

PHILIPPINE RECLAMATION AUTHORITY
Interim Performance Scorecard

Indicator	Weight	Targets	Accomplishment		CGO-A Validation		Supporting Documents	Remarks
	2013	2013	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
MFO 1: Reclamation and Land Development								
Quantity 1: Number of project proposals accepted by the Board	20%	2 Projects (Parañaque Extension and Government Center)	3 Projects	20.00%	3 Projects	20.00%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Secretary's Certificate Board Resolution 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acceptable
Timeliness 1: Percentage of projects acted upon within 60 days from submission of complete requirements	12%	100%	100%	12.00%	100%	12.00%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Secretary's Certificate Board Resolution 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acceptable
Timeliness 2: Percentage completion of the Project Acceptance stage of the first phase of the 77 hectares of the Government Center Reclamation Project.	16%	10% / 75% (approval of Senate, House, Supreme Court and/or OP)	Approved by the Board as a concept; letters with conceptual plan sent to Senate, House & SC; externalities from Senate affected the movement of the project	16.00%	PRA have not secured the approval from the Senate, House and SC	0.00%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letters to Senate, House and Supreme Court 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The indicator calls for PRA to secure "approval from the Senate, House of Representatives, Supreme Court or the Office of the President". PRA submitted a full rating of 16% on the basis of the letters it sent to the Senate, the House of Representatives and the Supreme Court.

Indicator	Weight	Targets	Accomplishment		CGO-A Validation		Supporting Documents	Remarks
	2013	2013	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
								<p>PRA argued that external factors caused a delay in the project and that Approval is beyond its control</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PRA's actual performance relative to this indicator falls far short of the target for the year. The rating scale is "All or Nothing", hence a revised rating of 0%.
<p>Timeliness 3 : Percentage completion of the pre-development process for the Pasay City Reclamation Project.</p>	16%	<p>To get Pasay City to formally submit its Pre-Feasibility Study and complete all documentations prior to NEDA Submission</p>	<p>PRA advised Pasay City to formally submit its Pre-FS and complete all documentations. Further, PRA is seeking clarification from Pasay City as to whether the 60 hectares is integrated to their new proposed 300 hecatres for which new pre-FS is required.</p>	16.00%	<p>No pre-FS secured from Pasay City</p>	0.00%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PRA letters to Pasay City 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 2013 Target calls for submission of the Pre-Feasibility Study of the Pasay City Reclamation Project. PRA submitted a rating of 16% on the basis of PRA's letters to the Pasay City. However, no Pre-Feasibility Study was submitted. The rating scale is "All or Nothing" hence a revised rating of 0%.

Indicator	Weight	Targets	Accomplishment		CGO-A Validation		Supporting Documents	Remarks
	2013	2013	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
Timeliness 4 : Percentage completion of the 500 hectares for Las Piñas Parañaque Coastal Bay Reclamation Project	15%	37.5% / 75 % (PRA Board Approval) No NTP yet re: Writ of Kalikasan	Awaiting for the decision of the Supreme Court re Writ of Kalikasan	15.00%	Awaiting for the decision of the Supreme Court re Writ of Kalikasan	15.00%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Court of appeals decision • Petition of Cynthia Villar to SC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acceptable since there was a colatilla.
Quality: Comprehensive Manila Bay Sector Study on Environmental, Socio-Economic, and Technical aspects for reclamation and land development.	6%	Completion of the publication requirements.	Completed publication requirements.	6.00%	Completed publication requirements.	6.00%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Secretary's Certificate • Board Resolution 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acceptable
<i>Sub-total</i>	<i>85%</i>			<i>85%</i>		<i>53%</i>		
MFO 2: Disposition of Reclaimed Properties								
Quantity 1: Recovery of the Bird Sanctuary (80 ha.)	0%	Recovery of the Bird Sanctuary (80 ha.)						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did not submit updates
Quantity 2: Recovery of the 15 ha. Entertainment City Property swapped with Nayong Pilipino	0%	Recovery of the 15 ha. Entertainment City Property swapped with Nayong Pilipino						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did not submit updates

Indicator	Weight	Targets	Accomplishment		CGO-A Validation		Supporting Documents	Remarks
	2013	2013	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
Quantity 3: Foreiture of illegally reclaimed lands (approx. 600 ha. Nationwide)	0%	Forfeiture of illegally reclaimed lands)						• Did not submit updates
<i>Sub-total</i>	<i>0%</i>			<i>0.00%</i>		<i>0.00%</i>		
General Administrative and Services (GAS)								
Timeliness: Digitalization of the Geographical Information System (GIS)	5%	1 out of 7 offices (Reclamation Department)	1 out of 7 offices (Reclamation Department)	5.00%	1 out of 7 offices (Reclamation Department)	5.00%	• Internal Memo	• Acceptable
Quality: Manualization of Core Processes under the Quality Management System (QMS)	5%	Completion of the IRR for forfeiture of illegal reclamations.	Completion of the IRR for forfeiture of illegal reclamations.	5.00%	Completion of the IRR for forfeiture of illegal reclamations.	5.00%	• Copy of IRR • Exchange of communications with DENR	• Acceptable
Financial: Net Income commitment	5%	Equal to 2012 Net Income	₱2.46 Billion (Unaudited)	5.00%	₱2.46 Billion (Unaudited)	5.00%	• Statement of Financial Position (unaudited)	• Acceptable
<i>Sub-total</i>	<i>15%</i>			<i>15%</i>		<i>15%</i>		
TOTAL	<u>100%</u>			<u>100%</u>		<u>68%</u>		