## LOCAL WATER UTILITIES ADMINISTRATION (LWUA) Interim Performance Scorecard

Danadatiana	Weight	Target	Accomp	lishment	CGO-B \	/alidation	Supporting	Remarks	
Descriptions	2014	2014	2014	Rating	Score Rating		Documents		
MFO 1 : Institutional and	Technical Se	ervices	-	_	-	·			
Quantity 1: No. of Programs of Work (POWs) completed / prepared	5%	125	125	5%	130	5.00%	List of Detailed POWs completed in 2014     Onsite: 133 Individual POWs for 2014	Of the 125 reported accomplishment; 2 were dated in 2013, while 1 does not bare any date. LWUA however, accomplished an additional 8 POWs in 2014.	
Quantity 2: No. of construction projects started	10%	20	20	10%	22	10.00%	List of Projects Started in 2014 Onsite: 24 Individual Status of Projects in 2014	Of the 20 reported accomplishment; 2 were not started in 2014 due to funding issues. LWUA however, started an additional 4 projects in 2014.	
Quantity 3: No. of construction projects completed	15%	65	58	12.25%	55	12.69%	List of Projects     Completed in     2014     Onsite: 59     Individual     Certificate of     Completion     Project Status     Report	Of the 58 reported accomplishment; 1 was completed in 2015, 2 in 2013, and 1 is still ongoing. LWUA however, completed an additional project.	

n	Weight	Target	Accomplishment		CGO-B Validation		Supporting	Remarks	
Descriptions	2014	2014	2014	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents		
Quantity 4: Percentage of Operational WDs provided with training	5%	55%	55.54%	5%	56.30%	5.00%	Directory of Participants found in LWUA Database     Water Districts Training Profile found in LWUA Database	There were a total of 286 WDs trained and served by the Water Resources Research and Training Department (WRRTD) out of 508 Operational WDs.  In the validation, only 508 of the 514 WDs were counted as operational since 6WDs were not considered due to the following reasons:  2 – non-operational/no system  4 – under the jurisdiction of LGUs and BRWSA.	
<b>Quality 1:</b> Average Non- Revenue Water (NRW) of WDs	5%	25.86	26.61	4.86%	26.61	4.85%	WDs Monthly Data Sheet     Area Summary Reports	As noted last year, computation of NRW is not uniform across WDs. It is again recommended that LWUA implement a standard computation for the NRW.  Further, it is also suggested to monitor NRW of WDs quarter-on-quarter (QoQ) and year-on-year (YoY), so that improvements or slippage in performance can be identified, rectified and monitored easily.	
Subtotal of Weights:	40%			37.11%		37.54%			

. .

	Weight	Target	Accomplishment		CGO-B Validation		Supporting	Remarks	
Descriptions	2014	2014	2014	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents		
MFO 2: Regulatory Service	es							*	
Quantity 1: No. of Water Rates Computation / Financial Evaluation Completed	5%	104	136	5%	196	5.00%	Summary and List of Water Rates Computation and Financial Evaluation completed per Area     Detailed documents on Water Rates Computation and Financial Evaluation (onsite)		
<b>Quality 1:</b> Percentage of Operational WDs with 24/7 supply of service	5%	82%	81.21% (421/514)	4.99%	83.07% (422/508)	5.00%	WDs Monthly Data Sheet  Area Summary Reports  Self-Certification of 24/7 water supply availability issued by WDs (onsite)	Some reports only accounted WDs which ar compliant with the 24/7 service availability making it difficult to distinguish which of the remaining 86 WDs are in fact noncompliant from those without data submissions.  It is recommended that Area Summary Reports indicate water supply service level for each VVD.	
Quality 2: Percentage of Operational WDs Compliant with Philippine	5%	90%	56.36% (279/514)	3.13%	55.12% (280/508)	3.06%	Monthly	Reporting periods of the	

	Weight	Target	Accompl	shment	CGO-B Va	alidation	Supporting	Remarks
Descriptions	2014	2014	2014	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents	l defendant annula
National Standard for Drinking Water (PNSDW)	20 (4						Bacteriology Test Results per WD  • Area Summary Reports	bacteriology test results are not uniform across WDs (i.e. Oct 2013-Sept 2014, Jan 2014-Dec 2014).  Some reports only accounted WDs which are compliant with PNSDW making it difficult to distinguish which of the remaining 228 WDs are in fact non-compliant from those without data submissions.  It is recommended that Area Summary Reports indicate percentage compliance for each WD. It is also incumbent upon LWUA to make sure that WDs are made compliant to PNSDW.
Tímetiness: Percentage of Water Rates/Financial Evaluation completed within 45 calendar days	5%	95%	100% (196/196)	5%	94.39% (185/196)	4.97%	Summary and List of Water Rates Computation and Financial Evaluation completed per Area     Copies of log books (onsite)     Copies of email correspondences	This indicator measures the efficiency LWUA in water rates computation and/or financial evaluation (see Quantity 1)  Computation/Evaluation completed in 45 Days:  • Areas 1-4 – 99/110  • Area 5 – 13/13  • Area 6 – 22/22  • Area 7 – 14/14

Descriptions	Weight		Accompl	ishment	CGO-B Validation		Supporting	Remarks	
Descriptions	2014		2014	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents		
							(onsite) • Letters and Board Resolutions from LWUA to WDs	• Area 8 – 2	
Subtotal of Weights:	20%			18.13%		18.03%			
MFO 3: Financial Services	)							-	
Quality 1: Percentage of Performing Loans collected	25%	95%	92% (904/974 performing loans)	24.42%	92.81% (904/974 performing loans)	24.42%	Detailed     Receivable and     Collection     Report		
Ouglity 2: Amount of							Onsite validation using internal MIS software     Loans     Administration Department Summary Report	refer to acc	ances after nth. Details of arrears
Quality 2: Amount of Loan Accounts in Arrears collected	15% ₽350 M	₽350 M	₽306.66 M	13.14%	₽306.66 M	13.14%	List of     Performing	Regular loans (Interest)	195,991,828.00
						Loans with arrears	Regular loans (Principal)	75,062,321.00	
							Restructured Accounts	35,063,707.00	
								TOTAL	306,657,856.00
Subtotal of Weights:	40%	<b>Vary 10-7</b>		37.57%		37.56%			

.

•

ni-ti	Weight	Target	Accomplishment		CGO-B Validation		Supporting	Remarks	
Descriptions	2014	2014	2014	Rating	Score	Rating	Documents		
General Administrative an	d Support S	ervices (GAS	SS)						
Financial: Funds Utilization Rate	0%	₽0.9918	₽182.35M	0%	₽182.35M	0.00%	Summary     Utilization     Report	Low utilization is ascribed to the (i) focus on conversion of accounts from PSF grants to soft loans by securing MOUs with WDs slowing down funds disbursement, and (ii) policy changes implemented by the BOT in the computation of financial assistance by increasing required historical financial data from three (3) years to five (5) years and financial projection thresholds from five (5) years to ten (10) years which altered implementation of project cycles.	
Subtotal of Weights:	0%			0%		0.00%			
Total of Weights	100%			92.80%		93.13%			