## TOURISM INFRASTRUCTURE AND ENTERPRISE ZONE AUTHORITY (TIEZA) 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation

|               | Component |   |                               |              | Target            | TIEZA Subi   | mission   | GCG Valid | lation   | Supporting<br>Documents | GCG Remarks  |  |
|---------------|-----------|---|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--|---|-----------|--|-------------------------|--|--|
|               | Objectiv  | ve / Measure  | Formula                       | Wt.          | Rating<br>Scale   | 2017   | Actual  | Rating    | Score  | Rating                  |  |  |
|               | SO 1      | Contribute to Co  | ountry's Compet               | titiveness t | hru Developi      | ment of Sustainab  | le Tourism Zon  | es and Su | pport Infrastruct                                    | ure                     |  |  |
| _             | SM 1      | Development and Implementation of the Revised 5-Year Strategic Development Plan for TIEZA's Infrastructure Projects | Actual<br>Accomplish-<br>ment | 10%          | All or<br>Nothing | Board-Approved<br>Revised 5-Year<br>Strategic<br>Development<br>Plan for<br>Infrastructure<br>Projects   | Board-<br>Approved<br>Development<br>Plan<br>Approved by<br>Board on 29<br>November<br>2017                   | 10%       | Board-<br>Approved<br>Revised<br>Development<br>Plan | 10%                     | Draft revised     5-year     Tourism     Infrastructure     Development     Plan     Secretary's     Certificate | While the Commission accepts the submission of the approved revised 5-Year Development Plan, we would like to emphasize that TIEZA needs to further develop a plan which includes a clear timeline of activities which shall serve as a guide in the overall conduct of business aside from the general framework of the plan. |
| PAC           | SO 2      | Preserve Histori  | g Tourism Potentia            |              |                   |  |   |           |  |                         |  |  |
| SOCIAL IMPACT | SM 2      | Conservation of<br>World Heritage<br>Sites based on<br>NCCA MOA   | Actual<br>Accomplish-<br>ment | 10%          | All or<br>Nothing | Final Report for the Disaster Risk and Conservation Assessment for the following sites:  1. Church of La Immaculada;  2. Church of San Agustin;  3. Church of La Asuncion de Nuestra Señora;  4. Church of Sto. Tomas de Villanueva; and,  5. Historic City of Vigan | 90% of the activities required to complete the Final Report for the Disaster Risk and Conservation Assessment | 9.05%     | Final Report<br>was not yet<br>complete              | 0.0%                    | Project Accomplishment Report Inspection Report dated 99 February 2018   | Target not met. No final report submitted.   |

TIEZA | Page 2 of 9
Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

|          | Co   | omponent   |     |                                  | Target      | TIEZA Subi         | nission | GCG Valid                   | lation | Supporting Documents  | GCG Remarks   |
|----------|--|--|-----|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------------------|--------|---|---|
| Objectiv | e / Measure  | Formula  | Wt. | Rating<br>Scale                  | 2017        | Actual             | Rating  | Score                       | Rating |   |   |
| SM 3     | Development of Ecotourism Sites in Depressed Provinces | No. of<br>ecotourism<br>sites<br>developed as<br>determined by<br>NOA (based<br>on the 2016<br>board-<br>approved<br>projects) | 10% | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight | 10 projects | 9 ecotourism sites | 9%      | 4 ecotourism sites/projects | 4%     | <ul> <li>2016 Board-approved projects</li> <li>MOA/NOA of the projects of the 9 projects</li> </ul> | Of the nine (9) projects/sites, only four (4) were issued with NOA/MOA within 2017. TIEZA requested to retain its proposed target of 5 projects considering that it would take 321 days from Board approval to NOA. Further, TIEZA avers that five (5) of the Board-approved projects are in deadlock due to inaction of the LGUs concerned and without fault on TIEZA. To clarify, the target set under the GCG-modified scorecard was based on the 2016 reported accomplishment of TIEZA wherein 10 ecotourism projects were approved by the Board per Board Resolution No. R-04-11-16. The consideration to use the previous year accomplishment, in this case the 2016 accomplishment, in this case the 2016 accomplishment, is due to the representation of TIEZA that it takes 321 days from Board approval to issuance of NOA. Since the 10 ecotourism projects were approved in 2016, it was expected that the issuance of NOA would ensue in 2017 considering the 321-day timeline reported by TIEZA. Further, this is already a minimal target as it does not |

TIEZA | Page 3 of 9
Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

|           |   | Со   | emponent  |           |                                  | Target         | TIEZA Sub | omission | GCG Vali                         | dation | Supporting<br>Documents           | GCG Remarks  |
|-----------|---|--|---|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|--|
|           | Objectiv                                | re / Measure   | Formula   | Wt.       | Rating<br>Scale                  | 2017           | Actual    | Rating   | Score                            | Rating |                                   |  |
|           |   |  |   |           |                                  |                |           |          |                                  |        |                                   | include yet the backlogs of TIEZA. In this regard, the request to lower the target to five (5) sites was <u>DENIED</u> . The measure only merits 4% of its full weight of 10%.   |
|           | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | Sub-total  |   | 30.0%     |                                  |                |           | 28.05%   | in an and a second of the second | 14.00% |                                   |  |
|           | SO 3                                    | Maximize Absor   | ptive Capacity B  | ased on A | pproved Plan                     | s and Programs |           |          |                                  |        |                                   |  |
| FINANCIAL | SM 4                                    | Allotment Utilization Index: Value of Tourism Infrastructure Projects issued with Notice of Award as per Allocated Amount of Board- Approved Projects within a 1 year period | Amount of projects issued with Notice of Award / Total allocated Board-approved projects within 1-year period | 7.5%      | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight | 65%            | 62.81%    | 4.71%    | 3.18%                            | 0.37%  | NOA and<br>MOA of the<br>projects | The projects were verified against the list of 2016 Board-approved projects, with a total value of ₽1.34 Billion, as submitted by TIEZA in relation to its 2016 PBB application. Based on our evaluation, out of 14 projects reported by TIEZA, only 3 projects which were approved by the Board in 2016 were issued with MOA/NOA during the Performance Year 2017. The 3 projects, namely: (1) Development of Ecotourism Projects, Belvedere, Fertig Hills, Tangub City; (2) Access Road to Kinuskusan Hilltop Convention Center, Ba⊞salan, Davao del Sur; and, (3) Consultancy Services for Masterplanning of Siargao Island, Siargao, with a total value of ₽42.46 Million. In view of this, TIEZA only achieved 3.18% allotment utilization index. This is |

T I E Z A | Page 4 of 9

Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

|           | Со  | mponent  |             |   | Target      | TIEZA Sub         | mission | GCG Valid      | ation  | Supporting<br>Documents   | GCG Remarks  |
|-----------|---|--|-------------|---|-------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|--------|---|--|
| Objective | e / Measure                                     | Formula  | Wt.         | Rating<br>Scale   | 2017        | Actual            | Rating  | Score          | Rating |   |  |
|           |   |  |             |   |             |                   |         |                |        |   | equivalent to 0.37% out of the full rating of 7.50%.   |
| SO 4      | Achieve Financi                                 | al Viability and S   | Sustainabil | ity of Assets   |             |                   |         |                |        |   |  |
| SM 5      | Net Income<br>from All<br>Operating<br>Entities | Gross Revenues from all operating entities less operating expenditures (before depreciation) | 10%         | (Actual /<br>Target) x<br>Weight<br>0% = if<br>₽76.63<br>Million<br>and below | ₽85 Million | ₽90.68<br>Million | 10%     | ₽90.68 Million | 10%    | Summary of<br>TIEZA's<br>Financial<br>Report for the<br>Operating<br>Assets | Reported accomplishment acceptable. TIEZ exceeded the target However, it was noted the three of its operating assessincurred net losses, and these are: Balicasag Island Dive Resort, Clustramuros Golf Course, and Lights and Sounds Museur. The request to change the rating scale "(Actual/Target) x Weight" hereby APPROVED, BUTH MODIFICATION Instead of the graduate rating scale initially set, the Governance Commission hereby revises the rating scale to "(Actual/Target) Weight"; however, a Complishment is P76.0 Million and below. This is ensure that TIEZA will or be given a merit if the 20 accomplishment exceet the 2016 actual net incomo of P76.63 Million. |
|           |   | Sub-total  | 17.5%       |   |             |                   | 14.71%  |                | 10.37% |   |  |

T I E Z A | Page 5 of 9

Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

|           | Co   | omponent  |            |                   | Target                | TIEZA Subi   | mission      | GCG Valid                 | lation      | Supporting<br>Documents                        | GCG Remarks   |
|-----------|--|---|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|---|
| Objective | e / Measure                                      | Formula   | Wt.        | Rating<br>Scale   | 2017                  | Actual   | Rating       | Score                     | Rating      | Doddinents                                     |   |
| SO 5      | Institutionalize                                 | a TIEZA Brand Ar  | nchored or | n Transparen      | cy, Efficiency, aı    | nd Quality of Ser  | rvice, and I | Being Environm            | ent Friendl | y and Filipino-Thei                            | med for Projects  |
| SM 6      | Stakeholders<br>Satisfaction for<br>all Services | % of<br>stakeholders<br>who rated<br>TIEZA service<br>as<br>Satisfactory<br>(rating of 4<br>and 5 in a 5-<br>point rating<br>scale) / Total<br>no. of<br>stakeholders<br>surveyed | 5%         | All or<br>Nothing | Establish<br>Baseline | Notice of<br>Award Draft<br>Report to be<br>delivered on<br>April 2018 | 5%           | No<br>accomplish-<br>ment | 0%          | NOA to<br>ASCEND     Draft Inception<br>Report | TIEZA requested to retain in proposed target to establish a baseline for customer satisfaction survey only travel tax payers in NAIA TIEZA argued that it has go through the Governme Procurement Law to engage a third-party surveyor. In a letter dated 29 Janua 2018, the request formodification was not considered. However TIEZA was advised the circumstances presented their letter may be considered during the evaluation of its annual performance. It is noted the in its reported annual accomplishment, TIEZA was not able to conduct the survey even to travel to payers as it had previous appealed. Considering that the measure is a standar requirement across GOCC under the jurisdiction of GC and that no survey was accomplished for the year of the survey. |
|           | Sub-total  |   | 5.0%       |                   |                       | 100  | 5.0%         |                           | 0.0%        |  |   |

TIEZA | Page 6 of 9 Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

|                  |          | Со  | mponent                       |             |                   | Target  | TIEZA Sub                    | mission | GCG Valid   | lation | Supporting<br>Documents   | GCG Remarks   |
|------------------|----------|---|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---|------------------------------|---------|---|--------|---|---|
|                  | Objectiv | e / Measure   | Formula                       | Wt.         | Rating<br>Scale   | 2017  | Actual                       | Rating  | Score   | Rating |   |   |
|                  | SO 6     | Implemented Au  | tomated Informa               | ation Syste | m                 |   |                              |         |   |        |   |   |
|                  | SM 7a    | Information<br>Systems<br>integrated<br>(TIMBA)                               | Actual<br>Accomplish-<br>ment | 5%          | All or<br>Nothing | Implementation<br>of Budget<br>Management<br>Monitoring<br>System | User<br>Accepted<br>System   | 5%      | Implemented<br>the Budget<br>and<br>Management<br>Monitoring<br>System                | 5%     | Certificate of Acceptance     User acceptance Certification     Attendance Sheet on the iBMMS Testing and Training     UAT  | Acceptable.  The Governance Commission further suggests that TIEZA should establish its complete project sign-off documents in its subsequent projects, which includes a Project Closure and Acceptance Form. |
| INTERNAL PROCESS | SM 7b    | Development of<br>the New<br>Integrated<br>Automated<br>Information<br>System | Actual<br>Accomplish-<br>ment | 5%          | All or<br>Nothing | ManCom<br>Approved New<br>Integrated<br>Information<br>System     | Mancom<br>Approved<br>System | 5%      | ManCom<br>Approved<br>Framework<br>for the New<br>Integrated<br>Information<br>System | 5%     | Memorandum dated 21     December 2017 on the Conceptual Framework for TIEZA's IT System approved by the Management Committee     Copy of the approved Conceptual Framework for the Development and Implementation of TIEZA's IT Systems | Acceptable.   |

T I E Z A | Page 7 of 9

Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

|          | Co  | mponent  |         |                                 | Target TIEZA Submissi             |                |        | GCG Vali           | dation | Supporting<br>Documents  | GCG Remarks   |
|----------|---|--|---------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------|--|---|
| Objectiv | ve / Measure  | Formula  | Wt.     | Rating<br>Scale                 | 2017                              | Actual         | Rating | Score              | Rating | Documents  |   |
| SO 7     | Rationalize Deve  | elopment and Ma  | nagemen | t of TEZs and                   | Key TIEZA Asset                   | s and Projects |        |                    |        |  |   |
| SM 8     | Percent of Milestones Achieved in the TEZ Flagship Program Against the Target Within the Year | Weight of<br>milestones<br>achieved over<br>total weight of<br>target<br>milestones                              | 10%     | Milestone/s<br>achieved         | 100% of<br>targeted<br>milestones | 100%           | 10%    | 85%                | 8.50%  | Terms of Reference for the Master Planning of Corregidor Secretary's Certificate (excerpt from the minutes of Board meeting approving the designation of Panglao Bay Premiere Flagship TEZ and approval of its CTMP) | Validated actual was bas<br>on the milestones provid<br>by TIEZA per its letter<br>GCG dated 08 May 20<br>Details of validation<br>presented in Appendix A.   |
| SM 9     | Percentage of<br>TEZ<br>Applications<br>Processed on<br>Time                                  | (Number of TEZ applications processed within 150 working days over total no. of TEZ applications received) x 100 | 7.5%    | (Actual/<br>Target) x<br>Weight | 75%                               | 100%           | 7.5%   | Measure<br>removed | -      | Summary of<br>the timeline of<br>applications<br>per project,<br>including the<br>correspon-<br>dences<br>between<br>TIEZA and the<br>proponents   | Of the five (5) application received in 2017, three were filed beyond Junkence, the processing wongo beyond 2017 consider the 150-working processing time. Two applications were submitted before the end of June were not processed pend submission of docume from the proponent desproblem. The submission of docume from the proponent desproblem. The submission of the proponent desproblem is the proponent desproblem. |

T | E Z A | Page 8 of 9

Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

|          | C                                  | Component   |  |                                 | Target  | TIEZA Subr   | mission        | GCG Valid   | ation      | Supporting<br>Documents  | GCG Remarks   |
|----------|------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--|----------------|---|------------|--|---|
| Objectiv | e / Measure                        | Formula   | Wt.  | Rating<br>Scale                 | 2017  | Actual   | Rating         | Score   | Rating     |  |   |
| SO 8     | Quality Manage                     | ement System  |  |                                 |   |  |                |   |            |  |   |
| SM 10    | ISO<br>Certification               | Actual<br>Accomplish-<br>ment   | For target (a): 5.00%  For target (b): 5:00% | All or<br>nothing               | a. ISO 9001:2008 Certification maintained and b. Readiness Assessment for ISO 9001:2015   | a. ISO 9001:2008 Certification maintained b. Readiness ISO 9001:2015   | 5%<br>5%       | a. ISO<br>9001:2008<br>maintained<br>b. Readiness<br>Assessment | 5%<br>5%   | TUV Rheinland Audit Report Gap Assessment Report   | Acceptable. The scope the ISO 9001:2 certification covers following processes: Provision of Ass Management; (2) Tour Infrastructure Pro Management; and (3) Tra Tax Administration Service  |
|          |                                    | Sub-total   | 37.5%  |                                 |   |  | 37.5%          |   | 28.50%     |  |   |
| SO 9     | Build and Stren                    | ngthen Human an   | d Organiza                                   | tional Capak                    | pilities  | Lane Control of the C |                |   |            |  |   |
| SM 11    | Required<br>Competency<br>Complied | a. Establish Baseline (SG17 and below) b. No. of Managers and Supervisors with individual Career and Development Plan / Total No. of Managers and Supervisors with Established Competency Profile | For target (a): 5.00% For target (b): 5.00%  | (Actual/<br>Target) x<br>Weight | a. Establish Competency Baseline for 246 employees (SG 17 & below)  b. Board- approved Training Plan for 160 employees (Managers & Supervisors) | a. Establish<br>Baseline for<br>246<br>employees<br>b. Board-<br>approved<br>training plan   | a. 5%<br>b. 5% | a. Target<br>removed<br>b. Board-<br>approved<br>training plan  | a<br>b. 5% | Summary of competency assessment profiling     Board-approved training plan     Board Resolution No. R-19-12-17-A dated 19 December 2017 approving the Training Plan | TIEZA reported that it able to accomplish the (2) targets under measure, namely: establish the compete baseline for 246 employ with Salary Grade (SG) and below; and (2) to suft a Board-approved train plan for 160 employ occupying managerial supervisory positions. As regards the first tarthe CGO-A gathered duthe onsite validation TIEZA was able to condite assessment in 2016 most of the employ occupying SG 17 and be and that the assessment was completed in the quarter of 2017. This validated in the submitted |

T I E Z A | Page 9 of 9 Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

| All sales  | Со            | mponent |       |                 | Target           | TIEZA Sub | omission | GCG Valid                 | ation                    | Supporting<br>Documents | GCG Remarks  |
|------------|---------------|---------|-------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Objective  | e / Measure   | Formula | Wt.   | Rating<br>Scale | 2017             | Actual    | Rating   | Score                     | Rating                   |                         |  |
|            |               |         |       |                 |                  |           |          |                           |                          |                         | of profiled employees. In the said document, 27 employees were assessed in 2017 out of the 246 employees under SG 17 and below, while the rest were assessed in 2016.  |
|            |               |         |       |                 |                  |           |          |                           |                          |                         | However, we find that the accomplishment in 2017, which was the conduct of assessment for the remaining 27 employees under SG 17 and below, was not enough to warrant a full rating of 5%. It is in this context that the GCG removed this target in the 2017 Performance Scorecard. However, we |
|            |               |         |       |                 |                  |           |          |                           | 6                        |                         | took note of TIEZA's effort in completing the baseline in 2017 and the same shall be taken into consideration in the crafting of succeeding Performance Scorecards.  |
| ,          |               |         |       |                 |                  |           |          |                           |                          |                         | On the other hand, the training plan was found acceptable and 5% was given for this accomplishment.  |
|            | Sub-total     | × .     | 10.0% | 8               | #18 <sub>H</sub> |           | 10.0%    |                           | 5.00%                    |                         |  |
| TOTAL 100% |               |         |       |                 |                  | 95.26%    |          | 57.87%<br>out of<br>87.5% |                          |                         |  |
|            | VALIDATED RAT | ΓING    | ,     |                 |                  |           |          |                           | 66.14%<br>out of<br>100% |                         |  |