Annex A

CREDIT INFORMATION CORPORATION VALIDATED 2016 PERFORMANCE SCORECARD

		Component			Target	Target CIC Submission			tion	Supporting	Remarks				
	Oh	jective/Measure	Weight	Rating System	2016	Accomplishment	Rating	Accomplishment	Rating	Document	T GIII LI II G				
	\$01	Increase Opportunities for the Public to Access Credit													
¥ F	SM 1	Volume of Access	0%	Actual / Target x Weight	n/a	n/a	-	-	-		75.				
SOCIO-ECONOMIC	SM 2	Channels of Distribution	16%	Actual / Target x Weight	953 Submitting Entities	1,083	16%	1,083	16%	Copy of Report Extracted from CIC Dashboard	Acceptable				
ν		Sub-total	16%				16%		16%						
	SO 2	Exercise Fiscal Prudence Through Proper Revenue and Expense Matching													
8	SM 3	Budget Utilization	6%	Actual / Target x Weight	PS - 85% MOOE - 100% CA - 100%	PS - 31% MOOE - 54% CA - 44%	3%	PS – 31.46% MOOE – 53.91% CA – 43.51%	2.69%	COA Annual Audit Report	GCG-validated score and rating due to rounding-off.				
FINANCE	SO 3	Promote Stakeholders' Interest and Enhance Shareholder Value													
E	SM 4	Operating Revenue	6%	Actual / Target x Weight	₽2.00 Million	P4 ,017,857	6%	P4,017,857	6%	COA Annual Audit Report	Acceptable. CIC exceeded the target.				
		Sub-total	12%				9%		8.69%						
	SO 4	West and West Freshed Dispute Desclution Program													
	SM 5	Percentage of Disputes resolved within 15 Working Days	0%	Actual / Target x Weight	n/a	n/a	-	n/a	-	-	-				
RS	SO 5	Attract New Account	s												
CUSTOMERS	SM 6	No. of Unique Data Subject Records	20%	-	8 Million	9,462,586	20%	9,462,586	20%	No. of Records as certified by CIC Personnel	Acceptable. CIC exceeded the target.				
		No. of Submitting Entit	ies as Ider	ntified by Law			A	0.							
	SM 7	Commercial Banks (36)	2%	Actual / Target x Weight	27 CBs	40	2%	Accomplishment cannot be	0%	No. of Participating	Based on the report submitted, there				

C I C | 2 of 6 Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

	Component			Target	CIC Submis	sion	GCG Validation		Supporting	Remarks
ОЬ	jective/Measure	Weight	Rating System	2016	Accomplishment	Rating	Accomplishment	Rating	Document	
	Thrift Banks (71)	2%	Actual / Target x Weight	53 CBs	60	2%	validated based on submitted supporting		Submitting entities as of 31 December	were 1,083 submitting entities of which 1,101 were identified under the CISA. However, the submitted report which is an internally and manually generated report cannot be accepted as it remains to be validated by substantiating the same with a system generated report. In view of the foregoing, CIC receives a 0% score.
	Rural Banks (533)	2%	Actual / Target x Weight	354 RBs	426	2%	document.		2016 as certified by CIC Personnel	
	Credit Cards	2%	Actual / Target x Weight	All CCs	16	2%				
	GOCCs (20)	2%	Actual / Target x Weight	15 GOCCs	5	0.50%				
	Others	5%	Actual / Target x Weight	830	554	3%				
SM 8	Private Credit Bureaus Accreditation	5%	Actual / Target x Weight	3	4	5%	0	0%	Copies of Subscription Agreement with the Special Accessing Entities	The supporti document submitt which is Subscription Agreement sho that the 4 mention entities, are Spec Accessing Entitic Further validatis shows that the sare entities are all accredited bureaby the CIC, reflected in the CIC website. Absorbed clear documentate vidence to prothat entities we accredited in 20°

C I C | 3 of 6
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

	Compo	nent		Target	CIC Submission		GCG Valida	tion	Supporting	Remarks
Ob	jective/Measure	Weight	Rating System	2016	Accomplishment	Rating	Accomplishment	Rating	Document	0% score is granted for the purpose.
SO 6	Continuously Expand	d Data Sou	rces to Create Highe	r Value Credit Re	ports					
SM 9	No. of Submitting Entities as Identified by the Corporation	3%	Actual / Target x Weight	1	1	3%	1	3%	CIC Circular No. 2016-01 series of 2016	Acceptable. CIC identified the telecommunication companies as additional submitting entities.
SO 7	Enable Constant Con	nmunicatio	on to Educate and Sa	tisfy Customers						
SM 10	Number of Projects on Customer Education	7%	Actual / Target x Weight	18	53	7%		0%	Schedule of Activities on	CIC only submitted an internally generated report on the different activities / events conducted, but was not substantiated with other documentary evidence such as attendance sheets. Hence, a 0% score is granted.
SM 11	No. of Submitting Entities Reached	3%	Actual / Target x Weight	1,000	2,205	3%	-	0%	Customer Education	

C I C | 4 of 6 Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

1		Compo	nent		Target	CIC Submis	sion	GCG Validation		Supporting	Remarks
	Ob	jective/Measure	Weight	Rating System	2016	Accomplishment	Rating	Accomplishment	Rating	Document	Nemarks
	SM 12	Customer Satisfaction	2%	Actual / Target x Weight	Establish baseline for satisfactory customer rating (Third Party Survey)	USAID Survey	2%	No Customer Satisfaction Survey was conducted by a Third-Party Consultant	0%	USAID Survey	CIC reported the USAID survey entitled "Training Evaluation of CIC Credit Infrastructure Workshops for Rural Banks in Northern Luzon, Bicol Region, Western Visayas and Mindanao" as accomplishment. However, the report, was not a customer satisfaction survey but an evaluation of CIC's effectiveness on conducting its roadshow events, trainings and workshops. Moreover, the said report cannot be accepted as it was only a draft report.
	-	Sub-total	55%				52%		23%		
	SO 8	Make Credit Data and	System li	nteroperable and U	p-to-Date with Globa	I Standards					
CORE PROCESS	SM 13	Percentage of Institutions Recognizing/Utilizing Credit Report from CIC	2%	Actual / Target × Weight	35% of the number of the actual submitting entities	52%	2%	Submitted accomplishment based on CIC's survey does not coincide with the target	0%	Result of Internally Generated Customer Satisfaction Survey	The submitted supporting document is not valid as it pertains to the result of the customer survey and not on the actual number of submitting entities.

C I C | 5 of 6 Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

	Comp	onent	THE LETTER	Target	CIC Submis	sion	GCG Valida	tion	Supporting Document	Remarks
Oi	jective/Measure	Weight	Rating System	2016	Accomplishment	Rating	Accomplishment	Rating		
SO 9	Build, Install and Ma	intain a Sec	cure System Capat	ole of Receiving, Col	lating, Hosting and l	Jpdating D	ata			
SM 14	System Availability	3%	if ≥ 90% - 100% score x weight; if ≥ 80% but < 90% - 90% score x weight if ≥ 70% but < 80% - 80% score x weight if < 70% - 0% score x weight	90%	98.68%	3%	Report cannot be validated	0%	Report on System Availability presented as a percentage	The submitt supporting docume was an internal generated report r substantiated documentary evidence. Since, t reported actucannot be validate a 0% score is given
SM 15	Performance and Usability Rating of the IT system	3%	if ≥ 90% - 100% score x weight if ≥ 80% but < 90% - 90% score x weight if ≥ 70% but < 80% - 80% score x weight if < 70% - 0% score x weight	90% (Conducted through customer satisfaction rating)	96.00%	3%	87.18%	2.7%	Result of Internally Generated Customer Satisfaction Survey	The reported act was based on average of scores generated the interna administered customer satisfact survey. The survey. The survey on sists of ni questions. For this measu however, the orelevant question that may considered rating "How would you rethe user friendline of the web portal the Crelinformation System?", which directly refers to the CIS — as an system provided CIC. For this survey question, CIC had

C I C | 6 of 6 Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

	414	Component			Target CIC Submissio			ion GCG Validation			Barranka		
	Ob	jective/Measure	Weight	Rating System	2016	Accomplishment	Rating	Accomplishment	Rating	Document	Remarks		
											87.18% accomplishment.		
	SM 16	No. of Channels to be Developed	2%	Actual / Target x Weight	3 Special Accessing Entities	4	2%	4	2%	Copies of Subscription Agreement with the Special Accessing Entities	Acceptable. CIC exceeded the target.		
		Sub-total	10%				10%		4.7%				
	SO 10	Recruit, Equip and Retain Talented and Technologically Competent Employee											
GROWTH	SM 17	Average Competency Level	5%	All or Nothing	Target baseline for Competency Level	Atleast 32 hours of training per employee	5%	No Assessment was conducted; Competency Baseline was not established	0%	No Assessment was conducted	Target not met.		
AND	SO 11	Build an Organization Responsive to CIC Needs Over Time											
LEARNING A	SM 18	Percentage of Employees with Satisfactory Rating Based on Performance Evaluation Rating	2%	All or Nothing	Establish the SPMS	SPMS submitted to Civil Service for Approval	2%	SPMS submitted to Civil Service for Approval	0%	Copy of SPMS and Transmittal letter to CSC	The establishment of the SPMS cannot be verified based on the submitted supporting document.		
		Sub-total	7%				7%		0%				
		Total	100%				94%		52,39%				