## DUTY FREE PHILIPPINES CORPORATION 2016 Performance Scorecard Evaluation

		Component				Target	DFPC Subm	ission	GCG Valid	ation	Supporting	GCG Remarks
	Objec	tive / Measure	Formula	Wt.	Rating Scale	2016	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GOO Remarks
FINANCIAL	SM 1	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY.		25%	Actual / Target x Weight	\$295,000,000	\$218,225,172	23.12%	\$218,225,172	18.49%	Copy of Income Statement as submitted/ transmitted to COA  2016 Monthly Sales Report (Dollar Net Sales & Book Rate)	The difference in rating accounts for the request for renegotiation.  Per representation, DFPC failed to hit its financial targets and customer count which are attributable to the non-opening of Landside Terminal (T3) stores. However, based on the validation and assessment of documents and representations made by the DFPC, the justifications provided are not acceptable.  Per assessment of documents and representations provided, DFPC failed to conduct a feasibility study and inspection prior to entering into a contract of lease with the Manila International Airport Authority (MIAA). DFPC also failed to follow the requisite procedure on government procurement. Delay on either of the party could have been avoided had DFPC planned and studied carefully before entering a contract with MIAA. It is clear that the DFPC management failed to exercise extraordinary

DFPC | 2 of 6
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

	Con	nponent			Target	DFPC Submi	ission	GCG Valid	ation	Supporting	GCG Remarks
Objec	ctive / Measure	Formula	Wt.	Rating Scale	2016	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
				Scale							diligence in the conduct of business.
											Therefore, request for renegotiation of target is denifor violating Sec. 21 of the R 10149, failure to exerc extraordinary diligence in the conduct of its business, a failure to apply sound busine principles to ensure the finance soundness of DFPC.
SO 2	Self-Sustainin	g Financial	Viability								
										Copy of Income	
SM 2	EBITDA (Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization)	Absolute Amount	10%	Actual / Target x Weight	<del>P</del> 426,085,000	<b>₽</b> 165,152,827	8.24%	₽165,152,827	3.88%	Statement showing EBITDA and Schedule of Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses (MOOE) as submitted/ transmitted to COA	As explained above, request renegotiation of target on account of the meas pertaining to the NAIA Lands T3 stores is denied. In view this, the GCG validated so was computed based on original target, hence variance between DF submission and GCG rating.

DFPC | 3 of 6 Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

	Cor	nponent			Target	DFPC Sub	mission	GCG Val	idation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
Objec	ctive / Measure	Formula	Wt.	Rating Scale	2016	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating		
SO 3	Customer Sat	isfaction Su	rvey				<b>强国等级</b>				<b>是我们的是一个是是</b> 到
	International Travelers										The 2016 DFPC survey measures the satisfaction of
	Product Availability		2.50%	0% Actual / Target x Weight		94%	2.50%	94%	2.50%	international travelers balikbayan/OFWs on attributes.  For SM 3, DFPC achis score of 2.50% each for availability, store facilities frontline staff; and 2.4 price and promotion.  PSRC Report; Letter summarizing For SM 4, DFPC obtained balikbayan/OFWs on attributes.	international travelers and balikbayan/OFWs on four
SM 3	Store Facilities	Customer Satisfacti	2.50%		3% higher than the previous year's grade with a cap of 88%	93%	2.50%	93%	2.50%		For SM 3, DFPC achieved a score of 2.50% each for product availability, store facilities and frontline staff; and 2.44% for price and promotion.  For SM 4, DFPC obtained a perfect score of 2.50% each for
	Frontline Staff	on Survey	2.50%			94%	2.50%	94%	2.50%		
	Price and Promotion		2.50%			86%	2.44%	86%	2.44%		
	Balikbayan/OFWs									Letter summarizing	DFPC requested the weight of
	Product Availability		2.50%			93%	3.75%	93%	2.50%	results/ratings	SM 4 to be adjusted from 2.50% to 3.75% for each attribute. As already explained above, request for renegotiation is not acceptable. The 2016 validated
SM 4	Store Facilities	Customer Satisfacti	2.50%	Actual /	3% higher than the previous	95%	3.75%	95%	2.50%		
	Frontline Staff	on ntline Survev	2.50%	Target x Weight	year's grade with a cap of 88%	96%	3.75%	96%	2.50%		score for SM 4 was still computed based on the original weight, which is 2.50% for each attribute, hence the difference
	Price and Promotion		2.50%			89%	3.75%	89%	2.50%		between the DFPC and GCG rating.

DFPC | 4 of 6 Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

Co		nponent			Target	DFPC Subn	nission	GCG Valid	ation	Supporting	GCG Remarks
Object	tive / Measure	Formula	Wt.	Rating Scale	2016	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
SM 5	Establish Online Shopping System		5%	50% - Establish system 50% - BOC approval	Operational by end of 2016 (with BOC approval and launched)	Online Shopping approved by BOC	2.50%	Certification from BOC that DFPC presented its online shopping system on December 2016.	2.50%	BOC Approval and Proof of Launch: BOC Approval Letter, Attachment with 8 conditions, online shopping program process flow	Validation shows that DFPC only had a pilot testing of it online shopping system is December 2016 but it operation was put on hole pending the compliance of DFPC to the requirements of BIR.
SM 6	Total Customer Count	Absolute Number	10%	Actual / Target x Weight	2,000,000	1,732,772	14.44%	1,763,188	8.82%	Customer Count Report; Screenshot of raw data from server	Validation shows that the total customer count for 2016 is 1,763,188 which is 4% higher than the customer count in 2015. The 2016 validated rating was still computed using the original target of 2,000,000 and original weight of 10%. Request for renegotiation was not accepted for the same reason stated above, hence the difference between the DFPC and GCC rating.
	Sub-total		35.00%				41.88%		31.26%		

		Con	nponent			Target	DFPC Subm	ission	GCG Valid	ation	Supporting	GCG Remarks
	Objec	tive / Measure	Formula	Wt.	Rating Scale	2016	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GOG Remarks
	SO 4	Efficient Custo	omer Manag	gement								
SS	SM 7	Percentage of Customer Concerns Resolved within Appropriate Time <sup>1</sup>	No. of concerns resolved within appropria te time / Total no. of customer concerns received	5%	Actual / Target x Weight	Baseline+1%	100%	5%	98.60%	5%	Store Operations Division (SOD) Report (Percentage of customer concerns/ complaints resolved within appropriate time	In 2016, 98.60% of customer complaints or 141 of 143 were resolved within the appropriate time in 2016.  Complaints on Products (Return/Exchange Issues) were reported to have the most number of complaints in 2016.
PROCESS	SO 5	Increase Prese	ence of DFP	C								
INTERNAL PRO	SM 8	Construct and Develop Terminal 3 Landside Stores Levels 1-3		10%	All or Nothing	Complete the leasing of Terminal 3 15,000 sq.m. independent of the facilities of the airport  Opening of Landside Stores of Terminal 3 by end of 2016	Measure Removed / Non- submission		No Actual Accomplishment	0%	Chronological events pertaining to the delay in the construction of Terminal 3 Landside Stores Levels 1-3 and letters between DFPC and MIAA  Findings of the GCG-Office of the General Counsel (OGC)	Request for renegotiation is denied. Inability of DFPC to meet the target is not due to unforeseeable circumstance as stated under Item 7.2 of GCG Memorandum Circular No. 2013-02 (Re-Issued).  Further, the Governance Commission is of the opinion that the delay in the construction is in violation of Sec. 21 of the R.A. 10149 – that is the failure to exercise extraordinary diligence in the conduct of its business, and failure to apply sound business principles to ensure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Complaints on products (Return/Exchange Issues)=within 24 hours; Complaints received through customer feedback or email=within 3 days; Complaints that require coordination with other departments/divisions or after sales complaints=within 7 days; Complaints that require coordination with suppliers=within 14 days; Incident/Security reports that require formal investigation by legal investigation=within 30 days; Complaints endorsed to DTI: will depend on DTI's schedule

		Con	nponent			Target	DFPC Subm	nission	GCG Valid	ation	Supporting	GCG Remarks	
	Objective / Measure		Formula Wt.		Rating Scale	2016	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks	
										*.		the financial soundness of DFPC.	
		Sub-total		15.00%				5.00%		5.00%			
	SO 6	Competent Hu	ıman Resou	ırces									
GROWTH	SM 9	Establish Competency Model		5%	All or Nothing	Board- approved Competency Model Establish Baseline	Board Resolution No. 04-12-2016	5%	Competency Framework/ Model Provided, Board Resolution 04- 12-2016	0%	Board Resolution No. 04-12-20-16; Competency Framework; Report from CSC	Board Resolution provides for the adoption of DFPC competency framework. However, DFPC was unable to conduct competency assessment to determine the organization's competency baseline, hence the score of 0%.	
AND	SM 10	Establish SPMS		5%	All or Nothing	CSC- Approved SPMS	CSC-NCR Approved DFPC-SPMS Guidelines	5%	CSC-Approved SPMS	5%	SPMS guidelines; CSC approval	The SPMS of DFPC was approved by the CSC on 30 August 2016.	
Ĭ	SO 7	Effective Utiliz	ation of Inf	ormation	and Comm	unication Techno	ologies						
LEARNING	SM 11	Achieve and Maintain ISO 9001:2008 Certification Quality Management System (all sites, all processes)		5%	All or Nothing	Maintain ISO Certification (2 Certifications)	ISO Certification maintained	5%	TUV Rheinland ISO 9001:2008 Certificates for retail operations/ processes of DFPC stores	5%	ISO Certification 9001:2008 <sup>2</sup>	DFPC maintained the ISO 9001:2008 Certification in 2016 for retail operations including the following processes: merchandising, supply chain, store operations, marketing, and customer service.	
		Sub-total		15.00%				15.00%		10.00%			
		TOTAL		100.00%				93.24%		68.63%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ISO Certification 9001:2008 Nos.: 01 100 1432594; 01 100 1422594/01; 01 100 1432594/17; 01 100 1432594/16; 01 100 1432594/14; 01 100 1432594/13; 01 100 1432594/12; 01 100 1432594/11; 01 100 1432594/10; 01 100 1432594/09; 01 100 1432594/08; 01 100 1432594/07; 01 100 1432594/06; 01 100 1432594/04; 01 100 1432594/18; 01 100 1432594/15; 01 100 1432594/05; 01 100 1432594/03; and 01 100 1432594/02