NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION (NIA) Interim Performance Scorecard

Indicator	2013		Accomplishment		CGO-B Validation		Supporting	Remarks
	Weight	Targets	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents	Remarks
MFO 1 - Project Implem	nentation and	Systems Opera	ation					
Quantity 1: Area Generated	9%	122,762 ha (40.75 %)	<u>31,246</u> 60,712	4.63% ^a	92,956	6.81%	Physical Status of Irrigation Projects (Regional and per project breakdown) Sample Monthly Progress Reports (per project)	 Area generated refers to the (new) additional service generated from new projects and project expansions. This new area is added to the total (national) service area developed. Area restored refers to service area restored from existing facilities with major damage (e.g. no service water, non-operational). This area is added back to the total service area developed (during firming up of service area, non-operational areas as deducted from the total service area developed). Area rehabilitated refers to service area rehabilitated from existing facilities with minor impairment (e.g. dredging). No new area is added to the total service area developed with the rehabilitation.
Quantity 2: Area Restored	9%	121,277 ha (69.91 %)	<u>20,884</u> 38,170	4.92% ^a	103,777	7.70%		
Quantity 3 : Area Rehabilitated	9%	443,661 ha (82.94 %)	<u>122,508</u> 112,752	9% ^a	452,383	9%		
Quantity 4: Irrigated Area (Wet Season)	12%	584,206 ha (88.92 %)	578,749	11.89%	579,292	11.90%	Cropping Intensity, Collection Efficiency and Viability Index	 Irrigated area refers to the service area with actual water (irrigated) during wet season

Indicator	2013		Accomplishment		CGO-B Validation		Supporting	Remarks
	Weight	Targets	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents	Remarks
Quantity 5: Irrigated Area (Dry Season)	12%	577,548 ha (89.44 %)	554,565	11.52%	554,565	11.52%	Nationwide Report Operations Department Report	e.
Quantity 6: Irrigation Management Transfer (IMT) contracts with IAs	9%	2,075 (74.91%)	2,292	9%	2,276	9%	Reports from Regional Offices and IMOs (all) Consolidated Report from the NIA Central Office	 Reports submitted by the Regional Offices were used in the validation of the submission. In cases where the regional breakdown is inconsistent with the consolidated report by the Central Office, the figures considered in the evaluation are those contained in the regional reports.
Sub-total	60%			50.96%		55.93%		
MFO 2 – Corporate Viabi	lity					N.		
Financial 1: Revenue from Regular Sources	20%	3,987,727,000	4,045,310,000	20%	3,870,424,764	19.41%	Audited Income Statement 2013	Other fines and penalties and Miscellaneous Income are excluded in the GCG computation.
PI Set 1: Multipurpose Wa	ater Resourc	e Projects						
Quantity 1: Contracts review & processing	20%	5	5	20%	2	8%	 Service contracts Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) Memoranda of Agreement (MOAs) 	As agreed during the PAN, validating documents for this measure includes copy of any of the following: DOE certification, service /operating contracts and/or DOE received requirements for the processing of service/ operating contracts Validated two projects (with DOE certifications): i. Bulanao Hydropower (Kalinga)

Indicator	2013		Accomplishment		CGO-B Validation		Supporting	Remarks
	Weight	Targets	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents	Remarks
								ii. Barit IDHP (Camarines Sur) • Three (3) projects under the DA-DOE MOU are not counted since no DOE certifications were presented to support the claim "[c]ompleted all the necessary Legal, Financial and Technical requirements", to wit: iii. Rizal Hydropower (UPRIIS) iv. Muñoz Hydropower (Nueva Ecija) v. PICO Hydropower (Tarlac)
Sub-total	40%			40%		27.41%		
General Administrative	and Support	Services (GAS	S)					
Human Resource Development	-	-	F	-	- -			
Timely and Efficient Procurement	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Sub-total	0%			0%		0%		
TOTAL WEIGHTS	100.00%			90.96%		<u>83.34%</u>		

a/ In the NIA submission, targets have been rebased to reflect 2013 projects only. The denominator on the "Actual" column shows the rebased target used in the rating computation. However, the cumulative targets agreed during the PAN were applied in the computation of the NIA score in the GCG validation