

NATIONAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION
PERFORMANCE SCORECARD

Performance Measures												
Description	Formula	Weight		Responsible/ Delivery Units	Baseline Data				Targets		Remarks	
		2013	2014		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014			
MFO Management Assistance to the 1: Sitio Electrification Program												
1. Quantity												
Number of completed and energized sitio projects	2013: Below 3,307 is 0%. For $\geq 3,307$, 30% is proportionally distributed, i.e. $\frac{\text{Actual number of completed and energized sitio projects} - 3,307}{\text{Target number} - 3,306} \times 100$ 2014: Below 3,537 is 0%. For $\geq 3,537$, 30% is proportionally distributed, i.e. $\frac{\text{Actual number of completed and energized sitio projects} - 3,537}{\text{Target number} - 3,536} \times 100$	30	30	Lead Unit: ATEO Support Units: CORPLAN, AMIGO, FSD, IDD, ITCSO	1,953	6,163	6,614	7,073	Sitio also means purok or zone			
2. Quality												
Percentage of sitio electrification projects funded that are fully compliant with NEA standards and specifications	Below 50% is 0%. For $\geq 50\%$, 15% is proportionally distributed, i.e. $\frac{\text{Actual percentage of sitio electrification projects funded that are fully compliant with NEA standards and specifications} - 50\%}{\text{Target rate} - 49\%} \times 100$	15	15	Lead Unit: ATEO Support Units: ECAD, IAQSMO, ED			75% of those projects done as of end of September 2013 should be fully compliant with NEA standards	75% of those projects done as of end of September 2014 should be fully compliant with NEA standards	Final inspection and acceptance is being undertaken within 3 months from project completion to check compliance with NEA standards and specifications. It is the first time NEA will use this as a measure.			
3. Timeliness												
Percentage of sitios completed and energized within 120 calendar days from release of funds to ECs	Below 75% is zero. For $\geq 75\%$, 15% is proportionally distributed, i.e. $\frac{\text{Actual percentage of sitios completed and energized within 120 days from release of funds to ECs} - 75\%}{\text{Target rate} - 74\%} \times 100$	15	15	Lead Unit: ATEO Support Units: FSD, ECAD, IDD			80%	80%	It is the first time NEA will use this as a measure			
Subtotal of Weights:		60	60									

Performance Measures												
Description		Formula	Weight		Responsible/ Delivery Units	Baseline Data				Targets		Remarks
			2013	2014		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
MFO Rural Electrification Financing												
1. Quantity 1												
Amount of loans facilitated (Php Billion)		2013: Below Php 1B is 0%. For the amount ≥ Php 1B, 15% is proportionally distributed, i.e. <u>Actual amount of loans facilitated ≥ Php 1B</u> x 100 (Target amount - Php 0.9998) ÷ 15% 2014: Below Php 1B is 0%. For the amount ≥ Php 1B, 10% is proportionally distributed, i.e. <u>Actual amount of loans facilitated ≥ Php 1B</u> x 100 (Target amount - Php 0.9998) ÷ 10%	15	10	Lead Unit: AMGD Support Units: ED, FSD, CORPLAN, CORSEC, IAQSMO, MAG, IDD, ECAD	1511	1395	1649	1650	1700		
2. Quantity 2												
Number of financial assistance packages granted to ECs		2013: Below 34 is 0%. For ≥ 34, 15% is proportionally distributed, i.e. <u>Actual number of financial assistance packages granted to ECs ≥ 34</u> x 100 (Target number - 33) ÷ 15% 2014: Below 30 is 0%. For ≥ 30, 10% is proportionally distributed, i.e. <u>Actual number of financial assistance packages granted to ECs ≥ 30</u> x 100 (Target number - 29) ÷ 10%	15	10	Lead Unit: AMGD Support Units: ED, FSD, CORPLAN, CORSEC, IAQSMO, MAG, IDD, ECAD	82	75	65	58 financial assistance packages granted	53 financial assistance packages granted	Reduction in the target is in accordance with NEA's graduation policy on the grant of short-term loans for payment of EC power account.	
2. Quality												
Maintain high collection efficiency		Pass or fail (5% or nothing)	5	5	Lead Unit: FSD Support Units: LSO, AMGD, MAG	96	98	99	99%	99%		
4. Timeliness												
Average number of days to release loans		Pass or fail (5% or nothing)	5	5	Lead Unit: AMGD Support Units: ED, FSD, IDD				10	10	Upon submission of complete documents It is the first time NEA will use this as a measure.	
Subtotal of Weights:			40	30								

NEA PES Form 1 | 3 of 3
Performance Scorecard

Performance Measures					Baseline Data				Targets		Remarks
Description	Formula	Weight		Responsible/ Delivery Units	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
		2013	2014								
MFO Restructuring of an Ailing EC											
1. Quantity											
Number of ailing ECs restructured	Pass or fail (4% or nothing)		4	Lead Unit: MAG Support Units: LSO, IDO, ECAD, ED, CORSEC						1 ailing EC (ALECO) restructured	
2. Quality											
Sustainability of restructuring	Pass or fail (4% or nothing)		4	Lead Unit: MAG Support Units: LSO, IDO, ECAD, ED, CORSEC						Restructuring through private sector participation (PSP)	Restructuring may either be through private sector participation or Coop-to-Coop partnership. Government subsidy should be zero.
3. Timeliness											
Turn-over of ailing ECs through PSP within 365 days	Pass or fail (2% or nothing)			Lead Unit: MAG Support Units: LSO, IDO, ECAD, ED, CORSEC						Turn-over on 31 December 2014	
<i>Subtotal of Weights:</i>			10								
TOTAL OF WEIGHTS:		100	100								

Noted by:


RAINIER B. BUTALID
Commissioner, GCG


EDITA S. BUENO
Administrator, NEA


CARLOS JERICO L. PETILLA
Secretary, DOE

