

PHILIPPINE DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Performance Measures					Baseline Data (if available)			Targets		
Description	Formula	Weight		Rating System	Data Provider (if applicable)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
		2013	2014							
MFO 1 : Build-up of Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF)										
Quality 1: Ratio of DIF to Estimated Insured Deposits (EID) (based on a 12-month average)	$(DIF/EID) \times 100\%$	22.5%	22.5%	Actual/Target x Weight (but not to exceed assigned weight)		4.5%	5%	5.3%	5%	5%
Subtotal of Weights:		22.5%	22.5%							

Handwritten mark

Handwritten mark

Handwritten mark

Handwritten mark

Handwritten mark

Handwritten mark

ya

Ju.

Performance Measures					Baseline Data (if available)			Targets		
Description	Formula	Weight		Rating System	Data Provider (if applicable)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
		2013	2014							
MFO 2 : Deposit Insurance										
Quality 1: For accounts with balances of P15,000 and below: Percentage of valid deposits paid within TAT. Banks with < 20 branches = 18 days Banks with > 20 branches = 28 days Multi-unit bank with conditions = 30 days	No. of valid deposits paid within TAT/ No. of valid deposits below P15,000 eligible for outright payment	10%	10%	Actual/Target x Weight (but not to exceed assigned weight)		N/A	N/A	N/A	90%	90%

ly

ps
m

ki
h

Performance Measures					Baseline Data (if available)			Targets		
Description	Formula	Weight		Rating System	Data Provider (if applicable)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
		2013	2014							
Quality 2: For accounts with balances greater than P15,000: Percentage of valid claims settled within TAT. Banks with ≤ 20 branches = 30 days Banks with > 20 branches = 60 days Multi-unit bank with conditions = 70 days	No. of valid deposits paid within TAT/ No. of valid claims	10%	10%	Actual/Target x Weight (but not to exceed assigned weight)		N/A	N/A	N/A	90%	90%
Quality 1: Customer Satisfaction (based on a 12-month average)	Rate of client's satisfaction level for the Home Office Claims Settlement (HOCS)	2.5%	2.5%	Actual/Target x Weight (but not to exceed assigned weight)		76.1%	80.3%	96.8%	90%	90%
Subtotal of Weights:		22.5%	22.5%							

[Handwritten mark]

[Handwritten mark]

[Handwritten mark]

CA

Ju.

Performance Measures					Baseline Data (if available)			Targets		
Description	Formula	Weight		Rating System	Data Provider (if applicable)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
		2013	2014							
MFO 3 : Co-Regulation of Banks										
Quantity 1: No. of priority banks examined	Absolute No.	0%	0%	Actual/Target x Weight (but not to exceed assigned weight)		N/A	N/A	54	55	60
Timeliness 1: Average no. of days to submit a recommendation to the Board of Directors for corrective action following the Bank's examination	No. of days	22.5%	22.5%	Weight - (((Actual - Target) / Target) x Weight) (but not to exceed assigned weight)		N/A	N/A	55	58 (From the exit conference or the last day of examination period, whichever is later.)	53 (From the exit conference or the last day of examination period, whichever is later.)
Subtotal of Weights:		22.5%	22.5%							

My

pd

2

hi

A

ga

Jm.

Performance Measures					Baseline Data (if available)			Targets		
Description	Formula	Weight		Rating System	Data Provider (if applicable)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
		2013	2014							
MFO 4 : Receivership and Liquidation of Closed Banks										
Quantity 1: No. of closed banks with liquidation terminated	Absolute No.	22.5%	22.5%	Actual/Target x Weight (but not to exceed assigned weight)		N/A	N/A	79 (out of 75 closed banks with Liquidation Court - approved FPODs ready for termination)	80 (out of 142 closed banks with Liquidation Court - approved FPODs ready for termination)	85 (out of 100 closed banks with Liquidation Court - approved FPODs ready for termination)
Subtotal of Weights:		22.5%	22.5%							
GASS - General and Administration Support Services										
No. of completed Business Process Reviews approved by the Board	Absolute No.	5%	5%	Actual/Target x Weight (but not to exceed assigned weight)		N/A	N/A	N/A	3 (Assessment Audit of Member Banks, Head Office Claims Settlement, Administration of the Procurement Process)	3 (Customer Handling System, Human Resource Information System, Another process to be determined in 2014)

My

but

hi

44

Ju.

Performance Measures					Baseline Data <i>(if available)</i>			Targets		
Description	Formula	Weight		Rating System	Data Provider <i>(if applicable)</i>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
		2013	2014							
Formulation of the Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) in accordance with the ISO-based "Principles of Effective Risk Management"	Actual accomplishment	5%	5%	All or Nothing		N/A	N/A	N/A	Board Approval	Full Implementation (with Board Audit Committee Report)
Subtotal of Weights:		10%	10%							
TOTAL OF WEIGHTS:		100%	100%							

My

A

per

hi