## 2019 PERFORMANCE SCORECARD (ANNEX B)

## PHILIPPINE DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

		Co	omponent			Baseline Data		Target		
		Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	2017	2018	2019	
	SO 1	To Sustain Client Satisfaction Level								
CUSTOMER / STAKEHOLDERS	SM 1	Percentage of Satisfied Customers	Number of respondents who gave rating of at least satisfactory / Total number of survey respondents	10%	(Actual/Target) x Weight 0% = If less than 80%	N/A	Very Satisfactory	95%	95%	
ν.		Sub-total		10%						
	SO 2	To Maintain the Deposit In	surance Fund (DIF) to	Adequate	ly Cover Deposit Ins	surance				
FINANCIAL	SM 2	Adequate Capital Against Deposit Insurance Costs	12-month average DIF / 12-month average EID	10%	All or Nothing	5.82%	6.12%	5.5%-8%	Minimum of 5.5%	
<u> </u>		Sub-total		10%						
	SO 3	To Settle Valid Deposit Ins	surance Claims Promp	tly						
INTERNAL	SM 3	Settlement of Valid Deposits Promptly within Turn-around Time (TAT) - For Accounts with Less than or Equal to P100,000 Balances1	Number of valid deposits paid within TAT / Total number of valid deposits for Accounts with Less than or Equal to \$\text{P}100,000 Balances}\$	15%	(Actual / Target) x Weight  0% = If less than 95%	98.3%	100%	100%	100% of claims processed within the applicable processing time <sup>2</sup>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Banks with 1 to 3,000 number of accounts – within 7 WDs; Banks with 3,001 to 10,000 number of accounts – within 10 WDs; Banks with 10,001 to 25,000 number of accounts – within 15 WDs; Banks with more than 25,000 number of accounts – within 22 WDs. However, the final TAT shall be subject to PDIC"s compliance with the Ease of Doing Business and Efficient Government Service Delivery Act of 2018 (Republic Act No. 11032).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Applicable processing time in compliance with Republic Act No. 11032.

	Co		Baseline Data		Target			
	Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	2017	2018	2019
SM 4	Settlement of Valid Claims Promptly within Turn-around Time (TAT): - For Accounts with More than ₽100,000 Balances, of Business Entitles or Matched with Loans³	Number of valid claims settled within TAT / Total number of valid claims filed	15%	(Actual / Target) x Weight 0% = If less than 95%	100%	100%	100%	100% of claims processed withi the applicable processing time
SO 4	To Immediately Distribute	Assets to Creditors ar	nd Termina	ate Liquidation of	Closed Banks			
SM 5	Number of Asset Distribution Plan (ADP) Filed with the Liquidation Court <sup>5</sup>	Absolute number	20%	Actual/Target) x Weight 0% = If below 33	40	40	40	40
SO 5	5 To Protect the Deposit Insurance Fund from Illegal Schemes and Machinations							
SM 6	Percentage of Cases Filed Against Erring Bank Officials from Approval of the Appropriate Approving Authority (AAA) within Turn-around Time (TAT)	Number of cases filed within 25 Working Days (WDs) / Total number of cases filed	10%	All or nothing	Average of 37 WDs	Average of 17 working days	100%	100% of cases fi within the applicable time
	Sub-total		60%					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Banks with 1 to 3,000 number of accounts – within 12 WDs; Banks with 3,001 to 10,000 number of accounts – within 15 WDs; Banks with 10,001 to 25,000 number of accounts – within 25 WDs; Banks with more than 25,000 number of accounts – within 42 WDs. However, the TAT submitted to the EODB shall be considered as the final TAT for SM 4, and that validation documents may change.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Applicable processing time in compliance with Republic Act No. 11032.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Based on the Board-Approved Clean-up Plan for Asset Distribution Plan (ADPs) under Board Resolution No. 2017-12-172.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Applicable processing time in compliance with Republic Act No. 11032.

	Component					Baselir	ne Data	Target		
		Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating System	2016	2017	2018	2019	
STAKEHOLDERS	SO 6	To Continuously Develop a Committed and Competent Workforce to Deliver Responsive Public Service								
	SM 7	Percentage of Employees Meeting Required Competencies	$\frac{\sum_{b=1}^{B} \left[\frac{\sum_{a=1}^{A} \left(\frac{Actual Competency Level}{Required Competency Level}\right)_{a}}{A}\right]}{B}$ where: $a = Competency$ required, $A = Total$ number of competencies required of position, $b = Personnel$ profiled, $B = Total$ number of personnel profiled	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	Partial Baseline Competency Assessment for 8 competencies  Succession Planning Framework approved by the PDIC Board on November 23, 2016	2 HR interventions for commitment to depositor protection competency	100% of employees with complete competency baseline assessment 7	Implementation of Competency-Based Succession Planning Program <sup>8</sup> Improvement in the competency baseline of the organization	
	SM 8	ISO Certification	Actual accomplishment	10%	All or nothing	ISO 9001:2008 Certification for Assessment of Member Banks issued by TUV Rheinland Phil. Inc.	Maintained ISO 9001:2008 certifications for Claims Settlement Operations and Assessment of Member Banks processes  ISO certification 9001:2008 for Loans Management System	Transition to ISO 9001:2015 Standards	Maintain ISO Certification for Assessment of Member Bank, Claims Settlement and Loans Management System Attain Certification for Real Property Disposal Process 9001:2015 standards	
		Sub-total		20%						
		TOTAL		100%						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The establishment of baseline pertains to the implementation of methodologies designed by PDIC in evaluating whether an incumbent or candidate to a position possess (1) the competencies required by the position, (2) the actual competency levels of the incumbent or candidate, and (3) the gaps between the actual competencies and competency levels of the incumbent or candidate, and the required competencies and competency levels of the position

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Based from the submitted Board-approved CBHRS plan (attached as Annex I). Includes Learning and Development and Career Planning including Organizational Climate Survey.