					Target	Submission		CGO-B Valid	lation	Supporting Documents	Remarks
	Obje	Compon ctive/Measure	ent Formula	Weight	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
	SO 1 SM 1	Provided Adequate	Power Supply in ∑Plant Dependable Capacity	Missionary	/ Areas	151.240	2.88%	151.240	2.88%	<ul> <li>Rated and Dependability Capacity for CY 2016, prepared by NPC Small Power Utilities Group</li> </ul>	Validated with internal documents
RS 1	SO 2	Contributed to the	Power Supply in	the Main G	rids	合件 作为主义的 网络	and the second second second	的原始的复数形式	<b>和时间的问题</b> 的	La la la la Constantia de Diante	Measure excluded.
CUSTOMERS/STAKEHOLDERS	SM 2	Energy Generation	Total Energy Generation	-	4,996.62	2,715.09	1.63%	(Excluded)	(Excluded)	Updated Generation By Plant, Mindanao (As of Feb. 10, 2017) prepared by NPC Mindanao Generation	Measure excluded.
TA	SO 3	Ensured Customer	Stakeholder Sat	isfaction				<b>新学出现在记录</b> 《全美世学》		IEQ Survey Deport	NPC received a post IEC evaluation rating of
DMERS/S	SM 3	Average IEC Post- Evaluation Feedback Rating	∑Participants' Rating ÷ No. of Participants	1.5%	3.60	4.43	1.50%	4.47	1.50%	<ul> <li>IEC Survey Report</li> <li>IEC Survey Questionnaire</li> </ul>	4.47.
CUST	SM 4	for Dams Customer/ Stakeholder Satisfaction Rating	∑Respondents ' Ratings ÷ No. of Respondents	2.0%	3.60	3.87	2.00%	3.87	2.00%	<ul> <li>Report entitled: "Customer Satisfaction Rating Survey 2016" prepared and submitted by Associated Resources for Management &amp; Development, Inc.</li> </ul>	
			Sub-total	6.50%			8.01%		6.38%		
		Provided Efficient	Sub-total	er Supply	in Missionary	Areas	REAL PROPERTY.	·····································			D I with the summary of CDLIC exercitions:
INTERNAL PROCESS	SO 4	Provided Efficient Percentage of Readiness of Existing Plants (Equivalent Availability Factor)	∑[Dependable Cap x (Period Hours - Outage Hours)] + ∑[Rated Cap x (Period Hours - Deactivated Shutdown Hours)]	4.0%	69.275%	72.503%	4.00%	72.503%	4.00%	<ul> <li>NPC SPUG Performance Evaluation System (PES) Quarterly and Annual Monitoring Report for CY2016 signed by VP E.A.Veloso, Jr., SPUG dated 24 Feb 2017</li> <li>Onsite validation of NPC database/ system</li> </ul>	Below is the summary of SPUG operations:           EAF         FOR         NHR (BTU / (%)           Luzon         74.012         0.204         10,338           Visayas         70.748         0.041         11,872           Mindanao         70.282         0.017         10,762           72.503         0.128         10,578
INTER	SM 6	Percentage of Unexpected Power Interruption (Forced Outage Rate)	Σ(Forced Outage Hours) + Σ(Operating Hours + Forced Outage Hours)	4.0%	0.200%	0.128%	4.00%	0.128%	4.00%		

#### ANNEX A

	Compos Objective/Measure	nent Formula	Weight	Target 2016	Submission Actual	Rating	CGO-B Valida Score	ation Rating	Supporting Documents			Remarks		
SM	Plant Operation 7 Efficiency (Net Heat Rate)	[ $\Sigma$ (Diesel Fuel Consumption x Ave Diesel Fuel Heating Value) + $\Sigma$ (Bunker Fuel Consumption x Ave Bunker Fuel Heating Value)] + $\Sigma$ (Net Generation)	4.0%	10,776	10,578	4.00%	10,578	4.00%						
SM S	8 Plant Maintenance Efficiency Ratio	∑Planned Maintenance Days + ∑Actual Maintenance Days	2.0%	100%	118.36%	2.00%	118.36%	2.00%	<ul> <li>NPC SPUG Performance Evaluation System (PES) Quarterly and Annual Monitoring Report for CY2016 signed by VP E.A.Veloso, Jr., SPUG dated 24 Feb 2017</li> <li>The summary Efficiency Rati Planned Mainter Actual Mainter Maintenance</li> </ul>			as follows nance Day	s	606 512 118.36
SO	5 Increased Power G	eneration in Miss	sionary Are	as Pursuant	to the Approved Budget	<b>和社会的中心的</b> 保留	The Part of the States	· 我们的问题。						ALL ALL AND
SW 5	Completed	∑(Contract Capacity of Leased Generation Capacity)	4.0%	40.90	55.65	2.56%	55.65	-	NPC SPUG Performance Evaluation System (PES) Quarterly and Annual Monitoring Report for CY2016 signed by VP E.A.Veloso, Jr., SPUG dated 24 Feb 2017	Howev 9b will	st for revisiver, targets be combined by the c	and weigh ed. As suc 1 9 will be a Target 40.90 39.85	ts for SMs h, validate as follows Score 55.65 13.66	s 9a and ed score :: Rate -
SMS	Completed Capacity Additions – Commissioned	Actual Completed Capacity Addition	4.0%	39.852	13.66	1.37%	13.660	-	NPC SPUG Performance Evaluation System (PES) Quarterly and Annual Monitoring Report for CY2016 signed by VP E.A.Veloso, Jr., SPUG dated 24 Feb 2017		8.00%	80.75	69.31	6.87%
			8.0%	80.75	69.31	6.87%	69.31	6.87%						A

ANNEX A

		Compon	ent		Target	Submission		CGO-B Valid		Supporting Documents	Remark	S
	Objec	ctive/Measure	Formula	Weight	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating			
										<ul> <li>As-Built Structure List submitted by D.M. Consunji,</li> </ul>	Summary of completed tra 2016 is as follows:	
										Inc. for the Rehabilitation of	Project/ Activity	in Ckt. Km.
										Mamburao-Sablayan-ligaya 69 kV T/L • Progress Report for Supply &	Rehabilitation of Mamburao-Sablayan- ligaya 69 kV T/L	87.00
		Completed	Actual Completed					450.00	2.92%	Erection/ Installation of Bansud-Mansalay 69kV T/L prepared by Project-in-Charge	Supply & Erection/ Installation of Bansud- Mansalay 69kV T/L	61.23
SM	l 10a	Transmission Lines	Transmission Lines	3.0%	154.70	150.38	2.92%	150.38	2.92%	<ul> <li>R.A. Jaro, approved by PMD Dept. Mgr. C.G. Sison, Jr.</li> <li>Progress Report for Supply, Delivery, Erection/ Installation, Test &amp; Commissioning of</li> </ul>	Progress Report for Supply, Delivery, Erection/Installation, Test & Commissioning of Mobo-Aroroy 69kV,	2.15
										Mobo-Aroroy 69kV, 3.17 km., T/L prepared by Project Engr.	3.17 km., T/L Total	150.38
										J.A. Pionilla, approved by PMD	Total	100.00
										Dept. Mgr. C.G. Sison, Jr.	NDO	
		Completed	Actual							NPC Power Engineering Services Project Mgt. Dept. Monitoring	NPC requested revision or excluding the originally co Codon substation.	mmitted 5 MVA
SM	1106	Substation Facilities	Completed Substations	2.0%	15	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		However, NPC still failed to remaining 10 MVA Virac-M project pending the condu outdoor protection relays.	larinawa S/S
S	SO 6	Provided Efficient	Operation of Gen	eration Ass	ets in the Ma	ain Grids		1.2.1.1111144-1412-1413	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(1)。在19月4年中国人的中国中国	1.金属金属的2.20年
SM	111	Percentage of Readiness of Existing Power Plants (Availability Factor)	∑(Available Hours) + ∑(Period Hours)	3.0%	85.92%	89.82%	3.0%	89.82%	3.00%	<ul> <li>Summary of Availability Factor (Agus- Pulanggi HEPPs) covering December 25, 2015 to December 25, 2016 prepared by NPC Mindanao Generations</li> </ul>	Validated with internal doc	uments.
1744 2001		en en se la								Summary of Forced Outage	Validated with internal doc	uments.
SM	/ 12	Number of Hours of Unexpected Power Interruption (Forced Outage Hour)	Actual Forced Outage Hour	3.0%	24	4.05	3.00%	4.05	3.00%	Hour (Agus-Pulangi) covering December 25, 2015 to December 25, 2016) prepared by NPC Mindanao Generations		

ANNEX A

	Compoi	nent		Target	Submission		CGO-B Valida	(1) support the second state of the second	Supporting Documents	Remarks
(	bjective/Measure	Formula	Weight	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
SM 13	Plant Maintenance Efficiency Ratio	∑Planned Maintenance Days + ∑Actual Maintenance Days	3.0%	100%	118.30%	3.00%	118.30%	3.00%	Summary of Plant Maintenance Efficiency (Agus- Pulangi HPPs) covering December 25, 2015 to December 25, 2016) prepared by NPC Mindanao Generations	The summary of the MinGen maintenance efficiency ratio is as follows:Planned Maintenance Days821.98Actual Maintenance Days694.86Maintenance Efficiency118.30%
SO	Provided Sustaina	ble Hydro and Ge	othermal P	lant Operation	ons Through Effective W	atershed Ma	nagement			
SM 14	Reforestation of Open Areas	Actual Areas Reforested	3.0%	762	1,881	3.00%	1,816	3.00%	<ul> <li>Final Inspection Reports</li> <li>Certificate of Compliance</li> <li>Survey Maps</li> <li>LGU Certifications</li> </ul>	The 65 has. difference between NPC's submitted accomplishment and GCG's validation accounts for the reforestation/ agroforestry projects in Tiwi which were completed in January 2017 but were included in the 2016 accomplishment report.
INTERNAL PROCESS	Eco-Tourism Development for NPC-Managed Watersheds	Plan Development: 20% (Makiling- Banahaw, Buhi-Barit, Tiwi) Implementati on/Execution: 40% (San Roque, Angat, Caliraya) Operation: 40% (Angat. Caliraya)	2.5%	100%	<ul> <li>Plan Dev't: Completed Makiling-Banahaw, Buhi-Barit &amp; Tiwi Ecotourism Plan;</li> <li>Implementation: Launched ecotourism project of Upper Agno &amp; San Roque; Angat: establishment of museum of Flora &amp; Fauna, trdg. post, souvenir shop, indigenous heritage site; Caliraya: Finalized lake tour guidelines</li> <li>Operation: Angat: Maintained trails and bambusetum; 19,067 visitors w/ Php 465,680.00; revenue from entrance Fees; Caliraya: 62,464 visitors</li> </ul>	2.48%	<ul> <li>Plan Dev't: Completed Makiling-Banahaw, Buhi-Barit &amp; Tiwi Ecotourism Plan;</li> <li>Implementation: Launched ecotourism project of Upper Agno &amp; San Roque; Angat: establishment of museum of Flora &amp; Fauna, trdg. post, souvenir shop, indigenous heritage site; Caliraya: Finalized lake tour guidelines Operation: Angat: Maintained trails and bambusetum; 19,067 visitors w/ Php 465,680.00; revenue from entrance Fees; Caliraya: 62,464 visitors</li> </ul>	2.48%	<ul> <li>Proposed Ecotourism Management Plan for Makiling Banahaw (Mak-Ban) and Tiwi Reservation</li> <li>Ecotourism Development Plan for Buhi Watershed</li> <li>NPC Circular No. 2017-004, re: Guidelines on Lake Tour in Caliraya and Lumot Lakes</li> <li>Report, re: Launching of Ecotourism Programs for Upper Agno Watershed with attached Attendance Sheet</li> <li>Report, re: Ecotourism Project Soft Launching of San Roque Watershed with attached Attendance Sheet</li> <li>Project Profile (indicating Physical Accomplishment) for Angat Rainforest and Ecological Park</li> <li>Angat Watershed Monthly Reports from February to December 2016</li> </ul>	Validated with internal documents

	Compor	ient		Target	Submission		CGO-B Valid	Contraction of the second s	Supporting Documents	Remarks
Obje	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
SO 8	Ensured the Integri Number of Dam Integrity Inspections	ty and Safety of I 12 Dams x 2 inspections per year	2.0%	litigate the E	ffects of Flooding Thro	ugh the Cond	uct of IEC with the LC	3Us at the Tar 2.00%	<ul> <li>get Areas Downstream</li> <li>Semi-Annual Inspection / Assessment Reports for: <ul> <li>Ambuklao HPP</li> <li>Binga HPP</li> <li>San Roque HPP</li> <li>Angat HPP</li> <li>Caliraya and Lumot Dams / Dykes</li> </ul> </li> <li>Oversight Committee Inspection Reports, prepared by NPC and PSALM for: <ul> <li>Amlan HPP</li> <li>Buhi-Barit HPP</li> <li>Cawayan HPP</li> <li>Loboc HPP</li> </ul> </li> <li>Dam Safety Inspection Report prepared by NPC Mindanao Generations for Agus 1-2, 4-7 and Pulangi 4 HEPs</li> </ul>	
SM 17	Percentage of target IEC/LGU at Angat, Ambuklao- Binga, San Roque, and Caliraya-Lumot dams	(No. of actual IEC/LGU sessions) + (Target No. of IEC/LGU sessions)	2.0%	100%	183.33% (22+12)	2.00%	183.33%	2.00%	<ul> <li>Summary of conducted IEC Post-Evaluation Feedback for Dams</li> <li>Reports on the Conduct of Information and Education Campaign in the communities near the following Dams: <ul> <li>Angat,</li> <li>Ambuklao-Binga,</li> <li>San Roque, and</li> <li>Caliraya</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	For 2016, NPC conducted 22 IEC/LGU sessions, viz:AreaInclusive DatesNo. of sessionsAngat,191BulacanFebruary1Ambuklao-14-163Binga, BenguetJuneSan Roque, Pangasinan21-285PangasinanJuly-Caliraya, Angat, Bulacan9-113LagunaAugust-Angat, Total22
SO 9	Secured Quality M	anagement Syste	em (QMS) C	ertification			和中心,这些正式的不能的方法			NPC passed the ISO 9001:2008 audit
SM 18	Secured ISO 9001-2008 Certificate	ISO 9001- 2008 Certificate	1.0%	Certification	100%	1.00%	ISO 9001: 2008 Certified	1.00%	Certificate of Registration (No. CIP/5178/16/01/963) to ISO 9001: 2008 dated 28 March 2016	conducted by the Certification International Philippines, Inc.

ANNEX A

	Compon	ent		Target	Submission		CGO-B Valid	and the second	Supporting Documents	Remarks
Obje	ective/Measure	Formula	Weight	2016	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
SO 10	Filed Applications/S	Secured Titles of	the Unregis	stered Lots U	nder OMA and Non-ON	A				
SM 19	No. of Lots with Filed Applications for Titling/ Issuance of Decrees/ Titles	Actual No. of Lots with Filed Applications for Titling/					-			Validated with internal documents.
SM 19a	OMA	Issuance of Decrees/	1.0%	125	128	1.00%	128	1.00%	<ul> <li>Secured Titles/ Decrees and Filed Applications/ Petitions for CY 2016</li> </ul>	
SM 19b	Non-OMA	Titles	-	20	16	0.80%	(Excluded)	(Excluded)	012010	Measure excluded.
		Sub-total	47.50%			45.06%		44.27%		
SO 11	Exercised Fiscal Pr	udence to Optim	ize Use of F	Resources	1997年1月1日の日本の日本	<b>这些新闻的</b> 。		1. 网络奥马索德斯图	的。 一般的時候,這個的一個的時候,這個的一個的一個的一個的一個的一個的一個的一個的一個的一個的一個的一個的一個的一個	
SM 20	Missionary Areas:									
SM 20a	Fixed Cost Efficiency Ratio	∑[PS + MOOE] + ∑(Gross Generation)	3.0%	4.21	5.14	2.34%	5.14	2.34%	<ul> <li>Fixed Cost Efficiency in the Missionary Areas CY 2016</li> <li>SPUG Generation Mix CY 2015 and 2016</li> <li>Detailed computation of Fixed Cost in the Missionary Areas CY 2016</li> <li>Other Operating Expense CY 2016</li> </ul>	By year end, fixed cost efficiency of SPUG i         as follows:         Fixed Costs (in millions)       2,281         Gross Generation, GWH       444         (in millions)       444         Fixed Costs, P/kWh       5.14
SM 21	Main Grids: (Hydro Plants: Agus- Pulangi)									
SM 21a	Fixed Cost Efficiency Ratio	Σ[PS + MOOE] + Σ(Energy Sales)	-	0.24	0.33	3.75%	(Excluded)	(Excluded)	<ul> <li>Fixed Cost Efficiency in the Main Grids CY 2016</li> <li>Mindanao Generation Production Cost CY 2016</li> </ul>	Measure excluded.
SM 21b	OMA Budget Utilization Efficiency Ratio	∑(Miscellaneo us OMA Budget) + ∑(Energy Sales)	4.0%	0.10	0.07	4.00%	0.07	4.00%	<ul> <li>OMA Budget Utilization Efficiency Rate CY 2016</li> <li>Budget Utilization Report – OMA Support CY 2016</li> <li>Mindanao Generation Production Cost CY 2016</li> </ul>	Actual OMA budget utilization efficiency is a follows:Miscellaneous OMA163,317Budget2,489,090OMA BudgetUtilization Efficiency, P/kWh0.07

ANNEX A

SM 23       EBITDAS Margin with UCME       EBITDAS + (Total revenue/income)       18.0%       15.23%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       Actual CY 2016       and Amorization for 2016 is computed.         SM 23       EBITDAS Margin with UCME       IB.0%       15.23%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       18.00%       SPUG Energy Sales CY 2015 and 216 (Second completency compl	Obj	Compor ective/Measure	nent Formula	Weight	Target 2016	Submission Actual	Rating	CGO-B Valida Score	tion Rating	Supporting Documents	Remarks
SM 22a     With BASULTA Culsioners     Total Collections + Total Collections (excluding BASULTA)+ Total Accounts     5.0%     49.90%     56.07%     5.00%     56.07%     5.00%     56.07%     5.00%     50.07%     5.00%     SPUG Power Receivables an Collection Efficiency of on December 2016     Conduction of collection efficiency (in Collections (including BASULTA) Total Accounts     Conduction of collection efficiency (in Millions);     Collections (including BASULTA) Total Accounts     Collections (including BASULTA) Total Accounts     Collections (including BASULTA)       SM 22b     Without BASULTA Customers     Total Reservable (in Adaccounts     5.0%     96.67%     98.38%     5.00%     98.38%     5.00%     5.00%     5.00%     5.00%     0	SO 12	Ensured Adequate	Fund Sources for	r Sustainab	ility and Imp	rove Corporate Liquidit	y	是一种 的复数形式			
SM 22a       With BASULTA Customers       Collections + Total Accounts Receivable       5.0%       49.90%       56.07%       5.00%       56.07%       5.00%       5.00%       Collection Efficiency as of December 2016       Millions): Total Accounts Receivable         SM 22b       Without BASULTA Customers       Total Collections (excluding BASULTA)       5.0%       96.67%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       5.00%       5.00%       Collection Efficiency as of December 2016       Image: Collection Structure 2016         SM 22b       Without BASULTA Customers       5.0%       96.67%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       5.00%       5.00%       Formation 2016       Image: Collection Structure 2016       Collection Structure 2016       Total Accounts Total Accounts Receivable (excluding BASULTA)       5.0%       96.67%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       5.00%       5.00%       Formation 2016       Collection Structure 2016       Total Accounts Total Accounts Receivable (excluding BASULTA)       5.0%       96.67%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       5.00%       5.00%       Formation 2016       Collection Structure 201	SM 22	Collection Efficiency								- CRUC Dewer Receivebles and	Computation of collection efficiency (in
Counting       Receiverable       Collections         Total Call       Collections (excluding excluding baSULTA)       5.0%       96.67%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       Collections (excluding baSULTA)         SM 22b       Without BASULTA)       Collections (excluding baSULTA)       5.0%       96.67%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       Collections (excluding baSULTA)         SM 23       EBITDAS Margin with UCME       EBITDAS * (Total Revenue/Inco me)       18.0%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       • EBITDAS Margin with UCME - Actual CY 2016 • SPUG Generation Mix CY 2016 and 2016 • SPUG Generation Congretance • SPUG Generation SCY 2015 • Statement of Competency • Statement of Competency Model       18.0%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       • EBITDAS Margin with UCME - Actual CY 2016 • SPUG Generation Competency • SPUG Generation NX CY • SPUG Generation Competency • SPUG Generation Compete	SM 22a		Collections ÷	5.0%	49.90%	56.07%	5.00%	56.07%	5.00%	Collection Efficiency as of	Millions):
SM 22b     Total Collections (excluding BASULTA) Total Accounts Receivable (excluding BASULTA) Total Accounts Receivable (excluding BASULTA) Total AC Receivable (excluding BASULTA) SM 23     5.0%     96.67%     98.38%     5.00%     98.38%     5.00%     98.38%     5.00%       SM 23     EBITDAS Margin with UCME     BASULTA) (Millons)     5.0%     96.67%     98.38%     5.00%     98.38%     5.00%     98.38%     5.00%       SM 23     EBITDAS Margin with UCME     EBITDAS * (Total Revenue/Inco me)     18.0%     15.23%     17.47%     18.00%     17.47% </td <td></td> <td>Customers</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Customers									
SM 22b       Without BASULTA Customers       (excluding BASULTA ) + Total Accounts       5.0%       96.67%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       5.00%       Image: Coll 1 / 1 / 1 / 2 / 2 / 1 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 /										1	Total AR 1,886.66
SM 22b       Without BASULTA Customers       BASULTA Customers       BASULTA Total Accounts Receivable (excluding BASULTA)       5.0%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       5.00%       98.38%       5.00%       Image: Comparison of the co											
SM 22b       Customers       Total Accounts Receivable (excluding BASULTA)       5.0%       96.6%       98.36%       3.00%       98.36%       3.00%       98.36%       3.00%       100%       <	-										
Customers       Customers       Colla Accounts Receivable (excluding BASULTA)       A       A       A       A       A       A       A       A       A       A       Collection %       Collection % <t< td=""><td>SM 22b</td><td></td><td></td><td>5.0%</td><td>96.67%</td><td>98.38%</td><td>5.00%</td><td>98.38%</td><td>5.00%</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	SM 22b			5.0%	96.67%	98.38%	5.00%	98.38%	5.00%		
Image: second of the second		Customers									
SM 23       EBITDAS Margin with UCME - Actual CY 2016       EBITDAS Margin with UCME - Actual CY 2016 generation Mix CY 2016 and 2016 is computed in Millions)       Earnings before Interest, Tax, Deprecial is computed in Monitazion of 2016 is computed in Millions)         SM 23       EBITDAS Margin with UCME       18.0%       15.23%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       9.5PUG Generation Mix CY 2016 and 2016       SPUG Generation Mix CY 2016 and 2016       1.0215.47         SM 24       Sub-total       35.0%       15.23%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       17.4			(excluding								Collection % 56.07%
SM 23       EBITDAS Margin with UCME       (Total Revenue/Income)       18.0%       15.23%       17.47%       18.00%       17.47%       18.00%       18.00%       and 2016       and 2016 <td></td> <td></td> <td rowspan="2">argin (Total Revenue/Inco 18.0%</td> <td>÷</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td rowspan="2">17.47%</td> <td rowspan="2">18.00%</td> <td>Actual CY 2016 • SPUG Generation Mix CY 2015 and 2016 • SPUG Energy Sales CY 2015</td> <td>EBITDAS         1,784.24           Total Revenue/         10.215.41</td>			argin (Total Revenue/Inco 18.0%	÷				17.47%	18.00%	Actual CY 2016 • SPUG Generation Mix CY 2015 and 2016 • SPUG Energy Sales CY 2015	EBITDAS         1,784.24           Total Revenue/         10.215.41
Image: second	SM 23			18.0%	18.0% 15.23%	17.47%	18.00%			<ul> <li>Other Operating Expense CY 2016</li> <li>Results of Operation – General Ledger Accounts CY 2016</li> </ul>	EBITDAS Margin w/ 17 47%
SO 13       Ensured Employee Productivity and Competency         SO 13       Ensured Employee Productivity and Competency         SN 24       Percentage of Average Required Competencies Met       Board Approved of Competency Framework development Final Report Production No. 2016-45       NPC Competency Framework Development Final Report Prepared by Willis Towers Watson No. 2016-45       The NPC Competency Framework Development Final Report Prepared by Willis Towers Watson No. 2016-45       December 2016         SM 24       Sub-total       1.0%       Personnel Competency Model       1.00%       Board Approved Competency Model       1.00%       I.00%       I.00%       I.00%       I.00%       Development Final Report Prepared by Willis Towers       Board through Resolution No. 2016-45       December 2016       December 2016         Image: Net Competencies Met Image: Net Co											
SM 24       Percentage of Average Required Competencies Met       Board Approval of Competency Model       1.0%       Personnel Competency Competency Model       Board Approved Competency Model       1.00%       Intervention Interventi Intervention Intervention Intervention Intervention I			Sub-total	35.0%			38.09%		34.34%	and the second	
SM 24       Percentage of Average Required Competencies Met       Board Approval of Competency Model       1.0%       Personnel Competency Model       Board Approved Competency Model       1.00%       Board Approved Competency Model       1.00%       I.00%       NPC Competency Framework Development Final Report prepared by Willis Towers       by Willis Towers Watson was approved Board through Resolution No. 2016-45 December 2016         Image: Competency Model       1.0%       1.00%       1.00%       1.00%       1.00%       Image: Competency Framework Development Final Report prepared by Willis Towers       by Willis Towers Watson was approved December 2016         Image: Competency Model       1.0%       1.00%       1.00%       Image: Competency Framework Development Final Report prepared by Willis Towers       by Willis Towers	SO 13	Ensured Employee	Productivity and	Competer	icy	建立中华的 网络中部人名法	法规约 经规则	<b>新闻的资源和保证</b> 和资源			
Met         Sub-total         1.0%         1.00%         1.00%	SM 24	Average Required Competencies	Approval of Competency	1.0%	Competen		1.00%		1.00%	NPC Competency Framework     Development Final Report     prepared by Willis Towers	by Willis Towers Watson was approved by Board through Resolution No. 2016-45 on 2
			Cub 4ctal	4.0%			1.00%		1.00%		
			TOTAL	90%			92.16%		85.99%		

NPC Validated Score: <u>85.99%</u> = 95.54% 90.00% ANNEX A