LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT AUTHORITY (LRTA)

	Component						ne Data	Targets				
		Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale ^{a/}	2015	2016	2017	2018			
\CT	SO 1	Increased Passenger Ridership										
SOCIAL IMPACT	SM 1	Increased Passenger Ridership	Absolute Figure (in Millions)	L1: 2%	Actual / Target x	L1: 92.86	L1: 147.91	L1: Actual Figure	L1: 160.12			
				L2: 5% weight	L2: 62.21	L2: 66.93	L2: 68.22	L2: 67.28				
Do Do			Sub-total	7%								
	SO 2	Improved Customer Satisfaction										
& STAKEHOLDERS	SM 2	Percentage of Satisfied Customers	Number of respondents which gave at least a Satisfactory rating / Total number of respondents	5%	(Actual / Target) x Weight 0% = <i>If less than 80%</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	90% (Using the Standard Methodology and Questionnaire developed by GCG)			
	SO 3	Addressed Increasing Demands for the Existing Lines and New Mass Transit Systems										
CUSIOMER	SM 3	Line 2 East Extension Project	Percentage of completion	8%	Actual / Target x weight	N/A	N/A	100% completion of viaduct	100% completion of Preparatory Works for Construction of Design and Build of Stations			

LRTA |2 of 4

12

Performance Scorecard 2018

	Component					Baseline Data		Targets			
		Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale ^{a/}	2015	2016	2017	2018		
	SM 4	Construction of Line 1 South Extension Project	Actual accomplishment	10%	Actual /Target x Weight A. 5% B. 5%	N/A	N/A	 A. Acquisition of 11 Remaining Lots - Package 2 B. Relocation of (540) informal Setter Families 	 A. Delivery of 5 Lots under Package 3 (Las Pinas – Niog B. Relocation of 165 ISFs under Package 2 		
			Sub-total	23%							
	SO 4	Ensured Delivery of Performance by the Private Concessionaire									
c L G G	SM 5	Compliance of Concessionaire to Performance Commitments under the Concession Agreement	Percentage of compliance to Secondary KPI (No. of Rectified Noncompliance +Total No. of Noncompliance x 100%)	10%	95% and above = 10% 94% = 9% 93% = 8% 92% = 7% 91% = 6% 90% = 5% 89.9% and below = 0%	N/A	L1: 97.88%	L1: 95%	L1: 95%		
INTERNAL PROCESS	SM 6	Compliance of Line 2 Automated Fare Collection System (AFCS) Concessionaire to Service Level Agreement (SLA)	Percentage of compliance to SLA provisions (No. of provisions complied + Total number relevant provisions) x 100%)	10%	Actual /Target x Weight	N/A	L2: 90.06%	L2: 90%	L2: 90%		
	SO 5	Improved Efficiency and Reliability of LRT Systems and Processes									
	SM 7	Improve Systems and Facilities	No. of projects completed (Physical Completion)	14%	Actual /Target x Weight	L1: None L2: 2 projects	L1: 1 project L2: 4 projects	L1: 1 project (Gantry Anchor Bolt) L2: 6 projects	L2: 7 projects		

LRTA |3 of 4

5

11

Performance Scorecard 2018

					Baseli	ne Data	Та	Targets			
		Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale */	2015	2016	2017	2018		
	SM 8	ISO Certification	Actual Accomplishment	6%	All or Nothing	N/A	N/A	ISO-Aligned Documentation of its QMS for at least one (1) Core Process	ISO 9001:2015 QMS Certified		
	SM 9	Budget Utilization Rate (GAA-Funded Spare Parts and Rehabilitation Projects)	Actual award / (total APP - savings generated)	5%	Actual /Target x Weight	-	60%	71%	75%		
			Sub-total	45%							
	SO 6	Sustainability of Financial Conditions									
ICIAL	SM 10	Farebox Ratio	Rail Revenue / Operating Expenses (excluding depreciation)	10%	Actual /Target x Weight	1.46	1.12	1.29	1.00		
FINANCIAL	SM 11	Improved Non-Rail Revenue	Actual Revenue from commercial and advertising spaces	5%	Actual /Target x Weight	-	120.38 Million	N/A	129.19 Million		
			15%								
Q	SO 7	Achieved Railway System Competency and Expertise									
LEARNING AND GROWTH	SM 12	Percentage of Employees Meeting Required Competences	Employees with competency gaps determined / total number of employees	5%	Actual /Target x Weight	Board- approved competency Framework	Competency Profile of all positions in LRTA completed and established	Competency Profile of all positions in LRTA completed and established	25% of LRTA personnel with identified competency gaps are addressed by December 2018		

3

LRTA | 4 of 4 Performance Scorecard 2018

Component						ine Data	Targets	
	Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale ^{a/}	2015	2016	2017	2018
SM 13	Establishment of Philippine Railway Training Center (PRTC)	Actual Accomplishment	5%	All or Nothing a. 2.5% b. 2.5%	N/A	Creation of S.O 171 establishing the structure of PRTC	TESDA registration of Technical Train Driving Module by December 2017	TESDA-accredited Train Driving Level Program Public Offering: a. Soft Launch to Potential Clients b. Official Launch
	Sub-total 10%							
		TOTAL	100%					