3 created; 14 relocated)
	Sub-total	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	45%						

	Component				Baseline Data			Target		
	Obje	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
	SO 10	Build a More Strate	egically Responsive Orga	anization						
LEARNING AND GROWTH	SM 16	Improve Competency Level of the Organization	Actual accomplishment	5%	All or Nothing	N/A	N/A	Board Approval of competency- based HR Framework in December 2015	Establish Baseline Competency Level	Competency Tables for Internal Audit Services, Fund Management and Capital Market Groups³
		Sub-total		5%						
		TOTAL		100%						

³ In accordance with the GCG FAQs on Competency Frameworks/ Model, the Competency Table must be derived from the Competency Catalogue and Competency Framework. Both catalogue and framework should be submitted to the GCG during validation as a supporting document to the Competency Table