## PORO POINT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION (PPMC) Validation Result of 2020 Performance Scorecard

#		Compone	nt		Rating	Target	Submissi	on	GCG Validation	on	Supporting	GCG Remarks
	Objec	tive / Measure	Formula	Weight	Scale	2020	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
	SO 1	Increased Economi	c Activity withir	the Por	Point Freep	ort Zone and Be	one of the Best	Marine Sa	nctuaries in the Cour	ntry		
SOCIAL IMPACT	SM 1	Developmental Projects: a. Seaport b. Airport	Total Number of Projects Milestones Accomplished /Target Project Milestone	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	1. Approval of the PPMC Board of the Commercializ ation of the Soiltech Private Pier to Encourage more seaport operation and generate more revenue for PPMC.  2. Signed Contract of Lease with JS Union Oils and Trading Inc. (New Area and Renewal)	1. The PPMC Board approved the Commercializati on of the Soiltech Private Pier on November 20, 2020 2. The PPMC Board approved the application of JS Union Oils and Trading, Inc. for the lease of 100 square meters area on December 4, 2020	10%	1. Approval of the PPMC Board thru Board Resolution No. 2020-11-136 of the Commercialization of the Soiltech Private Pier; classifying the Soiltech Private Pier from Non-Commercial Private Port to Commercial Private Port  2. Signed Contract of Lease with JS Union Oils and Trading Inc. (New Area and Renewal) as approved by the Board thru Board Resolution No. 2020-12-146	10%	For Seaport:     Notarized     Secretary's     Certificate     dated 23     November     2020 and     Copy of the     Permit to     Operate (PTO     No. 002)     For Airport:     Notarized     Secretary's     Certificate     dated 09     December     2020 and     copy of the     contract of     lease	Target met.
	SM 2	Actual Investment in the Poro Point Freeport Zone (PPFZ)	Absolute amount	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	Additional ₽120 Million²	₽364.95 Million	10%	₽364.95 Million	10%	Schedule of Actual Zone Revenues	Exceeded the target. Validated actual includes investment of 2 PPMC locators, 3

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on PPMC submission.
 <sup>2</sup> To include investment in PPFZ: Six (6) CAPEX Projects and DPWH Road Projects.

PPMC | Page 2 of 10
Validation Result of 2020 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

	Сотропе	nt		Rating	Target	Submissio	on	GCG Validati	on	Supporting	GGC Dawarda
Object	tive / Measure	Formula	Weight	Scale	2020	Actual	Rating <sup>1</sup>	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
										<ul> <li>For TPHR and AICAT:         Audited         Financial         Statements         (FS)</li> <li>For Capex         Projects:         Notice of         Award (NOA),         Certificate of         Project         Completion,         Statement of         Work         Accomplished         (SOWA) as of         31 December         2020, project         contract, and         bill of         quantities</li> <li>For DPWH:         Contractor's         Statement of         Work         Accomplished         (SOWA) for         the period of         03 November         2020 to 01         December         2020.</li> </ul>	2019 CAPEX projects of PPMC, and 1 DPWH project.
SM 3	Percentage of Locators Complied with Employment Commitment (Includes Existing)	Actual number of locators complied with employment	<u>5%</u>	(Actual / Target) x Weight	100%	100% of locators complied with employment commitment	N/A	100%	5%	Summary report from the respective Human Resource	The request to transfer weight previously allocated under SM 4 is APPROVED to consider efforts of

PPMC | Page 3 of 10
Validation Result of 2020 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

		Compone	nt		Rating	Target	Submissio	on	GCG Validati	on	Supporting	CCC Parranta
	Objec	tive / Measure	Formula	Weight	Scale	2020	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
			commitment / Total number of locators with employment commitment				(4 out of 4 locators complied with employment commitment)				(HR) departments of the locators Copy of the lease contracts with the locators	PPMC and its locators to provide employment during the pandemic.
	SM 4	Implementation of Roadmap to Develop the PPFZ as a Marine Sanctuary	Actual Accomplishm ent	<u>0%</u>	-	-	-	-	No reported accomplishment	-	-	Request to exclude measure and reallocate weight to SM3 is APPROVED in consideration of the revision of PPMC's strategic thrust and vision.
		Sub-total		25%				20%		25%		
	SO 2	Increased Operatin	g Profitability		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						•	
FINANCIAL	SM 5	Actual Zone Revenue	Absolute Number	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	₽87.68 Million	₽89.58 Million	10%	₽89.58 Million	10%	Schedule of target additional investment for zone revenues     COA Audit Report	Exceeded the target.
FINA	SM 6	Zone Revenues Collection Efficiency Rate	(Total Collections for the current year / Total Zone Revenues for the current year) x 100	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	<u>70%</u>	77.10%	8.57%	77%	10%	Collection     Efficiency     Report     (Summary     and Monthly)     COA Audit     Report	Proposal to revise measure and target is APPROVED in consideration of the effect of pandemic.
		Sub-total		20%				18.57%		20%		

PPMC | Page 4 of 10
Validation Result of 2020 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

	- 15	Componer	nt	1.0	Rating	Target	Submissi	on	GCG Validati	on	Supporting	2000
L	Objec	tive / Measure	Formula	Weight	Scale	2020	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
	SO 3	Improved Business	Environment									
CUSTOMER/STAKEHOLDER	SM 7	Implementation of Infrastructure and Development Projects	Actual number of projects completed / Total number of projects	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	Completed 100% of the Implementati on of the Contract for the Construction of Various 2019 CAPEX Infrastructure Projects within the Poro Point Freeport Zone:  1. Construction of Drainage at the Poro Point Baywalk Commercial Strip; 2. Construction of San Fernando Airport Fuel Shed; 3. Rehabilitation of the CAAP Quarters with proposed expansion; and	Completed 100% implementation of the Contract for the Construction of Various 2019 CAPEX Infrastructure Projects within the Poro Point Freeport Zone, City of San Fernando, La Union, to wit: 1. Construction of Drainage at the Poro Point Baywalk Commercial Strip; 2. Construction of San Fernando Airport Fuel Shed; 3. Relocation and Construction of PNP AVSEG Office and Quarters.	5%	Completed 75% of the implementation of the contract for the construction of various CAPEX infrastructure Projects within the Poro Point Freeport Zone:  1. Construction of Drainage at the Poro Point Baywalk Commercial Strip  2. Construction of San Fernando Airport Fuel Shed  3. Relocation and Construction of PNP AVSEF Office and Quarters	7.5%	Certificate of Project Completion Inspection Sheets Contract Statement of Work Accomplished (SOWA)	The request for reconsideration to reduce the target from six (6) projects to four (4) projects is APPROVED. The Governance Commission also revised the Rating Scale as consideration to the restrictions and effects of pandemic.  Reported accomplishment is acceptable.

PPMC | Page 5 of 10 Validation Result of 2020 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

	Compone	nt		Rating	Target	Submissio	on	GCG Validati	ion	Supporting	
Objec	tive / Measure	Formula	Weight	Scale	2020	Actual	Rating <sup>1</sup>	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
					4. Relocation and Construction of PNP AVSEG Office and Quarters						
SO 4	Achieve Stakeholde	ers Satisfaction									
SM 8	Percentage of Satisfied Customers	Total number of respondents who gave a rating of at least Satisfactory / Total number of respondents	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight 0% = If less than 80%	90%	94.74% customers gave a rating of at least Satisfied	10%	PPMC failed to adhere to the Enhanced Standard Methodology and use of Prescribed Questionnaire for 2020	0%	CSS Final Report by Saint Louis College San Fernando (La Union)	Based on the submitted final report, it was stated that the data gatherers may opt to a) use face-to-face in interviewing the respondents, depending on the convenience of the respondents or b) use of an online survey tool/platform (e.g. google form) or the self-accomplishment of the survey questionnaire sent through email, if it was preferred by the respondents or if a difficulty in securing an appointment was encountered by the data gatherers. It must be noted, however, that as provided in the Enhanced Standard Methodology for the Conduct of the CSS and as reiterated in Item 8 of the Additional

P P M C | Page 6 of 10

Validation Result of 2020 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

Compone	nt		Rating	Target	Submis	sion	GCG Valid	ation	Supporting	CCC Powerles
Objective / Measure	Formula	Weight	Scale	2020	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
										Guidelines in the conduct of 2020 CSS, the use of hybrid data collection for the same customer type is not allowed.  Review of the submitted accomplished surveys show that PPMC did not comply with the GCG-prescribed questionnaire, specifically the deletion of questions (e.g. Part I of the questionnaire was deleted, Part III on Regulatory - PPMC policies, rules and regulations are clear, consistent, and sufficient, are strictly implemented, are properly updated, are properly disseminated, reportorial/ documentary requirements are reasonable, timeline for compliance is fair and reasonable, and fees and/or penalties are fair and reasonable). Note that Part V of the Enhanced Standard Methodology for the Conduct of the CSS provides that, "Questions under the

P P M C | Page 7 of 10

Validation Result of 2020 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

		Componer	nt		Rating	Target	Submission	on	GCG Validat	ion	Supporting	CCC B
	Objec	tive / Measure	Formula	Weight	Scale	2020	Actual	Rating <sup>1</sup>	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
												Main Questionnaire are fixed and may not be altered, modified, or deleted."
		Sub-total		20%			_	15%		7.5%		
	SO 5	Streamline Services	s Provided									
INTERNAL PROCESS	SM 9	Percentage of Requests Processed within Applicable Processing Time <sup>3</sup>	Total number of requests processed within applicable processing time / Total number of requests processed in the year	15%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	100%	98.83% or 1,526 out of 1,544 applications were processed within the applicable processing time	14.83%	89.65%	13.45%	Summary of Permits     Processed for 2020     Editable excel file of the summary reports     System generated report for online applications     Logbook     Internal Form on Complete Requirements     Routing Slips, acknowledge ment receipts, official receipts, certificate of registration, screenshots of viber/email	Based on the review of documents provided, only 1,526 applications were received and processed in 2020. Of which, only 1,368 were validated to had been processed in 2020, within the applicable processing time.  Details of the validation is attached as <i>Appendix A</i> .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Permits under the Citizens Charter of PPMC. Applicable time should be in compliance with Republic Act No. 11032 otherwise known as the Ease of Doing Business and Efficient Government Service Delivery Act of 2018.

PPMC | Page 8 of 10
Validation Result of 2020 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

		Componer	nt		Rating	Target	Submissio	on	GCG Validati	on	Supporting	600 0
	Objec	tive / Measure	Formula	Weight	Scale	2020	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
											communications  Disbursement Vouchers (DVs), Statement of Account (SOA), Inspection and Acceptance Reports	
	1000 8	Sub-total	The state of the s	15%				14.83%		13.45%		
	SO 6	Institutionalize a Qu	ality and Environ	onmental	Managemen	t System						
ORGANIZATION/ LEARNING AND GROWTH	SM 10	Maintain ISO Certification	Actual accomplishm ent	5%	All or Nothing	ISO 9001:2015 Certification Retained	PPMC passed the Re- Surveillance Audit for ISO 9001:2015 conducted by SOCOTEC Certification Philippines, Inc. (SCPI) on December 09 to 10, 2020 with one (1) Minor Non-Conformity	5%	ISO Certification Retained	5%	ISO Audit Report by SOCOTEC Certification Philippines, Inc.     ISO     9001:2015 Certificate	Target met.
ORGANIZATIC	SM 11	Establishment of Environmental Management System Certifiable to ISO 14001:2015	Actual accomplishm ent	5%	All or Nothing	PPMC Board Approved Terms of Reference (TOR) for Consulting Services for EMS Training	The PPMC Board approved the Terms of Reference for Consulting Services for Environmental System (EMS)Training	5%	PPMC Board Approved Terms of Reference (TOR) for Consulting Services for EMS Training	5%	Memorandum to the PCEO re: Approval via Referendum of the Terms of Reference for the Selection of	Target met.

PPMC | Page 9 of 10 Validation Result of 2020 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

	Componer	nt		Rating	Target	Submissio	on	GCG Validation	on :	Supporting	GCG Remarks
Objec	tive / Measure	Formula	Weight	Scale	2020	Actual	Rating <sup>1</sup>	Actual	Rating	Documents	OOO Remarks
										Third Party to Provide Consulting Services for the Establishment of Environmental Management System Certifiable to ISO 14001:2015  • Secretary's Certificate  • Screenshots of the viber/email communications approving the TOR	
SM 12	Attain Aerodrome Registration⁴	Actual accomplishm ent	0%	N/A	Compliance with CAAP Standards in managing PPMC's Airport – 20% compliance with the latest CAAP Audit Findings	No reported accomplishment	-	No reported accomplishment	-	-	For monitoring purposes only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This measure is for monitoring purposes only; thus, no weight has been assigned.

PPMC | Page 10 of 10 Validation Result of 2020 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

	Compone	nt		Rating	Target	Submissi	on	GCG Validati	on	Supporting	GCG Remarks
Objec	ctive / Measure	Formula	Weight	Scale	2020	Actual	Rating¹	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
<b>SO</b> 7	Develop a Compete	ent and Motivate	d Workfo	orce							
SM 13	Percentage of Employees Meeting Required Competencies	Actual accomplishm ent	5%	All or Nothing	Establish Competency Baseline <sup>s</sup>	No reported accomplishment	0%	No reported accomplishment	0%	-	Request to exclude this measure is <b>DENIED</b>
SO 8	Automate Key Proc	cesses									
SM 14	Implementation of IT-based System for Key Processes	Actual accomplishm ent	2.5%	All or Nothing	Automation of Business Registration, Clearance and Permitting System (BCDA's eGov System One-Stop- Shop Project Phase I)	No reported accomplishment	-	No reported accomplishment	0%	-	Target not met.
			2.5%	All or Nothing	Board- approved Information Systems Strategic Plan (ISSP) as submitted to DICT						
		Sub-total	20%				10%		10%		
		TOTAL	100%				78.4%		75.95%		

Improvement in the competency baseline of the organization shall pertain to the average percentage of required competencies met which can be computed using the following formula:  $\sum_{b=1}^{B} \frac{A_{ctval Competency Level}}{A} \frac{A_{ctval Competency Level}}{A}$ where: a = Competency required, A = Total number of competencies required of position, b = Personnel profiled, B = Total number of personnel profiled

## PORO POINT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION SM 8: Percentage of Requests Processed within the Applicable Processing Time

Permit and Applicable Processing Time per PPMC Citizen's Charter	PPMC-Reported Accomplishment	Requests Processed Within Applicable Time	Remarks
Granting of New Certificate of Registration (2 Working Days and 4 hours)	0 out 1	0 out of 1	Acceptable
Renewal of Existing Certificate of Registration (2 Working Days and 4 hours)	3 out 5	0 out of 5	Unverifiable. The turnaround time relative to the processes involved in the permit application are not verifiable in the submitted memorandum of PPMC on their evaluation of the permit application, routing slip, email transmittal/exchanges, and certificate of registration.
Granting of New and Renewal of Existing Certificate of Accreditation for PTO (4 hours)	14 out of 14	11 out of 14	Acceptable. Three (3) permit applications were deemed unverifiable due to lack of supporting document.
Issuance of Permit to Bring-In Local Articles (15 Minutes)	560 out of 560 (1 Working Day)	560 out of 560	Acceptable.
Issuance of Permit to Bring-In Imported Articles (1 Working Day)	9 out of 9	9 out of 9	Acceptable.
Issuance of Permit to Bring Out Local Articles (1 Working Day)	2 out of 2	2 out of 2	Acceptable.
Issuance of Permit to Bring Out Imported Articles (1 Working day)	None for the year	None for the year	-
Issuance of Import Permit (1 Working Day)	15 out of 15 (1.5 Working Days)	14 out of 15	One (1) permit application was unverifiable due to absence of document to support that the application was approved.

Appendix A | Page 2 of 4 Validation of SM 8: Percentage of Requests Processed within the Applicable Processing Time

		•	
Permit and Applicable Processing Time per PPMC Citizen's Charter	PPMC-Reported Accomplishment	Requests Processed Within Applicable Time	Remarks
Issuance of Export Clearance (1 Working Day)	None for the year (1.5 Working Days)	None for the year	- -
Issuance of Gate Pass (25 Minutes)	560 out of 560 (1 Working Day)	560 out of 560	Acceptable.
Approval of Request for Extension of Operating Hours (30 Minutes)	4 out of 4 (1 Working Day)	0 out 4	Unverifiable. The copies of the approved requests including the email exchanges were provided; however, the time of receipt of the request and the time the client was notified of its approval are not stated therein.
Airside Vehicle Clearance (5 minutes)	51 out of 51 (15 minutes)	0 out of 51	Unverifiable. The copies of the approved clearances were provided; however, the time of receipt of the request and the time the client was notified of its approval are not stated therein.
Issuance of Building Permit (10 Working days, 2 hours, 10 minutes)	3 out of 3	3 out of 3	Acceptable.
Issuance of Occupancy permit (14 Working days, 1 hour, 20 minutes)	2 out of 2	2 out of 2	Acceptable.
Payment to Supplier (1 Working Day)	300 out of 312 (7 Working Days)	205 out of 294	There is a variance in the reported total number of applications received per PPMC. The GCG-validated number of applications received per summary report is only 294.

Appendix A | Page 3 of 4 Validation of SM 8: Percentage of Requests Processed within the Applicable Processing Time

Permit and Applicable Processing Time per PPMC Citizen's Charter	PPMC-Reported Accomplishment	Requests Processed Within Applicable Time	Remarks
Review of Simple Contracts (7 Working Days)	3 out of 6 (2 Working Days)	2 out of 6	Three (3) permit applications were processed beyond applicable time while the turnaround time for one (1) permit application was unverifiable based on the submitted supporting documents.

A p p e n d i x A | Page 4 of 4 Validation of SM 8: Percentage of Requests Processed within the Applicable Processing Time

