AL-AMANAH ISLAMIC INVESTMENT BANK OF THE PHILIPPINES 2015 Performance Scorecard

		Component			Target	Accompli	shment	GCG Validation		Supporting	
	Objective/Measure	Weight	Rating Scale	2015	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Data	Remarks	
	SO 1	Maximize Utilization of Lo	panable Fun	ds						Schedule of Loans Receivable	The bank did not achieve its target by P18.31 Mn but
FINANCIAL	SM 1	Amount of Outstanding Loans	20%	Actual outstanding loans / Target amount of outstanding loans x Weight	₽210.00 Million	₽191.69 Mn	18.26%	₽191.69 M n	18.26%	and Islamic Financing certified by the Head of Accounting 2015 unaudited financial statements	managed to increase the loans by 2% from the previous year's end-balance. 84% of the loans supported the following industries: - Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing – 12% of total - Water Supply, Sewerage, Waste Management and Remediation Activities – 45% of total - Public Administration and Defense; Compulsory Social Security – 27% of total 71% of the loans are
	SO 2	Manage Cost and Expense	es			1			L		with the government.

	Component			Target	Accomplis	hment	GCG Vali	dation	Supporting	2
	Objective/Measure	Weight	Rating Scale	2015	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Data	Remarks
SM 2	Amount of Net Interest Revenue	10%	Actual net interest revenue / Target amount of net interest revenue x Weight	₽17.51 Million	₽ 23.99 M n	10.00%	₽23.99 Mn	10.00%	Schedule of Net Interest Revenue certified by the Head of Accounting 2015 unaudited financial statements	Not only that actual Net Interest Revenue (NIR) exceeded the target but also exceeded last year's NIR by ₱5.74 Mn (a 31% growth). This is due to increased income from financing. Despite the improved earnings, AAIIBP is still in the red.
SO 3	Grow Funding Base									
SM 3	Deposit Level	20%	Actual outstanding deposits / Target amount of outstanding deposits x Weight	₽330.00 Million	₽402.29 Million	20.00%	P402.29 Million	20.00%	Schedule of Net Interest Revenue certified by the Head of Accounting 2015 unaudited financial statements	Deposits peaked from the previous 3 years level, which is below P300.00 Mn. End-2015 deposit balance has a 37% increase from last year's balance of P294.07 Mn. Increase in deposits is due to increase in both private sector deposits (13%) and government deposits (71%). Government and private deposits ratio is 51:49.
	Sub-total	of Weights	50%			48.26%		48.26%	×	

Component			Target Accomplishment			GCG Validation		Supporting			
		Objective/Measure	Weight	Rating Scale	2015	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	System generated report indicating the total number of accounts and the total of their balances List of loan accounts	Remarks
	SO 4	Develop Islamic Products	and Servic	es							
	SM 4	Increase in the number of a	ccounts for t	he new products							
	4 a	Deposit products (Islamic)	2.5%	Actual no. of accounts / Target no. of accounts x	50	71	2.50%	71	2.50%	generated report indicating the total number of accounts and the total of their	The new accounts availed of the deposit product Pilgrimage Savings Plan, which does not earn predetermined rate of interest for customers.
	4b	Financing products (Islamic)	2.5%	Weight	5	6	2.50%	6	2.50%		There are 6 new accounts approved in 2015 processed within TAT of 40 days to 90 days.
	SO 5	Attract New Accounts				1					1
	SM 5	Number of deposit accounts (Conventional and Islamic)	10%	Actual no. of accounts / Target no. of accounts x Weight	10% increase from the no. of existing accounts beginning (net of DSWD accounts)	10% increase from the no. of existing accounts beginning (net of DSWD accounts)	9.44%	10% increase from the no. of existing accounts beginning (net of DSWD accounts)	9.44%	System generated report indicating the total number of accounts and the total of their balances	Adopt.
L		No. of DSWD Accounts			n/a	1,878		1,878			
		No. of Accounts net of DSWD Accounts	9		3,538	3,340		3,340			
	SO 6	Customer Satisfaction									
	SM 6	Satisfactory Customer Rating	10%	if ≥ 90% = 10% score if <90% but ≥ 80 = 5% score	90.00%	Out of 8,682 respondents, 8,280 gave satisfactory	10.00%	Out of 8,682 respondents, 8,280 gave satisfactory	10.00%	Tally of survey results from all banking units	AAIIBP used the samin-house survey system they have been using in the past years.

	Component				Target Accomplishment			ent GCG Validation			Remarks
	Objective/Measure		Weight	Rating Scale	2015	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Data	Kemarks
3).				if <80%= 0% score		customer rating (95.73%)		customer rating (95.73%)			AAIIBP follows a rating scale of 1-5 with the following adjectival equivalency: 1 — extremely satisfied 2 — satisfied 3 — somewhat satisfied 4 — not satisfied 5 — extremely dissatisfied A rating of 1 and 2 are considered satisfactory customer rating.
		Sub-total	of Weights	25%			24.44%		24.44%		
	SO 7	Implement Process Impro	vements (Im	proved TAT, Co	ntrols)						
INTERNAL PROCESS	SM 7	Percent of transactions processed within TAT (60 banking days) (TAT reduced from 120 banking days to 60 banking days in 2015)	10%	No. of transactions processed within TAT / Total no. of transactions processed x Weight	90.00%	15/16 or 93.75%	9.38%	93.75%	9.38%	List of TAT of all loan transactions processed in 2015	16 loan transactions were processed in 2015 and the average processing time is 27.13 banking days.
Z	Sub-total of Weights			10%			9.38%		9.38%		

		Component		Target	Accomplishment		GCG Validation		Supporting		
		Objective/Measure	Weight	Rating Scale	2015	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Data	Remarks
	SO 8 Acquire Technical Expertise and Improve Competencies										
LEARNING & GROWTH	SM 8	Improve Competency of Employees	15%	All or nothing	Competency Catalogue, Competency Framework, Competency Tables	Competency Catalogue, Competency Framework, Competency Tables	15.00%	Competency Catalogue, Competency Framework, Competency Tables	15.00%	Copy of the Board- approved Competency Catalogue, Competency Framework, Competency Tables	AAIIBP submitted to GCG its Board-approved Copy of the Board- approved Competency Catalogue, Competency Framework, and Competency Tables on S February 2016.
		Sub-total	of Weights	15%			15.00%		15.00%		
		TOTAL OF WEIGHTS		100%			95.46%		97.08%		

The difference in the total weight score is due to the wrong addition of AAIIBP of individual score. There is no score adjustment.