CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY OF THE PHILIPPINES (CAAP)

| | | Compone | ents | | | Baseline Data | | Recalibrated | Target | | |
|---------------|------|--|--|--------|--------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | Objective/Measure Formula | | Weight | Rating System ^{a/} | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | | |
| | SO 1 | 1 Standard Aviation Safety and Security | | | | | | | | | |
| | | International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Standards Maintained | | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 1 | a. Universal Safety Oversight Audit Programme (USOAP) - Effective Implementation Score | Actual Percentage | 5% | All or Nothing | 70.52% USOAP Effective Implementation (EI) Score | El Score: 70.52% Global Average: 68.78% | Higher than the Global Average | Higher than the Global Average | | |
| SOCIAL IMPACT | | b. Effective State Safety Program (SSP) Level | Actual Accomplishment | 5% | All or Nothing | Level 2 SSP Implementation | Level 2 | Level 3: Implementation of GAP Analysis | Level 3: Implementation of GAP Analysis (Improvement from 2020) | | |
| SOCI | | c. Absence of Significant Safety Concerns (SSCs) | Actual Accomplishment | 5% | All or Nothing | 0 SSCs | 0 SSCs | 0 SSCs | 0 SSCs | | |
| | | d. Percentage of International Airports with Aerodrome Certification | Number of International Airports with Aerodrome Certification / Total Listed International Airports | 5% | Actual / Target | 50% (6 out of 12) | 6 out of 8 International Airports Certified | 7 out of 8 International Airports Certified | 100% International Airports Certified (9 out of 9) | | |
| | | | Sub-total | 20% | | | | | | | |

| | | Compone | ents | | | Baseline Data | | Recalibrated | Target | | |
|--|------|---|---|--------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------------|--|--|
| | | Objective/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating System ^{a/} | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | | |
| | SO 2 | Reliable Service to Stakeholders | | | | | | | | | |
| CUSTOMERS/ STAKEHOLDERS | | Percentage of Satisfied Customers | Number of respondents who gave at least a Satisfactory rating / Total number of respondents | | Actual / Target 0% = If less than 80% | No survey conducted | 85.50%* (3,604 of 4,215) | Using the Enhanced Conduct of the CSS p | | | |
| TO T | SM 2 | a. Passengers | | 3% | | | 85.44% | Excluded** | 90% | | |
| CUS | | b. Concessionaires | | 1% | | | 86.82% | 90% | 90% | | |
| , w | | c. Local Airport Authorities | | 1% | | | 50.00% | 90% | 90% | | |
| | | | Sub-total | 5% | | | | | | | |
| | SO 3 | Improved Financial Viability | | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 3 | Gross Income | Business Revenue + Service Revenue + Interest Income | 10% | _ Actual / _ Target | ₽9.527 Billion | ₽10.388 Billion | ₽3.08 Billion | ₽6.209 Billion | | |
| FINANCIAL | SM 4 | Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) | Net Income + Interest + Taxes + Depreciation + Amortization | 10% | | ₽5.098 Billion | ₽5.203 Billion | ₽1.999 Billion | ₽0.712 Billion | | |
| | SM 5 | Budget Utilization Rate | Budget Obligated / Total Budget for Infrastructure Projects | 10% | Actual / Target | 35.27% | 51.93% | 62% | 83% | | |
| | | | Sub-total | 30% | | | | | | | |

^{*} Using the Standard Methodology and Questionnaire developed by GCG.
** Per GCG's Letter to CAAP dated 05 January 2021.

| | Components | | | | | | Baseline Data | | Target | |
|------------------|------------|--|--|--------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | Objective/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating System ^{a/} | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | |
| | SO 4 | Established Quality Management System | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 6 | ISO Certification | Actual Accomplishment | 5% | All or Nothing | Quality Manual produced | Quality Manual Substituting | ISO 9001:2015 Certification | ISO 9001:2015 Certification | |
| | | Percentage of License and Registration Applications Processed Within the Prescribed Period | | | | | | | | |
| ESS | | a. Pilot Licenses | No. of issuances | | | | | | | |
| PROC | | New | | 3.0% | | 91.45% | 91.21% | 100% | 100% | |
| NAL | | Renewed | | 3.0% | | 96.52% | 98.19% | 100% | 100% | |
| INTERNAL PROCESS | | b. Mechanic Licenses | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 7 | New | prescribed time (as approved by ARTA) / | 3.0% | Actual / Target | 97.09% | 92.38% | 100% | 100% | |
| | | Renewed | No. of applications x 100 | 3.0% | Target | 96.51% | 96.64% | 100% | 100% | |
| | | c. Aircraft Certificate of Registration (COR) | | | | | | | | |
| | | New | | 3.0% | | 85.97% | 85.15% | 35% | 100% | |
| | | Renewed | | 3.0% | | 95.12% | 99.48% | 80% | 100% | |

| Components | | | | | | ine Data | Recalibrated | Target |
|------------|--|--------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Objective/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating System ^{a/} | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| SM 8 | Implementation of Safe and Secured Information Systems | Actual Accomplishment | 3% | All or Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | Online Payment System Integration with the LBP (for the Renewal of Permits and Licenses of Airmen) |
| SO 5 | Safe and Efficient Management of | of Airspace | | | | | | |
| SM 9 | Number of Accomplished Steps for the Transition to Aeronautical Information Management (AIM) System | Cumulative Number | 3% | Actual / Target | 7 Accomplished Steps out of 21 | State AIS AIM Accomplishments (10 out of 21) | State AIS AIM Accomplishments (12 out of 21) | State AIS/AIM Accomplishments (13 out of 21) |
| SM 10 | Implementation of ADS CPDLC | Actual Accomplishment | 3% | All or Nothing | N/A | Completion of the following CNS/ATM Transition Activities: • Migration of Mactan Sub-ACC • Implementation of 50 nautical miles reduced separation on airway POTIB M646 • Implementation of ADS-C/ CPDLC West Pacific • Implementation of ADS-C/ CPDLC West Philippine Sea | N/A | Implementation of ADS-C/CPDLC in the West Sector of the Manila FIR |
| SM 11 | Implementation of AIDC | Actual Accomplishment | 3% | All or Nothing | N/A | AIDC Operational Implementation for Indonesia, Singapore, Hong Kong and Taipei | N/A | AIDC Implementation between Manila and Ujung Pandang (Indonesia) ACCs |

CAAP | 5 of 5

| | Components | | | | | Baseline Data | | Recalibrated | Target |
|-------------------|------------|--|--------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | Objective/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating System ^{a/} | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| | SM 12 | Provision of Space-Based ADS-B Data | Actual Accomplishment | 3% | Actual / Target | One (1) ADS-B Ground Station installed in ATMC in Manila | One (1) ADS-B Ground Station | Percentage of Completion of the Supply/ Installation and Integration for the six (6) new ADS- B Ground Stations Based on Project Schedule | 100% Provision of Space-Based ADS-B Data for North-West Manila FIR |
| | SM 13 | Air Traffic Flow Management (ATFM)/ Collaborative Decision Making (CDM) Implementation for High Density Airports | Actual Accomplishment | 3% | All or Nothing | Level 2 ATFM Nodes | N/A | N/A | Subscription to an ATFM System Tool Suited for ATFM/CDM and Multi-Nodal (Level 3) ATFM |
| | | | Sub-total | 41% | | | | | |
| H | SO 6 | Enhanced Personnel Effectivity a | nd Productivity | | | | | | |
| LEARNING & GROWTH | SM 14 | Percentage of Employees Meeting Required Competencies | Actual Accomplishment | 4% | All or Nothing | No accomplishment | No Competency Model Approved by CAAP Board and Baseline was Not Established | Board-Approved Competency Model for Unaffected Organizational Units | Board-Approved Competency Model for Unaffected Organizational Units |
| | Sub-total | | | | | | | | |
| | | to exceed the weight assigned per indica | 100% | | | | | | |

a/ But not to exceed the weight assigned per indicator.