PARTIDO DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION 2016 Performance Scorecard Evaluation

			Performance	e Measure	•		PDA Subr	mission	GCG Eva	luation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
	Objecti	ves/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
	SO 1	Expand Service	ce Coverage a	and Maint	ain Service S	tandards of	Water Facility					
SOCIAL IMPACT/SHAREHOLDERS	SM 1	Service Expansion of PWSS	Accumulated no. of service connections for the year		(Actual Increase) / (Target Increase) x Weight	15,398	16,530	6.00%	16,530	6.00%	• Billing Summary	PDA operates the Partido Water Supply System (PWSS) which provides water supply to the ten (10) municipalities of the Partido District. This measure aims to capture the ability of PDA to increase the number of service connections every year to eventually provide the entire district with the necessary access to water. Actual accomplishment showed that PDA had 16,530 active service connections as of end of 2016, covering 131 out of the 299 barangays within the Partido District. Total active service connections increased by 1,700 from 2015 to 2016 or about 11%. The net increase was brought about by 1,794 new connections and 1,081 reconnections, while 1,175 accounts were disconnected during the year. PDA exceeded its target by 7.35%. With this, PDA is given the full rating of 6%.

PDA | 2 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Performance	e Measure			PDA Sub	mission	GCG Eval	uation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
Objectiv	ves/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
SM 2	Maintain the quality of drinking water	Based on the result of Third Party test	6%	Actual / Target x Weight	100%	100%	6.00%	100%	6.00%	Summary of Bacteriological Test Result 2016 Result of Bacteriological Potability Testing issued by MNWD	The laboratory examination are conducted by the Metropolitan Naga War District (MNWD) to assess the bacteriological potability water from various sampling points. Tests were conduct monthly in accordance with a national standards for Level III water system. Basson the certifications potability issued by the MNWD, all test results showed that water sampling submitted by PDA were not as "Passed". With this, it quality of water being supplied by PDA is deemed safe.
SO 2	Improved Clie	ent Satisfaction	n								
SM 3	Customer Satisfaction Rating (PWSS) Reduce Gap Score between Expectations & Perceptions	Perception/ Expectation	6%	Actual / Target x Weight	100%	114%	6.00%	114% (3.24 / 2.84)	6.00%	Report on Customer Satisfaction Survey prepared by a Statistician	The survey for 2016 wadministered internally PDA using a SERVQUAL to measuring the gap between what was expected by stakeholders as against the perception based on the actual transaction with PDA Based on the summary survey results, all dimensions of service qual which were covered in survey showed a positive of score given the higher rating for perception of expectation. This suggestions are serviced in survey showed a positive of score given the higher rating for perception of expectation. This suggestions are serviced in survey showed a positive of score given the higher rating for perception of expectation. This suggestions are supported to the summary survey and survey showed a positive of score given the higher rating for perception.

PDA | 3 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

	Performance Measure					mission	GCG Eva	luation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
Objectives/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
										that the quality of PDA's services is perceived to be good and even exceeds the expectations of it stakeholders. It was noted however, that the reportance prepared by the statisticial focused on the demographic profile of the 386 survey respondents instead of detailed interpretation and analysis of the survey results. Using the formula "Perception / Expectation" as specified under the scorecard, the actual rating is at 114% given the overall mean rating for perception and expectation of 3.24 and 2.84, respectively. It is regard, PDA exceeded the 2016 target. While the accomplishment shall be given the full rating because the actual survey results at satisfies, even exceeds, the target under the scorecard PDA should note that the ultimate purpose of the survey is to determine the drivers of satisfaction and points for improvement based on the analysis of the survey results. As such, the survey reposition of the summand of results.

PDA | 4 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Performance	e Measure	•		PDA Sub	mission	GCG Eva	luation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
Objecti	ves/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
SO 3	Upgrade Hum	an Resources	s in Variou	us Sectors							
SM 4	Number of trainees in Education, Agriculture, Fishery and Coastal Resources sectors	Actual no. of trainees for the year	6%	Actual / Target x Weight	2,550	2,383	5.61%	2,162	5.09%	Attendance Sheets Accomplishment Report on Mobile Information Technology Classroom	PDA is engaged in timplementation of various capability enhancementation of various capability enhancementations and programs part of its mission to cataly industry and commerce with the region. These programare expected to redound economic developmentation developmentation developmentation developmentation and coastal resources that we benefit 2,550 trainees. Based on the attendant sheets and training repossibilities and training reposition and the following programs and the following project and the following programs and the following and the following project and the follow

PDA | 5 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Performance	e Measure			PDA Sub	mission	GCG Eva	luation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
Objecti	ves/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		70
											were participated in by 2,38 trainees. However, a total of 22 trainees on RA No. 916 rabies prevention, and proje development shall be excluded since the programs do not belong to the target sectors. In this regard only 2,162 trainees will be recognized.
SO 4	Active Collab	oration/Engag	gement of	Developmen	t Projects						
SM 5	Number of partners participating in programs and projects	Actual no. of partners for the year	6%	Actual / Target x Weight	87	88	6.00%	0	0.00%	• Supporting document submitted was insufficient.	PDA enters into prograpartnerships for the purpor of implementing sood development programs for the Partido District. Trainings a other interventions are complemented with other government agencies such various line agencies health, education, a agriculture and, in sor instances, with the privasector. PDA reported that there we 88 partners in 2016. However, only the annual report 2016 was presented as supporting document for accomplishment. The narratives in the annual report partners who participated the program implementations.

P D A | 6 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

			Performance	e Measure			PDA Sub	mission	GCG Eva	luation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
	Objectiv	ves/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
												We find that the annual report is not sufficient as a supporting document to establish the number of partners for 2016. In this case, no score shall be given for this measure.
		Sub-total		30%				29.61%		23.09%		
	SO 5	Improved Ope	erational Effic	iency								
FINANCIAL	SM 6a	Collection efficiency on current billing	(Current collection + discounts + taxes withheld) / total current billing	8%	Actual / Target x Weight	92%	86.66%	7.54%	86.66%	7.54%	Report on Collection Efficiency on Current Billing	Current billings pertain to the charges to concessionaires for water consumed during the current year. Based on the report provided by PDA, the total billings for 2016 amounted to \$\text{P88.72}\$ Million for water consumption of about 3.60 million cubic meters. Total collections amounted to \$\text{P76.60}\$ Million pertaining to about 86.66% of the total billings. This is below the 2016 target of 92% and even lower than the 2015 collection efficiency which is at 90%.
	SM 6b	Increase collection efficiency on accounts receivable	Prior years collection / active accounts + inactive accounts receivables	8%	Actual / Target x Weight	45%	19.53%	3.47%	19.53%	3.47%	Report on Collection Efficiency on Accounts Receivable	For 2016, the target was to collect 45% of the accounts receivables as of end of 2015 However, it was not achieved by PDA having only a 19.53% actual collection efficiency rate. PDA collected only \$\mathbb{P}\$5.78 Million out of the total

PDA | 7 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

•	1	Performance	e Measure			PDA Sub	mission	GCG Eval	uation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
Objecti	ves/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
											arrears amounting to ₽29.5 Million. Same as the previou measure, there was also decline in collectio performance from 24.30% i 2015 to 19.53% in 2016.
SM 7	Actual water rate vs. optimum water rate per cubic meter	Formula based on NWRB Guideline on tariff rate setting	5%	Actual / Target x Weight	₱28/ ₱32.2			Target not achieved	0.00%	No supporting documents submitted	The objective of the measur is to increase PDA's revenue thru the imposition of higher tariff for water supplied by PWSS. To date, the minimum water rate is at \$\mathbb{P}\cent{2}1.00 per cubic meter and has not beed increased since October 2010. Unfortunately, PDA has unpaid Supervision and Regulatory dues with the National Water Resource Board (NWRB) which is precondition prior approving PDA's application for tariff increase from \$\mathbb{P}\cent{2}1.0 to \$\mathbb{P}\cent{3}2.20 per cubic meter the target for 2016 was the implementation of the particities of water rate to \$\mathbb{P}\cent{2}8.00 per cubic meter, if the requested increase was approved by NWRB. Since NWRB has yet to issue it decision, PDA was not able to achieve the target.

P D A | 8 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Performance			PDA Submission		GCG Eva	luation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks	
Objectiv	ves/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
SM 8	EBITDA Level of PWSS	Gross Income less Maintenance & other operating expenses of PWSS	13%	Actual / Target x Weight	₱36.75 Mn	₱51.24 Mn	13.00%		0.00%	• Supporting documents submitted are insufficient.	The target was focused on PWSS since this is the main source of revenue and the only income generating unit. PDA reported \$\text{P}\$51.24 Million as the EBITDA for 2016 and submitted the audited financial statements (FS) for 2016 which presents the consolidated financial performance of PDA operations, wherein PWSS is just one of the segments Details on the financial performance of PWSS cannot be derived and/or computed from the audited FS. Further the notes to financial statements under the COA audited report for 2016 only included a summary of financial performance performance performance data for PWSS and PDA. In this regard, the EBITDA for PWSS cannot be ascertained based on the available data and the validit of the submitted accomplishment cannot be established. As such, in score shall be given for this measure.

PDA | 9 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Performance	e Measure			PDA Subn	nission	GCG Eval	uation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
Objectiv	ves/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
SM 9	Loan Payment to the Bureau of Treasury	Actual amount remitted / Amount due	8%	Actual / Target x Weight	₱16.8 Mn	₱16.8 Mn	8.00%	₱16.8 Mn	8.00%	• Proof of Payment to BTr; (2) payment transactions	The purpose of this measur is to compel PDA to repay it financial obligations with BT considering the balloonin balance of NG advances. For 2016, PDA must remit ₱16. Million to BTr as repayment for NG advances. PDA was able to settl payment transaction amounting to ₱16.8 million PDA submitted official receipts issued by BTr as the supporting documents for this measure dated 16 Februar 2016 and 16 August 2016 with an amount of ₱8.4 million each, corresponding to it ₱16.8 million target.
SM 9a	Submission of a Repayment Plan based on PDA's corporate life	Establish the Repayment Plan in coordination with the DOF/BTr	-	All or Nothing	-	-	-		-	No supporting document submitted	The submission of repayment plan for PDA's outstanding NG advances to the Department of Finance (DOF) and BTr was included in the scorecard, however, musight was assigned to the since it is a strategic initiative. The agreement was for PD to formulate a repayment plain coordination with DOF and BTr. The DOF received the BOD-approved repayment plan on 11 January 2016. The DOF, in its letter dated 1 February 2016, directed PD

P D A | 10 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

	3	Performance	e Measure	e		PDA Sub	mission	GCG Eva	luation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
Ob	jectives/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		72 - 5
								2			to devise an immediate reform to promote revenue generation to further increase annual remittances to NG. The repayment plan provides for a repayment period of 60 years, which the DOF rejected.
											Given that PDA has yet to establish a Repayment Plan in coordination with the DOF, the accomplishment can be considered pending until DOF approves the Repayment Plan proposed by PDA. No supporting document was provided by PDA to present the status of its compliance with the DOF's directive.
SM	Non- 1 10 Revenue Water (NRW	(CuM produced – CuM billed) / water produced x 100	5%	(1 - (Actual - Target) / Target) x Weight	29	27.17	5.00%	27.17	5.00%	• 2016 NRW Summary • COA Audit Report	Non-revenue water refers to the water that has been produced but was lost before it reaches the consumer. NRW serves as a good indicator for water utility performance wherein high levels of NRW typically indicate a poorly managed water utility. Total billed consumption is about 3.60 million cubic meters as against the total production of 4.94 million cubic meters.
	Sub-tota	1	47%				37.01%		24.01%		

P D A | 11 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

		Performance	e Measure			PDA Subi	mission	GCG Eva	luation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
Objecti	ves/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
SO 6	Improved Dev	elopment Pla	nning								,
SM 11	Formulate socio-economic development programs and projects	Actual no. of programs and projects formulated	5%	Actual / Target x Weight	7	7	5.00%	8	5.00%	• PDA Board Resolution Nos. 5, 6, and 13 series of 2016	For 2016, PDA exceeded the target to formulate seven (7 plans and programs that will promote the development of the districts, based on the Board Resolutions submitted
SM 12	Update the Partido Socio-Economic profile, integrating the digitized technical data prepared by PDA in collaboration with other agencies	Actual no. of digitized maps	5%	Actual / Target x Weight	1 document (Partido Socio- economic profile)	1 SEP	5.00%		0.00%	Supporting documents submitted are insufficient	PDA reported that one (1 updated socio-economic profile was finalized in 2016 No supporting document was provided to support the accomplishment. Upor request of the CGO-in Charge, PDA provided its annual report as a reference for its accomplishment However, the annual report does not provide the necessary information relevant to the accomplishment and is not the appropriate supporting document. As such, no score shall be given for this measure.
	Sub-total		10%				10.00%		5.00%		

P D A | 12 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

			Performanc	e Measure			PDA Sub	mission	GCG Eval	uation	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
C	Objectiv	ves/Measures	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
S	O 7	Established C	Quality Manag	ement Sys	stem							
	SM 13	ISO Certification	Based on milestone	5%	All or Nothing	Re- certification	-	-	Target not achieved	0.00%	No supporting documents submitted	PDA was not able to obta the targeted ISO recertification for 2016. It was stated in PDA's annuscorecard that this measure requested for renegotiation however, no justification are documents were provided support its request.
	SO 8	Established N	lanpower Po	ol for Critic	cal Services							
	SM 14	No. of PWSS technical people with TESDA Certification	Actual no. of technical people with TESDA certification	3%	Actual / Target x Weight	2/2	2	3.00%	2	3.00%	• TESDA certifications	For 2016, the target is improve the competency two (2) PDA employed through the skill improveme courses provided by the Technical Education are Skills Development Authoris (TESDA). PDA submitted certification of two (2) employees, namel Mr. Orestes Alejandro Corales and Mr. Jose Amatorio, who both trained for the Trainers Methodology program of TESDA. The two were given a Certificate Competency in Delive Training Session and Trainers Methodology Certificate, respectively.

P D A | 13 of 13
Validated Performance Scorecard 2016 (Annex A)

Performance Measure					PDA Submission		GCG Evaluation		Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks	
Objectives/Measures		Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		q
SM 15	Percentage of staff undergoing capability enhancement trainings	Actual no. of staff trained / total no. of staff	5%	Actual / Target x Weight	80%	82% (47/57)	5.00%	73.68% (42/57)	4.61%	Summary of Trainings and Seminars attended by PDA Employees	The measure aims to determine the percentage of PDA employees that underwent capability enhancement trainings. Review of PDA's submission for the trainings and seminary attended by the employeer revealed that only 4 employees attended training in 2016. This is in contrast with the submitted actual score of the PDA which is 47 With this, only 4.61% out of the 5% score will be given for this accomplishment.
	Sub-total		13%				8.00%		7.61%		
	TOTAL		100%				84.62%		59.71%		





10

02 October 2018

RECEIVING COPY

RD 987 295 478 ZZ

MR. JIMMY V. DELEÑA
Chairperson
MR. RAMON F. FUENTEBELLA
Administrator
PARTIDO DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION (PDA)
PDA Complex, Caraycayon, Tigaon
Camarines Sur

RE: PDA REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION ON THE VALIDATION RESULT OF 2016 PERFORMANCE SCORECARD

Dear Chairperson Deleña and Administrator Fuentebella,

This refers to the letter of PDA dated 26 April 2018¹ on the request for reconsideration of four (4) Strategic Measures (SMs) under the GCG-validated 2016 Performance Scorecard². The items requested for reconsideration and its corresponding weight are as follows:

- 1. SM 4: Number of Trainees in Education, Agriculture, Fishery and Coastal Resources Sectors (6%);
- 2. SM 5: Number of Partners Participating in Programs and Projects (6%);
- 3. SM 8: EBITDA Level of Partido Water Supply System (PWSS) (13%); and,
- 4. SM 12: Update the Partido Socio-Economic Profile, Integrating the Digitized Technical Data Prepared by PDA in Collaboration with Other Agencies (5%).

Under the GCG-validated 2016 Performance Scorecard, PDA garnered a score of **59.71%**. To address its appeal on the four (4) identified SMs, the justifications of PDA will be discussed in the paragraphs hereunder.

ON STRATEGIC MEASURE 4: NUMBER OF TRAINEES IN EDUCATION, AGRICULTURE, FISHERY, AND COASTAL RESOURCES SECTORS

For 2016, the target was to reach a total of 2,550 trainees under various priority sectors. PDA reported that the total accomplishment reached 2,383 trainees and submitted supporting documents for the following trainings: (1) Information Technology Training for Teachers; (2) Orientation on Republic Act (RA) No. 9165 and Anti-Illegal Drugs Campaign; (3) Project Development and Feasibility Study Preparation; (4) Municipal Advocacy on Rabies Prevention, Management and Control;

¹ Officially received by the Governance Commission on 08 May 2018.

² Governance Commission letter to PDA dated 05 March 2018.

(5) Training on Cacao and Pili Seedlings Production Project; and (6) Mobile Information Technology Classroom Project. However, a total of 221 trainees on Republic Act No. 9165 otherwise known as the Dangerous Drugs Act of 2002; Rabies Prevention; and, Project Development was excluded since these programs do not belong to the target sectors identified in the Performance Scorecard. With this, only 2,162 trainees were recognized as accomplishment and a rating of 5.09% was given for this measure.

In its appeal, PDA stated that the total number of trainees reached 2,798, as opposed to its initially reported accomplishment of 2,383³. PDA cited the following justifications in its request for appeal:

- (1) The Health Sector is included in the signed 2016 Summary of Agreement. Thus, the trainees on Rabies Prevention, Management and Control under the Health Sector should be counted. It was considered a priority training because the Partido District registered the highest incidence of death in the Bicol Region due to rabies.
- (2) The training participants in the Anti-Illegal Drugs Campaign attended should also be considered since the program is also under the Health Sector supportive of the current Administration's Anti-Illegal Drug Campaign.
- (3) Further, 436 trainees under the Fisheries and Coastal Resources Management Actions (FCRMA), which aims to raise the awareness of the participants on environment matters, should be considered. PDA also maintained that it is only in 2017 that the GCG imposed to remove the awareness building as part of the scorecard. Moreover, in 2015, 725 participants of various awareness building activities were considered by GCG.

Review of the pertinent documents show that the PDA based its accomplishment report on the 2016 Summary of Agreements instead of the 2016 Performance Agreement. As a background, prior to the issuance of GCG Memorandum Circular No. 2017-02, Performance Agreement Negotiations (PAN) were held annually wherein the GOCC Governing Board and the Governance Commission agree on a set of measures and targets for a particular year. The agreements reached during the said PAN are embodied in the Summary of Agreements (SOA) which will later on be formalized in the form of a Performance Agreement (PA). In the case of PDA, the PA containing its final 2016 Performance Scorecard, among others, was formally transmitted to PDA, through mail, last 25 January 2016.

Upon review of the SOA and PA, the contested measure shows that there had been revisions on the measure from what was stated in the SOA and from that contained in the PA. While the Governance Commission acknowledges that there were deviations from the SOA to the 2016 PA, please note, however, that the 2016 PA supersedes the SOA, thus, it shall serve as the basis in evaluating the 2016 accomplishments. Given that the Health sector was not among the sectors identified in the measure under the 2016 PA, the training participants under this sector were not considered as accomplishment under the scorecard.

³ Monitoring Report of Performance Targets as transmitted per PDA letter dated 31 January 2017.

On the trainees on FCRMA, accomplishments relative to this training were not considered due to the late submission of supporting documents. Note that the attendance sheets for the programs under FCRMA were submitted through email only on 05 March 2018. PDA eventually resubmitted the supporting documents in a letter dated 21 May 2018⁴.

Based on the attendance sheets submitted in the said letter, it was noted that a total of 435 trainees participated in the trainings conducted in 2016 under the FCRMA. Breakdown of trainings and number of participants are as follows:

ACTIVITY	DATE CONDUCTED	No. of Participants	
Communicate to Respond and Encourage Action Toward Environmental (CREATE) Sustainability	28 to 29 June 2016	200	
Seminar on Marine and Coastal Biodiversity	13 December 2016	179	
Seminar on FCR Awareness in Partido Area Towards Biodiversity Conservation	07 March 2016	56	
Total		435	

PDA reported a total of 180 attendees for the Seminar on Marine and Coastal Biodiversity; however, one (1) participant was excluded since no corresponding signature was reflected on the attendance sheet. Nevertheless, the accomplishment increased from 2,162 to 2,597, exceeding the target of 2,550 trainees. Hence, the rating for this measure is hereby INCREASED from 5.09% to **6.00%**.

ON STRATEGIC MEASURE 5: NUMBER OF PARTNERS PARTICIPATING IN PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS

PDA reported in its Performance Scorecard that there were 88 program/project partners in 2016, exceeding the target of 87 partners. PDA submitted a copy of its Annual Report as evidence of its accomplishment; however, it was deemed insufficient to substantiate the accomplishment. Thus, no score was given for this measure.

PDA stated in its appeal that additional supporting documents were also submitted aside from the Annual Report as proof of performance. In its email dated 05 March 2018, PDA submitted a matrix on the participation of partner agencies in the various sectors, and attendance sheets showing the signatures of the different stakeholders to the activities and projects. As supporting document to its request for reconsideration, PDA resubmitted a revised copy of the matrix, including attendance sheets, as proof of its partners' involvement in the various programs and projects of PDA.

With regard to its 05 March 2018 email submitting additional supporting documents, may we reiterate that the said submission was considered late. However, considering its appeal, the Governance Commission re-evaluated the submitted matrix and attendance sheets. It was observed that the submitted validating documents include documentation and project reports, Memorandum of Agreement

⁴ Advance copy of submission thru email dated 05 March 2018. Supporting documents per letter dated 21 May 2018 was officially received by the Governance Commission on 23 May 2018.

(MOA), attendance sheets and a reference to the relevant pages under PDA's Annual Report. May we, however, reiterate that the Annual Report is not the appropriate supporting document to prove that a partnership was established between PDA and the other agencies. Further, the attendance sheets and documentation or project report only prove the presence and participation of the agencies' representatives during an activity or event but is not a conclusive evidence of a partnership with PDA. Moreover, the Evaluation Report on Shaping Young Minds Program, which provides for the monitoring of "Hand-in-Hand Books" received by various schools, implies that the schools are recipients or beneficiaries of the program, but not as program partners. As such, we advise PDA to formalize its partnership with other agencies to institutionalize the programs and define the rights and obligations of the partners.

In the case of the MOA, we find that it substantially confirms PDA's partnership with: (1) Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Regional Office No. 5; (2) Department of Environmental and Natural Resources Region 5; and (3) Partido State University, including the ten Municipalities within the Partido District, for the implementation of the five-year project named Establishment of Monitoring Structure for Fisheries and Coastal Resources of Partido District. In this regard, only 13 partners shall be recognized as accomplishment and the rating for this measure is revised from 0% to **0.90%**.

ON STRATEGIC MEASURE 8: EBITDA LEVEL OF PWSS

The target for 2016 was to achieve an EBITDA of \$\textit{P}36.75\$ Million for PWSS. PDA reported that the 2016 EBITDA is at \$\textit{P}51.24\$ Million and submitted its 2016 Audited Financial Statements (FS) and Annual Report which provided for the consolidated financial performance of PDA operations, whereas PWSS is just one of its segments. However, the EBITDA level of PWSS cannot be derived and/or computed from the audited FS since the necessary details on the financial performance of PWSS were not available. Also, only a summary of financial performance per operating unit and an annex which presented a consolidated data for PWSS and PDA are available under the 2016 Annual Audited Report. As such, the Governance Commission was unable to ascertain the EBITDA of PWSS based on the available data, thus, the veracity of the accomplishment reported by PDA was not established.

As stated in its appeal, PDA initially submitted a supporting document on the EBITDA of PDA, consistent with the measure provided for under the SOA. PDA also argued that the document is readily available and submitted details on the EBITDA level of all operating segments along with its appeal.

As previously stated, the confusion is due to the difference on the measures provided under the SOA and PA. However, as already clarified, the PA shall serve as the basis in the evaluation of performance for the year 2018. In the said PA, the measure under SM 8 pertains to the EBITDA level of PWSS. Please also note that our request for supporting document per email dated 27 February 201 specified therein that the requested information is for "SM 8: EBITDA Level of PWSS", consistent with the PA. Despite this, PDA submitted a consolidated FS and Annual Report. Hence, the PDA earned a 0% score for this measure.

In its request for reconsideration, PDA was able to provide a Statement of Income and Expenses for year 2016. Upon evaluation, it was determined that the PWSS

EBITDA for 2016 is at ₽50.11 Million which exceeds the target of ₽36.75 Million. Hence, the rating for this measure is INCREASED from 0% to 13.00%.

ON STRATEGIC MEASURE 12: UPDATE THE PARTIDO SOCIO-ECONOMIC PROFILE, INTEGRATING THE DIGITIZED TECHNICAL DATA PREPARED BY PDA IN COLLABORATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES

PDA reported that one (1) updated socio-economic profile was finalized in 2016 in fulfillment of the target. PDA provided its annual report as the reference for its accomplishment. However, the annual report does not provide the necessary information relevant to the accomplishment and is not the appropriate supporting document. As such, no score was given for this measure.

In its appeal, PDA cited that the accomplishment for this measure is in page 59 of the Annual Report. Further, PDA alleged that it was not informed that the submitted document was not sufficient unlike the practice of the previous coordinators wherein PDA is contacted in case the submitted supporting document is insufficient.

Please note that the Governance Commission acknowledged the submission of PDA's 2016 Annual Report wherein activities regarding the updating of socio-economic profile was mentioned. The report also provided a discussion on the purpose of the profile and the methodology employed by PDA to complete the profile. However, the same was deemed as insufficient documentary evidence as it does not comply with the requirement specified under the scorecard. The target for this measure was for PDA to submit a document providing an update on the socio-economic profile of the Partido District. At the very least, the target document was required to include an integrated digitized technical data to present an updated socio-economic profile of the district which is expected to be prepared by PDA in collaboration with different agencies. In line with this expectation, the submitted 2016 Annual Report was deemed insufficient.

Nevertheless, the submitted document per PDA's letter dated 21 May 2018 was re-evaluated in consideration with its appeal. However, it was observed that the document submitted only contains tables on different socio-economic parameters but does not provide any discussion on the pertinent information provided. More importantly, the document seems to be in its raw or draft form and lacks the necessary information to ascertain whether the document was prepared in 2016. The difficulty in establishing the veracity of the reported accomplishment remains an issue, in this case, the Governance Commission **MAINTAINS** the 0% rating for this measure

In view of the foregoing re-evaluation of the Governance Commission, the 2016 Performance Scorecard rating of PDA is hereby modified from its previous rating of 59.71% to **74.52%**, with details as follows:

	Measure	From	То	Inc/(Dec)
SM 4	Number of Trainees in Education, Agriculture, Fishery and Coastal Resources Sectors	5.09%	6.00%	0.91%
SM 5	Number of Partners Participating in Programs and Projects	0.00%	0.90%	0.90%
SM 8	EBITDA Level of PWSS	0.00%	13.00%	13.00%
SM 12	Update the Partido Socio-Economic Profile, Integrating the Digitized Technical Data Prepared by PDA in Collaboration with Other Agencies	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	Total Weight	5.09%	19.90%	14.81%

We take this opportunity to emphasize that under the Performance Evaluation System (PES), GOCCs are encouraged to devise systems to integrate the PES within the organization. Moreover, the concept of the PES not only entails the crafting of annual measures and targets but also embodies within it a system of monitoring and evaluation. It is in this purpose that GOCCs are required to submit Quarterly Monitoring Reports such that, at the very minimum, GOCCs are expected to gather documents as supporting evidence and to periodically monitor and submit the same to the Governance Commission. Late submissions suggest negligence on the part of PDA and to continue accepting late submissions of documents defeats the purpose and intent of the PES.

In addition, the Governance Commission took note of the representation made by the PDA that it was not informed of the absence and insufficiency of the data submitted. Please note that in an email to PDA dated 27 February 2018, PDA was informed that no supporting documents were submitted pertaining to several measures. PDA was able to reply accordingly on 28 February 2018 by submitting the requested supporting documents. Thus, PDA was accorded with the opportunity to provide all the necessary documentary evidence. But more importantly, this justification of PDA, that the Governance Commission failed to properly inform of the need to submit the document, is not acceptable. The burden to prove the achievement of its targets rests with the GOCC, as such the GOCC also bears the responsibility to provide and submit relevant supporting and evidentiary documents to supplement its report.

Moreover, considering that the evaluation of Performance Scorecard, as part of a larger framework of performance management, is an annual exercise and was already in its third year of implementation last 2016, GOCCs are expected to have instituted

mechanisms to embody the performance management system within its organization, systems, procedures, and processes.

Foregoing considered, PDA remains to have failed to achieve the weighted-average score of at least 90% in the 2016 Performance Scorecard, therefore, PDA is still disqualified to grant 2016 Performance Based Bonus to its officers and employees.

FOR YOUR INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE.

Very truly yours,

SAMUEL G. DAGPIN, JR.

hairman₄

MICHAEL P CLORIBEL
Commissioner

MARITES C. DORAL Commissioner